



## RESEARCH ARTICLE

### COMBINING ABILITY ANALYSIS IN RABI SORGHUM (*SORGHUM BICOLOR* L. MOENCH)

<sup>1</sup>Khose, R. S., <sup>\*</sup><sup>2</sup>Pawar, S. V. and <sup>3</sup>Kute, N. S.

Associate Professor of Botany, MPKV, Rahuri - 413 722 (M.S.)

#### ARTICLE INFO

##### Article History:

Received 21<sup>st</sup> April, 2016  
Received in revised form  
27<sup>th</sup> May, 2016  
Accepted 20<sup>th</sup> June, 2016  
Published online 16<sup>th</sup> July, 2016

##### Key words:

Combining ability, GCA,  
SCA and *rabi* sorghum.

#### ABSTRACT

The experiment was undertaken during the period 2014-15 at Sorghum Improvement Project, M.P.K.V., Rahuri with the objectives to estimate the general and specific combining ability of parents and hybrids. To achieve these objectives, four cytoplasmic male sterile lines (females), ten restorers (males) and their forty hybrids were studied by using Line x Tester mating design. Observations were recorded on eight characters viz., days to 50 % flowering, plant height, panicle length, panicle breadth, 1000 grain weight, number of grains per panicle, grain yield per plant and fodder yield per plant. Based on results obtained the hybrids RMS-2010-10A x RSR-999, RMS-2010-16A x RSR-990, 185-A x RSR-992 and 185A x RSR-994 were observed most promising and could be exploited for further hybrid development, while the parents 185-A, RSR-994, RSR-993 and RSR-995 were observed as a good general combiners and need due consideration in future hybridization programme.

Copyright©2016, Khose et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Khose, R. S., Pawar, S. V. and Kute, N. S. 2016. "Combining ability analysis in *Rabi* sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench)", *International Journal of Current Research*, 8, (07), 33815-33818.

## INTRODUCTION

Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) is the fifth important cereal crop of the world after wheat, maize, rice and barley. It is originated in Africa and spread all over the world. The large hectareage under this crop occurs in the arid and semi-arid areas of India and Africa. The concept of combining ability plays an important role in identification of superior parents and hybrids. Allard (1960) pointed out that the common approach of selecting the parents on the basis of *per se* performance is not a good indication of their superior combining ability. The choice of parents in any breeding programme has to be based on complete genetic information and knowledge of combining ability of parents and not merely on field performance.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental material for the present study comprised of four male sterile line, ten restorers, their resulting 40 hybrids and one hybrid check CSH-15R. During *rabi* 2013-14 four male sterile lines and ten restores were sown at Sorghum Improvement Project, M.P.K.V., Rahuri and these lines and testers were crossed in Line x Tester design to produce 40 possible hybrids. The experiment was conducted during *rabi* 2014-15 by using 14 parents, their 40 hybrids along with one

standard check CSH-15R at Sorghum Improvement Project, M.P.K.V., Rahuri. The observations were recorded on eight characters viz., days to 50 % flowering, plant height, panicle length, panicle breadth, number of grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight, grain yield per plant and fodder yield per plant. The data was subjected to the analysis of combining ability as per Kempthorne (1957) and modified by Arunachalam (1974).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Analysis of variance for combining ability

The analysis of variance for combining ability is presented in Table 1. From the data it is observed that, the mean square due to lines, testers as well as lines vs. testers interaction were found significant for almost all the traits.

### General combining ability

The male sterile line 185-A was good general combiner for days to 50 per cent flowering, plant height, panicle breadth, number of grains per panicle, grain yield per plant and fodder yield per plant. The female line RMS-2010-24A was observed good general combiner for fodder yield per plant. The line 185A was found to be suitable for developing high yielding and early maturing hybrids in *rabi* sorghum (Prabhakar et al., 2013; Premalatha et al., 2006). As regards, general combining ability of male parents, RSR-994 was good

\*Corresponding author: Pawar, S. V.

Associate Professor of Botany, MPKV, Rahuri - 413 722 (M.S.)

general combiner for number of grains per panicle, grain yield per plant and fodder yield per plant. Whereas RSR-993 was good general combiner for plant height, panicle length and fodder yield per plant. The male parent RSR-990 was found good general combiner for plant height and fodder yield. The male parent RSR-991 was found good general combiner for days to 50 per cent flowering and plant height. The male parent RSR-992 was good general combiner for panicle length. The male parent RSR-995 was found good general combiner for number of grains per panicle. The male parent RSR-996 was good general combiner for fodder yield per plant. The male parents RSR-997 and RSR-998 were also found good general combiner for plant height. In general good combiners for grain yield also had good or average combiners for one or more yield components. In most of the parents high GCA effects were associated with high *per se* mean for yield and yield components (Badhe and Patil, 1997; Barhate, 1996; Khapre et al., 2000a; Patel et al., 1990; Pillai et al., 1995; Prabhakar et al., 2013; Vaidya, 2000).

### Specific combining ability

The results on specific combining ability of different hybrids for eight characters under study have been discussed as under (Table 3).

#### 1. Days to 50 per cent flowering

The hybrids RSH-1303 (high x average) and RSH-1324 (average x average) showed the higher magnitude of negative specific combining ability effect. The data revealed that the hybrids showing significantly high sca effects involves high x average and average x average general combiners, indicated the presence of non-additive type of gene action (Desai et al., 1985; Patil, 2008).

#### 2. Plant height (cm)

The hybrids RSH-1313 (average x low), RSH-1326 (low x low) and RSH-1324 (low x high) showed the higher magnitude of positive specific combining ability effect.

**Table 1. ANOVA for combining ability (L x T design)**

Source	D.F.	Days to 50% flowering	Plant height (cm)	Panicle length (cm)	Panicle breadth (cm)	Number of grains/ panicle	1000 grain weight (g)	Grain yield/ plant (g)	Fodder yield/ plant (g)
Replications	1	85.33**	3.60	4.46	0.17	14352.08	5.01	0.004	376.65
Treatments	53	16.08**	1018.44**	13.14**	0.89**	202272.90**	33.98**	351.41**	6791.56**
Parents	13	11.83**	1588.78**	20.46**	0.57**	165245.15**	44.70**	123.50**	7578.47**
Parents Vs. Hybrids	1	195.66**	7135.67**	253.44**	4.89**	2483536.76**	486.96**	7775.95**	41906.81**
Hybrids	39	12.89**	671.47**	4.54**	0.90**	156121.54**	18.79**	237.00**	5628.87**
Lines	3	8.33	381.77**	3.79	0.34	11545.12	8.26	16.48	1562.19**
Testers	9	14.31**	797.25**	22.83**	0.69**	205564.89**	59.50**	167.56**	6558.16**
Lines vs. Testers	1	0.05	12333.66**	49.16**	0.24	263467.57**	20.80*	48.06	34810.07**
Error	53	4.20	53.17	1.58	0.23	30359.72	4.10	44.67	279.09
$\sigma^2$ gca		1.40	78.24	0.21	0.06	20945.16	0.10	19.40	844.59
$\sigma^2$ sca		3.02	168.78	1.24	0.35	47499.31	10.06	96.11	1285.65
$\sigma^2$ gca/ $\sigma^2$ sca		0.46	0.46	0.16	0.17	0.44	0.009	0.20	0.65
$\sigma^2$ A		2.80	156.48	0.42	0.12	41890.33	0.20	38.81	1689.19
$\sigma^2$ D		3.02	168.79	1.24	0.35	47499.31	10.06	96.11	1285.65
$\sigma^2$ A/ $\sigma^2$ D		0.92	0.92	0.33	0.34	0.88	0.02	0.40	1.31

Note: \*, \*\* = Significant at 5 % and 1 % level of significance respectively

**Table 2. Estimation of general combining ability effect for 14 parents in rabi sorghum**

S.No.	Parents	Days to 50% flowering	Plant height (cm)	Panicle length (cm)	Panicle breadth (cm)	Number of grains/ panicle	1000 grain weight (g)	Grain yield/ plant (g)	Fodder yield/ plant (g)
Female parents									
1.	185 A	-1.80**	7.55**	0.33	0.40**	135.26**	-0.45	4.06**	8.61*
2.	RMS-2010-10A	0.90	3.22	0.05	-0.02	45.01	0.08	1.83	-4.13
3.	RMS-2010-16A	-0.10	-5.75**	-0.48	-0.19	53.81	-0.17	1.16	-32.08**
4.	RMS-2010-24A	1.00*	-5.02**	0.10	-0.19	-234.08**	0.54	-7.06**	27.60**
	S.E. $\pm$	0.45	1.63	0.28	0.10	38.96	0.45	1.49	3.73
	CD at 5 %	0.92	3.29	0.56	0.21	78.80	0.91	3.02	7.55
	CD at 1 %	1.24	4.41	0.76	0.29	105.50	1.22	4.04	10.11
Male parents									
5.	RSR-990	0.75	14.10**	-1.02*	-0.09	-148.28*	0.93	-2.72	31.92**
6.	RSR-991	-2.12**	11.18**	-1.06*	-0.26	-139.53*	-1.90*	-7.76**	-4.20
7.	RSR-992	-1.00	-28.19**	1.76**	-0.50**	106.96	-0.96	2.15	4.50
8.	RSR-993	-0.25	5.76*	0.93*	0.32	-86.28	0.75	-1.26	49.80**
9.	RSR-994	2.75**	-0.43	-0.10	0.28	190.46**	0.44	7.02**	28.00**
10.	RSR-995	0.62	-10.06**	-0.69	-0.01	135.83*	-0.92	2.23	-8.28
11.	RSR-996	-0.25	-8.60**	0.09	0.11	-105.53	0.13	-3.60	39.63**
12.	RSR-997	-1.12	10.35**	-0.69	0.20	64.71	0.56	2.65	-29.65**
13.	RSR-998	0.37	12.64**	0.22	-0.21	-87.91	-0.07	-3.14	-59.86**
14.	RSR-999	0.25	-6.73*	0.56	0.15	69.58	1.03	4.44	-51.86**
	S.E. $\pm$	0.72	2.57	0.44	0.17	61.60	0.71	2.36	5.90
	CD at 5 %	1.46	5.21	0.89	0.34	124.60	1.44	4.77	11.94
	CD at 1 %	1.96	6.98	1.20	0.46	166.81	1.94	6.39	15.99

Note : \*, \*\* = Significant at 5 % and 1 % level of significance respectively

Table 3. Estimation of specific combining ability effects for eight characters in *rabi* sorghum

S. No.	Hybrids	Days to 50 % flowering	Plant height (cm)	Panicle length (cm)	Panicle breadth (cm)	Number of grains/ panicle	1000 grain weight (g)	Grain yield/ plant (g)	Fodder yield/ plant (g)
1.	185-A x RSR-990	-1.95	-8.60	-1.54	-0.41	64.98	0.93	2.89	-21.24
2.	185-A x RSR-991	-0.57	-6.51	1.33	-0.90*	104.73	-0.17	2.43	-0.95
3.	185-A x RSR-992	-3.70*	8.69	0.66	0.00	405.73**	2.89	19.18**	-14.15
4.	185-A x RSR-993	1.05	11.40*	0.83	-0.49	-170.01	-0.50	-4.73	-25.78*
5.	185-A x RSR-994	-0.95	9.27	1.04	1.21**	435.23**	0.21	15.14**	-41.49**
6.	185-A x RSR-995	-1.32	4.73	1.29	0.50	-198.63	1.51	-3.56	5.30
7.	185-A x RSR-996	0.05	-0.89	0.16	0.55	72.73	-5.26**	-7.39	16.88
8.	185-A x RSR-997	2.92	-2.35	-0.70	-0.03	-267.01*	2.47	-4.98	-15.99
9.	185-A x RSR-998	1.42	-7.93	-1.29	-0.11	-189.38	-3.87*	-13.52**	15.38
10.	185-A x RSR-999	3.05*	-7.76	-1.78	-0.32	-258.38*	1.80	-5.44	82.05**
11.	RMS-2010-10A x RSR-990	0.35	4.89	2.90**	0.02	-198.26	-5.01*	-15.70**	55.34**
12.	RMS-2010-10A x RSR-991	2.72	3.65	-0.71	0.35	-219.01	-2.82	-11.33*	29.63*
13.	RMS-2010-10A x RSR-992	0.10	28.02**	-2.21*	-0.55	-125.01	1.57	-2.08	-11.40
14.	RMS-2010-10A x RSR-993	0.85	-15.93**	-2.05*	-0.38	-112.76	5.89**	4.83	-30.86*
15.	RMS-2010-10A x RSR-994	-0.65	2.77	0.82	0.31	-112.01	-2.91*	-9.12	-1.74
16.	RMS-2010-10A x RSR-995	1.97	-27.59**	-0.59	-0.39	-33.88	-2.09	-4.66	1.54
17.	RMS-2010-10A x RSR-996	-0.15	-6.55	-1.04	-1.01**	-35.01	0.98	0.50	18.30
18.	RMS-2010-10A x RSR-997	-1.27	0.31	-0.75	-0.26	0.73	2.36	4.91	29.75*
19.	RMS-2010-10A x RSR-998	-1.27	4.69	0.49	0.31	240.86	1.77	11.54*	-40.20**
20.	RMS-2010-10A x RSR-999	-2.65	5.73	3.15**	1.60**	594.36**	0.24	21.12**	-50.36**
21.	RMS-2010-16A x RSR-990	0.85	-6.11	-1.22	0.19	201.43	6.97**	19.29**	13.79
22.	RMS-2010-16A x RSR-991	-1.27	4.30	-0.34	0.69*	-102.81	1.06	-1.49	-46.25**
23.	RMS-2010-16A x RSR-992	3.60*	-33.82**	0.81	0.77*	-110.31	-2.17	-7.75	40.20**
24.	RMS-2010-16A x RSR-993	-3.65*	14.71**	0.48	0.43	62.43	-3.12*	-3.33	11.58
25.	RMS-2010-16A x RSR-994	1.35	1.92	-1.13	-1.35**	-187.31	0.18	-5.45	-5.28
26.	RMS-2010-16A x RSR-995	-2.02	23.88**	-0.72	-0.22	272.31*	-3.32*	2.49	-3.50
27.	RMS-2010-16A x RSR-996	-2.65	9.92	1.31	0.15	105.18	4.54**	12.16*	-51.41**
28.	RMS-2010-16A x RSR-997	0.22	-8.20	0.94	0.06	202.4	-4.93**	-2.41	11.37
29.	RMS-2010-16A x RSR-998	1.72	-3.82	0.36	-0.01	-203.43	0.94	-4.95	16.58
30.	RMS-2010-16A x RSR-999	1.85	-2.78	-0.47	-0.72*	-239.93	-0.16	-8.54	12.91
31.	RMS-2010-24A x RSR-990	0.75	9.81	-0.14	0.18	-68.16	-2.89	-6.47	-47.89**
32.	RMS-2010-24A x RSR-991	-0.87	-1.43	-0.26	-0.14	217.08	1.93	10.40*	17.56
33.	RMS-2010-24A x RSR-992	0.10	-2.89	0.73	-0.22	-170.41	-2.29	-9.34	-14.64
34.	RMS-2010-24A x RSR-993	1.75	-10.18	0.73	0.44	220.33	-2.26	3.23	45.06**
35.	RMS-2010-24A x RSR-994	0.25	-13.97**	-0.72	-0.18	-135.91	2.51	-0.55	48.52**
36.	RMS-2010-24A x RSR-995	1.37	-1.01	0.02	0.10	-39.78	3.90**	5.73	-3.35
37.	RMS-2010-24A x RSR-996	2.75	-2.47	-0.43	0.31	-142.91	-0.26	-5.26	16.23
38.	RMS-2010-24A x RSR-997	-1.87	10.23	0.52	0.23	63.83	0.09	2.48	-25.14*
39.	RMS-2010-24A x RSR-998	-1.87	7.10	0.44	-0.18	151.96	1.15	6.94	8.23
40.	RMS-2010-24A x RSR-999	-2.25	4.81	-0.89	-0.55	-96.03	-1.88	-7.14	-44.59**
	S.E. $\pm$	1.44	5.15	0.88	0.34	123.20	1.43	4.72	11.81
	CD at 5 %	2.93	10.42	1.79	0.68	249.20	2.89	9.55	23.89
	CD at 1 %	3.92	13.96	2.40	0.92	333.63	3.88	12.79	31.98

Note : \*, \*\* = Significant at 5 % and 1 % level of significance respectively

The data revealed that the hybrids showing significantly high sca effects involves average x low, low x low and low x high general combiners, indicated the presence of non-additive type of gene action (Patil, 2008).

### 3. Panicle length (cm)

The hybrids RSH-1320 (average x average) and RSH-1311 (average x low) showed the higher magnitude of positive specific combining ability effect. The data revealed that the hybrids showing significantly high sca effects involves average x average and average x low general combiners, indicated the presence of non additive type of gene action (Patil, 2008).

### 4. Panicle breadth (cm)

The hybrids RSH-1320 (average x average), RSH-1305 (high x average) and RSH-1323 (average x low) showed the higher magnitude of positive specific combining ability effect.

The data revealed that the hybrids showing significantly high sca effects involves average x average, high x average and average x low general combiners, indicated the presence of non additive type of gene action (Patil, 2008).

### 5. Number of grains per panicle

The hybrids RSH-1320 (average x average), RSH-1305 (high x high) and RSH-1303 (high x average) showed the higher magnitude of positive specific combining ability effect. The data revealed that the hybrids showing significantly high sca effects involves average x average, high x high and high x average general combiners, indicated the presence of non additive type of gene action (Patil, 2008).

### 6. 1000 grain weight (g)

The hybrids RSH-1321 (average x average), RSH-1314 (average x average) and RSH-1327 (average x average) showed

the higher magnitude of positive specific combining ability effect. The data revealed that the hybrid showing significantly high sca effects involves average x average general combiners, indicated the presence of non additive type of gene action (Ghorade et al., 2014b; Hariprasanna et al., 2012; Khapre et al., 2000a).

### 7. Grain yield per plant (g)

The hybrids RSH-1320 (average x average) and RSH-1303 (high x average) showed the higher magnitude of positive specific combining ability effect. The data revealed that the hybrid showing significantly high sca effects involves average x average and high x average general combiners, indicated the presence of non additive type of gene action (Desai et al., 1985; Ghorade, 1991; Ghorade et al., 2014b; Hariprasanna et al., 2012; Khapre et al., 2000a; Patel et al., 1990; Vaidya, 2000; Wadikar et al., 2006).

### 8. Fodder yield per plant (g)

The hybrids RSH-1310 (high x low), RSH-1311 (average x high) and RSH-1335 (high x high) showed the higher magnitude of positive specific combining ability effect. The data revealed that the hybrid showing significantly high sca effects involves high x low, average x high and high x high general combiners, indicated the presence of non additive type of gene action (Vaidya, 2000; Wadikar et al., 2006). In general the female parent 185-A observed good general combiner for days to 50 per cent flowering, plant height, panicle breadth, number of grains per panicle, grain yield per plant and fodder yield per plant. Among the male parents, RSR-994 was good general combiner for number of grains per panicle, grain yield per plant and fodder yield per plant. RSR-993 was also good general combiner for plant height, panicle length and fodder yield per plant. The hybrids which exhibited higher SCA for grain yield having average or good general combiner for other traits are RSH-1320, RSH-1321 and RSH-1303. The higher magnitude of variances of sca over gca indicated that non additive portion of gene action was predominant in all characters. Considering non additive type of gene actions, it is suggested that yield improvement in rabi sorghum could be possible through heterosis breeding.

## REFERENCES

Allard, R.W. 1960. Principles of Plant Breeding. John Wiley and Sons., Inc., New York.  
 Arunachalam, V. 1974. The fallacy behind the use of a modified Line x Tester design. *Indian J. Genet.*, 34: 280-285.  
 Badhe, P.L. and Patil, H.S. 1997. Line x Tester analysis in sorghum. *Annals agric. Res.*, 18(3): 281-284.

Barhate, K.K. 1996. Genetic diversity, combining ability and stability studies in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench). Thesis Abst. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis MPKV, Rahuri.  
 Desai, M.S., Desai, K.B. and Kukadia, M.U. 1985. Heterosis and combining ability in grain sorghum. *J. Genet.*, 55(5):303-305.  
 Ghorade, R.B. 1991. Studies on combining ability of newly developed sorghum lines (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench). Unpub. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola.  
 Ghorade, R.B., Kalpande V.V., Bhongle S.A. and Band P.A. 2014<sup>b</sup>. Combining ability analysis for drought tolerance and grain yield in rabi sorghum. *International Journal of Agricultural Sci.*, 10(1):344-347.  
 Hariprasanna, K., Rajendrakumar, P. and Patil, J.V. 2012. Parental selection for high heterosis in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) Combining ability, heterosis and their relationship. *Crop Res.*, 44(3):400-408.  
 Kempthorne, O. 1957. "An introduction to genetic statistics". John Willey and Sons. Increased. New York. pp. 468-470.  
 Khapre, P.R., Lakshmi, G.S., Ambekar, S.S. and Borikar, S.T. 2000a. Heterosis and combining ability in grain sorghum involving newly developed male sterile and restorer lines. Paper presented in VIII, Vasanttrao Naik Memorial National Agricultural Seminar on "Sorghum under different agro ecological systems and its industrial utilization" held at College of Agriculture, Nagpur. Mar. 1-2, 2000 P.P. 5.  
 Patel, R.H., Desai K.B.; Desai M.S. and K.G, Patel K.G. 1990. Combining ability in grain sorghum. *Madras Agric. J.*, 77(9-12):531-533.  
 Patil, A.B. 2008. Heterosis and combining ability studies in rabi sorghum for shoot fly resistant, M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, MPKV, Rahuri.  
 Pillai, M.J., Rangaswamy P.; Nadarajan C. and Ramlingam J. 1995. Combining ability analysis for panicle characters in sorghum. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 29(2): 98-102.  
 Prabhakar, Elangovan M. and Bahadure D.M. 2013. Combining ability of new parental lines for flowering, maturity and grain yield in rabi sorghum. *Electronic J. of Plant Breeding*, 4(3): 1241-1218.  
 Premalatha, N. Kumaravadivel and Veerabathiran, P. 2006. Heterosis and combining ability for grain yield and its components in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench). *Indian J. Genet.*, 66(2):123-126.  
 Vaidya, P.S. 2000. Combining ability studies in sorghum with special reference to grain mold. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, M.A.U., Parbhani Maharashtra, India.  
 Wadikar, P.B., Ambekar S.S.; Jawanjal S.S. and Aher G.U. 2006. Line x tester analysis for yield and yield contributing traits in kharif sorghum. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.*, 31(1):73-76.

\*\*\*\*\*