

Available online at http://www.journalcra.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

International Journal of Current Research Vol.8, pp.073-078, September, 2010

RESEARCH ARTICLE

DISCRIMINATION BETWEEN THE MORPHOLOGICAL AND MOLECULAR IDENTIFICATION IN THE GENUS DUNALIELLA

Jayappriyan, K.R^{*}., Rajkumar, R., Sheeja, L., Nagaraj, S., Divya, S. and Rengasamy, R.

Centre for Advanced Studies in Botany, University of Madras, Guindy campus, Chennai - 600 025, India

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article History: Received 11th July, 2010 Received in revised form 24th July, 2010 Accepted 25th August, 2010 Published online 4th September, 2010 Six different isolates of *Dunaliella* were isolated along the salt pans of Andhra Pradesh coast, India were focused by classical and molecular taxonomy which effectively reported the significance of molecular tools and identified the strange green forms of *Dunaliella bardawil* and *Dunaliella parva*. Among the six isolates five were identified with present genus and species specific primers used in the study where as the remaining one isolate was partially sequenced and submitted in the Gen bank.

Key words:

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Dunaliella} \text{ , Salt pans,} \\ \textit{Gen bank, } \beta \text{-carotene and Andhra Pradesh} \end{array}$

© Copy Right, IJCR, 2010 Academic Journals. All rights reserved.

INTRODUCTION

Dunaliella is a unicellular, ovoid, biflagellate, naked green alga. The cells are motile and have two equal, long smooth whiplash flagella which belong to the Order Volvacales, Family Polyblepharidaceae and the class of Chlorophyceae. It was first identified by a French Scientist Michel Felix Dunal in 1838 later it was discovered by Teodoresco in 1905. The unique morphological feature of Dunaliella is that lacks a cell wall. The cell is enclosed by a thin plasma membrane or periplast, which permits rapid changes in cell shape and volume in response to osmotic changes. To survive, these organisms have high concentrations of β-carotene to protect against the intense light and high concentrations of glycerol to provide protection against osmotic pressure. Twenty eight species of Dunaliella are presently recognized.

Few organisms can survive in such highly saline conditions as salt evaporation ponds that accumulate massive amounts of carotenoids under appropriate growth conditions. Natural mixed carotenoids obtained from *Dunaliella* contain both 9-cis and all-trans isomers. All-trans isomers exhibit Vitamin A activity and 9-cis provides potent antioxidant activity, unlike synthetic beta-carotene which contains only trans isomers. From a first pilot plant for *Dunaliella* cultivation for β -carotene protection established in the USSR in 1966, the commercial cultivation of *Dunaliella* for the production of beta carotene throughout the world is now one of the success stories of halophile biotechnology.

Research performed at the Cancer Research Centre at Hawaii showed that *Dunaliella* contains a certain type of beta-carotene called 9-cis-beta-carotene, which is up to ten times stronger at preventing cancer than ordinary beta-carotene (Hieber *et al.*, 2000).

The cell morphology depends largely on the environmental factor such as salinity, light intensity, and age of the culture. Because of great morphological variations even within the one species, there has been confusion as to the correct classification various described species and sub species only recently as addition molecular analyses various techniques been used to further study the phylogeny of genus Dunaliella. (Jin and Polle, 2006). Species specific oligonucleotide could be useful to identify both the strains from culture collection and from natural environment. Slight morphological difference in Dunaliella species can conceal profound differences in their potential production of metabolites such as carotenoids. Conserved and variable regions of 16S -18S r DNA sequences have been used as target for framer-directed DNA amplification by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for the identification of microorganisms. (Olsen et al., 1986, Jeyarao et al., 1991). The development of modern biotechnological tools such as Polymerase Chain Reaction and analysis of DNA/ rRNA based technology ascertain the identification the micro organism. In the present study, six different isolates of Dunaliella isolated from the salt pans of Andhra Pradesh coast, India were chosen for identification by both morphological and molecular aspects and enroll the significance of molecular taxonomy.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Isolation and growth conditions

A total of 21 salt pan water samples of green, orange and red colours were collected in sterile plastic vials from Andhra Pradesh, South India were screened for Dunaliella under compound microscope. The samples contained Dunaliella were transferred to De Walne's medium and kept at 24±1 °C in thermostatically controlled room, illuminated with cool fluorescent lamps at irradiance of 30 $\mu \text{Em}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$, under 12 h/12 h light/dark photo period. After 10 days the samples were serially diluted up to 10^{-4} and 0.1 mL spread on 2 % De Walne's agar medium. Distinct colonies developed on the plates were picked and transferred to De Walne's medium (Orset and Young, 1999) for further investigation. Six different isolates of Dunaliella were isolated from the salt pan samples. The cvanobacterial contaminants were eliminated by treating them with 3000 ppm of the antibiotic, streptomycin sulphate for 30 minutes under 30 $\mu Em^{-2}s^{-1}$ light intensity and then transferred to antibiotic free basal medium (Rengasamy et al., 1987). The cultures were made axenic by triple antibiotic treatment as described by Droop (1967).

Morphological identification

A total of 6 isolates of Dunaliella successfully isolated and maintained in the basal medium under laboratory conditions were segregated based on their morphological characteristics viz., cell shape, cell colour, cell length (L), width (W), flagella length (F) chloroplast arrangement, and growth conditions. The mean cell length and breadth of the cells were calculated from the measurements of 100 cells. They were identified and designated as Dunaliella bioculata, MUAP 101, MUAP 102, and one isolate of each Dunaliella tertiolecta MUAP 103, Dunaliella viridis MUAP 104, Dunaliella minuta MUAP 105 and Dunaliella maritima MUAP 106 (Massyuk, 1973 a, b, c; Avron and Ben-Amotz, 1992; Preisig, 1992; Leonardi and Caceres, 1997). All the isolates were maintained in De Walne's medium. All the isolates were maintained in De Walne's medium. They were also subjected to different NaCl concentration ranging from 0.5 M to 5 M and studied for their growth. Out of the salinities tested all the isolates showed optimal growth at 2.14 M NaCl salinity (more than 12%).

Molecular identification

All the 6 isolates of *Dunaliella* were subjected for molecular identification in order to ascertain their systematic position. The genomic DNA of the isolates was isolated according to Sambrook *et al.* (1989). Further they were subjected to amplification with five sets of primers i.e. 2 genus specific and 3 species specific primers for crisscross analysis. The Analytical grade chemicals were used for this purpose.

PCR amplification

The oligonucleotides, MA1 [5' CGG GAT CCG TAG TCA TAT GCT TGT CTC 3'] MA2 [5' CGG AAT TCC TTC TGC AGG TTC ACC 3'] MA3 [5' GGA ATT CCG GAA ACC TTG TTA CGAC 3'] are well conserved among the genus, strains of Dunaliella and used the following combinations MA1-MA2 and MA1-MA3.. The species specific primers such as *Dunaliella salina* (DSs) 5' GCA GGA GAG CTA ATA GGA 3'] Dunaliella bardawil (DBs) [5' GGG AGT CTT TTT CCA CCT 3'] Dunaliella parva (DPs) [5' GTA GAG GGT AGG AGA AGT 3'] were also used. The species specific primers were used in combination with MA2 primer described by Olmos et al. (2000, 2002). PCR product was resolved on 1.4% agarose gel along with a 500 bp marker Genei, Bangalore (India). The molecular weight of amplified product was calculated and confirmed using Vilber Loumart gel documentation systems.

Partial sequence of Dunaliella isolates

Dunaliella tertiolecta MUAP 103 yet to be confirm through molecular technique chosen for partial sequence. The 18S rDNA regions of *D. tertiolecta* MUAP 103 were amplified with MA1-MA2 primers and the 18S rDNA was partially sequenced using Applied Bio system Instrument (ABI) Prism 310 Genetic and submitted in Gen bank.

RESULTS

Morphological identification

Dunaliella bioculata MUAP 101

Cells always green in colour with posterior broader and anterior narrow regions; cup shaped chloroplast located at the basal region; stigma is seen at the anterior region but not clearly visible. Each cell $10.0 - 12.0 \ \mu m$ long and $5.0 - 7.0 \ \mu m$ wide; flagella $12.0 - 14.0 \ \mu m$ long (Fig.1a).

 Table 1. Amplified product size of *Dunaliella* isolates using different primer pairs MA1- MA2, MA1- MA3, and with species specific primers DSs - MA2, DBs - MA2, DPs - MA2

Isolates	Morphological identification	Amplified products size of	Molecular identification				
		MA1-	MA1-	DSs-MA2	DBs-MA2	DPs-MA2	
		MA2	MA3	(~bp)	(~bp)	(~bp)	
		(~ bp)	(~bp)	. 17	(1)	(1)	
MUAP 101	D. bioculata	2570	2170	*	*	1000	D. parva
MUAP 102	D. bioculata	2570	2170	*	1000	*	D. bardawil
MUAP 103	D. tertiolecta	2570	2170	*	*	*	<i>Dunaliella</i> sp.
MUAP 104	D. viridis	2570	2170	*	*	1000	D. parva
MUAP 105	D. minuta	2570	2170	*	*	1000	D. parva
MUAP 106	D. maritima	2570	2170	*	*	1000	D. parva

* Amplification not observed

This isolate was placed under non carotenogenic group (did not turn to red-orange phase).

Dunaliella bioculata MUAP 102

Cells always green in colour with posterior broader and anterior narrow regions; cup shaped chloroplast located at the basal region; stigma is seen at the anterior region but not clearly visible. Each cell $10.0 - 12.0 \mu m$ long and $5.0 - 7.0 \mu m$ wide; flagella $12.0 - 14.0 \mu m$ long (Fig. 1b). This isolate was placed under non carotenogenic group (did not turn to red-orange phase).



Fig. 1. Morphology of *Dunaliella* isolates from salt pans of Andhra Pradesh, India under light microscope. (a) *D. bioculata* MUAP 101 (b) *D. bioculata* MUAP 102 (c) *D. tertiolecta* MUAP 103 (d) *D. viridis* MUAP 104 (e) *D. minuta* MUAP 105 (f) *D. maritima* MUAP 106

Dunaliella tertiolecta MUAP 103

Cells always green, ellipsoidal, oval, pyriforms, apically broader and posterior narrow regions, chloroplast located at the basal region; stigma is not clearly visible; each cell $9.5 - 12.0 \mu m$ long and $5.0 - 7.0 \mu m$ wide; flagella $12.0 - 14.0 \mu m$ long (Fig. 1c) with radially symmetrical. This isolate was placed under non carotenogenic group (did not turn to red-orange phase).

Dunaliella viridis MUAP 104

Cells always green, pyriform, ellipsoid, oval or spherical or globular, radially symmetrical, chloroplast located at the basal region; cells $9.5 - 12.0 \mu m$ long and $7.0 - 12.0 \mu m$ wide; flagella $12.0 - 14.0 \mu m$ long (Fig.1d). This isolate was placed under non carotenogenic group (did not turn to red-orange phase).

Dunaliella minuta MUAP 105

Cells always green, cylindrical, oval, elliptical or pyriform with rounded anterior and posterior ends, with two long smooth whiplash flagella 15.0 μ m long. Cells 3–13 μ m

long, $1.5-10 \,\mu\text{m}$ wide. Flagella length slightly longer than cell length. Cup shaped chloroplast with lobes nearly at the anterior end of the cell. Eye spot is not clearly visible



Fig. 2. (a) Amplification of morphological identified isolates using MA1 and MA2 primers

Lane 1: 500 bp marker Lane 3: *D. bioculata* MUAP102 Lane 5: *D. viridis* MUAP 104 Lane 7: *D. Maritima* MUAP106 Lane 2: *D. bioculata* MUAP 101 Lane 4: *D. tertiolecta* MUAP 103 Lane 6: *D. minuta* MUAP 105 Lane 7: Negative control



Fig. 2. (b) Amplification of morphological identified isolates using MA1 and MA3 primers

Lane 1: 500 bp markerLane 2: D. bioculata MUAP 101Lane 3: D. bioculata MUAP102Lane 4: D. tertiolecta MUAP 103Lane 5: D. viridis MUAP 104Lane 6: D. minuta MUAP 105Lane 7: D. Maritima MUAP106Lane 7: Negative control

(Fig.1e). This isolate was placed under non carotenogenic group since it did not turn to red-orange phase.

Dunaliella maritima MUAP 106

Cells oval or ellipsoidal with two smooth equal long flagella inserted apically; chloroplast shifted towards the anterior region, each cells $7.0 - 12.0 \,\mu\text{m}$ long, and $7.0 - 12.0 \,\mu\text{m}$ wide; flagella $14.0 - 17.0 \,\mu\text{m}$ long with radial symmetry (Fig.1f). This isolate was placed under non carotenogenic group since it did not turn red-orange phase.

Molecular identification of Dunaliella

All the 6 isolates of *Dunaliella* were subjected for amplificationWilcox using 18S rDNA regions. Conserved

oligonucleotides complementary to 5' and 3' termini of the 18S rDNA region were used for the characterization. Table 1 shows a comparison of identification of the



Fig. 2. (c) Amplification of morphological identified isolates using DBs and MA2 primers

Lane 1: 500 bp marker	
Lane 3: <i>D. bioculata</i> MUAP102	
Lane 5: D. viridis MUAP 104	
Lane 7: D. Maritima MUAP106	

Lane 2: *D. bioculata* MUAP 101 Lane 4: *D. tertiolecta* MUAP 103 Lane 6: *D. minuta* MUAP 105 Lane 7: Negative control



Fig. 2. (d) Amplification of morphological identified isolates using DPs and MA2 primers

Lane 1: 500 bp marker	Lane 2: D. bioculata MUAP 101
Lane 3: D. bioculata MUAP102	Lane 4: D. tertiolecta MUAP 103
Lane 5: D. viridis MUAP 104	Lane 6: D. minuta MUAP 105
Lane 7: D. Maritima MUAP106	Lane 7: Negative control

Dunaliella isolates based on their morphological characteristics as well as by molecular tools. The amplified products of 18S rDNA by using MA1-MA2 primers, identified through morphological and culture characteristics namely, *D. bioculata* MUAP 101, MUAP 102, *D. tertiolecta* MUAP 103, *D. viridis* MUAP 104, *D. minuta* MUAP 105 and *D. maritima* MUAP 106 showed ca. 2570 bp (Fig. 2a), whereas with MA1-MA3 primers exhibited ca. 2170 bp (Fig. 2b). Further, all the isolates were subjected for the amplification of 18S rDNA by using all the three species specific primers *viz.*, DSs for *Dunaliella salina*, DBs for *D. bardawil* and DPs for *D. parva* as forward primers and MA2 as reverse primer

(Olmos et al., 2000). Among the 6 isolates, The 18S rDNA region of D. bioculata MUAP102 identified based on their morphological and cultural characteristics were amplified with the species specific DBs primer (ca. 1000 bp) and therefore it was assigned to D. bardawil (Fig. 2c;Table 1). The four isolates viz., D. bioculata MUAP 101, D. viridis MUAP 104, D. minuta MUAP 105 and D. maritima MUAP 106 were amplified with the DPs primer (ca.1000 bp) and confirmed their identity as D. parva (Fig. 2d; Table1). The 18S rDNA regions of the isolate D. tertiolecta MUAP 103 did not be amplify with any one of the species specific primers such as DSs, DBs and DPs used. Therefore, they do not belong to D. salina, D. bardawil and D. parva (Figs. 2c, 2d; Table 1). The 18S rDNA region of D. tertiolecta MUAP 103 was subjected for partial sequence and their length of about 1 - 423 bp. The sequence was submitted in the Genbank, NCBI and the accession number is GU454804.

DISCUSSION

Among the algae, *Dunaliella* occurs mostly in hypersaline environments (Ben Amotz et al., 1982; Borowitzka and Borowitzka, 1988; Herrero et al., 2006; Hu et al., 2008). In the present study, All the 6 different isolates of Dunaliella isolated from Andhra Pradesh salt pans morphological identified through and cultural characteristics were never turn to orange phase and hence, they were considered as non carotenogenic group. Salinity tolerance and accumulation of large amount of β-carotene in the chloroplast have been used to differentiate the sections within the genus (Massyuk, 1973; Preisig, 1992). But our all the isolates of Dunaliella were luxuriously grown at De Walne's medium (2.14 M NaCl) but withstand the higher salinity up to 5 M since it may be adapted in hyper saline environments. Based upon the above fact salinity may not be considered to distinguish species of Dunaliella. Influence of salinity on carotenoid biosynthesis and growth pattern in Dunaliella shows different characters in different media (Fazeli et al., 2006).

Identification of the 6 isolates of Dunaliella through molecular techniques revealed certain interesting findings and they are discussed as follows. Two primer pairs such as i) MA1-MA2, ii) MA1-MA3, meant for genus specific and three primers such as iii) DSs-MA2, iv) DBs-Ma2, and v) DPs-MA2 for species specific were used in the present investigation. All the 6 isolates were subjected for amplification with all five sets of primers for crisscross analysis. The amplified products of MA1-MA2 primers were at the generic level and the MA1-MA3 at strain level (Olmos et al., 2000). In the present attempt, the two sets of primers mentioned above showed good amplification with all the isolates. The amplified products of 18S rDNA by using MA1-MA2 on the following isolates identified through morphological and cultural features of D. bioculata MUAP 101, MUAP 102, D. tertiolecta MUAP 103, D. viridis MUAP 104, D. minuta MUAP 105 and D. maritima MUAP 106 showed ca. 2570 bp, whereas with MA1-MA3 primers, showed ca. 2170 bp. Therefore, Dunaliella showed two different kinds of products i.e. i) 2570 bp, ii) 2170 bp, and when subjected to MA1-MA2 and MA1-MA3 primers thus suggested that 18S rDNA of the isolates are well conserved. The amplified products of 2570 bp indicated that they possessed two introns when the MA1-MA2 primers were used. However, with MA1-MA3 primers, the products size 2170 bp for the isolates while the number of introns remained the same. The above results are in accordance with the observations made by Olmos *et al.* (2000) and Raja *et al.* (2007). The PCR products of *D. bardawil* also were of 2570 bp and 2170 with the primers MA1-MA2 and MA1-MA3, respectively, indicating the presence of two introns (Olmos *et al.*, 2000). The observations made in the present study as well as Olmos *et al.* (2000) showed the distinctive nature of the 18S rDNA in different species of *Dunaliella.*

To achieve concordant results, all the isolates were separately run with three species specific primers to confirm their identity. For example D. bioculata MUAP 102 showed amplification of 18S rDNA region with DBs primer but not with the other two primers, DSs and DPs. Therefore it was confirmed that the identification made in the present study was properly carried out. All the amplified products showed single band on the gel. The DSs-MA2 primers gave a length of approximately 700 bp and DBs and DPs gave 1000 bp product. Alternatively, a band of 500 bp amplified due to DPs had another specific site of binding, which has not interfered with any of the results obtained. These observations matched with that of Olmos et al. (2000). Surprisingly identification of the remaining isolates through morphological and cultural characteristics did not match to that of molecular tools. The isolate D. bioculata MUAP 102 identified through morphological and cultural characteristics were assigned to D. bardawil based on molecular markers. Similarly D. bioculata MUAP 101, D. viridis MUAP 104, D. minuta MUAP 105 and D. maritima MUAP 106 were identified as D. parva.

Nevertheless, confusion still prevailed in the present study with respect to the grouping of the alga into carotenogenic and non-carotenogenic, since some of the non carotenogenic isolates i.e. which do not have the ability to turn to carotenogenic phase contained less production of total carotenoids. Dunaliella salina, D. bardawil and D. parva are known to turn reddish orange in medium (Ben Amotz et al., 1982; Shaish et al., 1992; Jimenez and Pick, 1994; Orset and Young, 1999). However, in our investigation the isolates such as D. parva MUAP 101, MUAP 104, MUAP 105, MUAP 106, Dunaliella sp. MUAP 103 and D. bardawil MUAP102 identified through molecular tools never turned to carotenogenic phase (reddish orange) through out the study period. Thus, it is evident that of *D. bardawil* and *D*. parva are distinctly different from that reported by Ben Amotz et al. (1982), Shaish et al. (1991), Jimenez and Pick (1994), Orset and Young (1999) and therefore the present study revealed that isolates of D. bardawil and D. parva are pale yellowish green in culture condition with poor production of total carotenoids which clearly indicates the presence of non carotenogenic or poor carotenogenic strains may also exist in natural environment. Polle et al. (2008) reported that the cells of D. salina did not turn bright orange, suggesting that cellular levels of β-carotene in the Korean strain were much low as compared to that in other strains.

The 18S rDNA of the isolate *D. tertiolecta* MUAP 103 identified through morphological and cultural features did not amplify using any of the three different species specific primers such as DSs, DBs, and DPs used and therefore they do not belong to *D. salina*, *D. bardawil* and *D. parva*. Therefore the systematic position of the above one isolate remained to be confirmed through molecular tools. As per the investigation the above one isolate belong to genus *Dunaliella* but not identified their species level, so the one isolates was subjected for sequencing, even after that the species level could not be revealed with the present database, so it was named as *Dunaliella* sp. instead of giving a incorrect identification.

In the present study, the isolates of Dunaliella exhibited different shapes such as oblong, spherical, round and sometimes pyriform and therefore it is a hard task to identify them through classical approaches which considered the shape of the alga as one of the criteria for identification. The above investigation was in accordance with Melkonian and Preisig (1984). They stated that some of the species recognized by Massyuk (1973) may eventually found to be polymorphic forms of a single taxon. It is very clear that morphological/physiological features of the strains of Dunaliella are highly variable and therefore, are unreliable for proper identification of the genus and species. Thus distinguishing a mixed population under natural conditions to the level of genus and species is hard to achieve (Olmos et al., 2000). The cell shape is highly variable because of the absence of a rigid cell wall (Oliveira et al., 1980), and can vary along with cell size depending on culture conditions (Riisgard, 1981). Thus, morphological variability and pronounced ability to adapt to the changes in environmental conditions have introduced high degree of uncertainty in the systematics of the genus, Dunaliella. Ultrastructural studies carried out on different species of this genus apparently did not help in the discrimination of taxa at interspecific level (Parra et al., 1990).

Moreover, the taxonomy of Dunaliella, particularly the carotenogenic strains, has a history of controversy (Borowitzka and Borowitzka, 1988). For example Borowitzka and Borowitzka (1988) considered that D. bardawil (Ben Amotz and Avron, 1983) as a nomen nudum of D. salina Teod, and that D. salina was probably D. parva. This confusion of names and the species still remains and makes comparison of results by different authors difficult. Under these circumstances, molecular identification provides a useful tool to distinguish between inter and intra specific morphologically similar species (Olsen et al., 1986; Olmos et al., 2000). The names associated with the many strains of Dunaliella in culture collections are often clearly incorrect and the origin and history of several of the strains is confused. The systematic application of molecular methods as well as cladistic analysis will be important in developing a better understanding of the taxonomy, systematic and phylogeny of this genus, but they also present new challenges (Borowitzka and Siva, 2007). The present investigation, evidently provoke that both the classical and molecular tools should club together to present a distinct identification in the Dunaliella genus.

REFERENCES

- Avron M, Ben-Amotz A, 1992. *Dunaliella*: Physiology, biochemistry and biotechnology. Boca Raton: CRC Press; p. 240.
- Ben-Amotz A, Katz A, Avron M. 1982. Accumulation of βcarotene in halotolerant algae: purification and characterisation of β-carotene-rich globules from *Dunaliella bardawil. J. Phycol.*, 18:529–37.
- Ben-Amotz A, Avron M. 1983. On those factors which determine the massive β-carotene accumulation in the halotolerant alga *Dunaliella bardawil*. *Plant Physiol.*, 72:593–97.
- Borowitzka MA, Borowitzka LJ. 1988. Dunaliella. In: Borowitzka MA, Borowitzka LJ, editors. Micro-algal Biotechnology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, p. 27–58.
- Borowitzka MA, Siva CJ. 2007. The taxonomy of the genus *Dunaliella* (Chlorophyta, Dunaliellales) with emphasis on the marine and halophilic species. *J. Appl. Phycol.*, 19:567–90.
- Chao-Chin Hu, Jau-Tien Lin, Fung-Jou Lu, Fen-Pi Chou, Deng-Jye Yang. 2008. Determination of carotenoids in *Dunaliella salina* cultivated in Taiwan and antioxidant capacity of the algal carotenoid extract. *Food Chem.*, 109:439–46.
- Droop MR.1967. A procedure for routine purification of algal cultures with antibiotics. Br Phycol Bull, 3:295–97.
- Dunal MF.1838. Extrait d'un mémoire sur les algues qui colorent enrouge certains eaux des marais salants méditerranéens. *Ann Sci Nat Bot Sér.*, 9:172.
- Fazeli MR, Tofighi H, Samadi N, Jamalifar H. 2006. Effect of salinity on β-carotene production by *Dunaliella salina* DCCBC26 isolated from the Urmia salt lake, north of Iran. Biores Tech, 97:2453–456.
- Herrero M, Jaime L, Martı'n-A' lvarez PJ, Cifuentes A, Iba'n ez E. 2006. Optimization of the extraction of antioxidants from *Dunaliella salina*. J Agri Food Chem., 54:5597–603.
- Hieber AD, King TJ, Morioka S, Fukushima LH, Franke AA, Bertram JS. Comparative effects of all-trans betacarotene vs. 9-cis beta-carotene on carcinogen-induced neoplastic transformation and connexin 43 expression in murine 10T1/2 cells and on the differentiation of human keratinocytes. Nutr Cancer 2000; 37 (2): 234-244.
- Jeyarao, B.M., Dore, J.E., Baumbach, G.A., Matthews, K.R. and Oliver, S.P. 1991. Differentiation of *Streptococcus uberis* from *Streptococcus parauberis* by polymerase chain reaction and restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of 16S ribosomal DNA. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, 29: 2774–2778.
- Jimenez C and Pick U. 1994. Differential stereoisomer composition of β-carotene in thylakoids and in pigment globules in *Dunaliella*. J. Plant Physiol., 143:257–63.
- Jin E.W. Polle, 2006. Phylogeny of the green algal genus Dunaliella. Plant Cell Physiol., 128: 603-614.
- Leonardi PI and Cáceres EJ. 1997. Light and electron microscope observations of the life cycle of *Dunaliella salina* (Polyblepharidaceae, Chlorophyceae). Nova Hedwigia, 64:621–33.
- Massyuk NP, Radchenko MI. 1973. New taxa of the genus *Dunaliella* Teod. III. Ukr Bot Zh, 30:470–71.
- Massyuk NP. 1973a.New taxa of the genus *Dunaliella* Teod. II. *Ukr Bot Zh.*, 30:345.

- Massyuk NP. 1973b. New taxa of the genus *Dunaliella* Teod. I. Ukr Bot Zh., 30:175.
- Massyuk NP. 1973c Morphology, Taxonomy, Ecology and Geographic Distribution of the Genus *Dunaliella* Teod. and Prospects for its Potential Utilization. Naukova Dumka, Kiev. p.242.
- Melkonian M, Preisig HR. 1984; An ultrastructural comparison between Spermatopsis and *Dunaliella* (Chlorophyceae). *Pl. Sys. Evol.*, 164:31–6.
- Oliveira L, Bisalputra T and Antia NJ. 1980. Ultrastructural observation of the surface coat of *Dunaliella tertiolecta* from staining with cationic dyes and enzyme treatments. *New Phytol.*, 85:385–92.
- Olmos J, Paniagua J and Contreras R. 2000; Molecular identification of *Dunaliella* sp. utilizing the 18S rDNA gene. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.*, 30:80–4.
- Olmos-Soto J, Paniagua-Michel J, Contreras PR and Trujillo L. 2002. Molecular identification of β -carotene hyperproducing strains of *Dunaliella* from saline environments using species-specific oligonucleotides. *Biotech Lett.*, 24:365–69.
- Olsen GJ, Lane DJ, Ginovannani SJ, Peace NR and Stahl DA. 1986.*Microbial Ecology and Evolution.*,40:337–65.
- Orset S. and Young AJ. 1989. Low-temperature induced synthesis of α-carotene in the microalga *Dunaliella salina* (Chlorophyta). J. Phycol., 35:527–49.
- Parra O, Floyd GL and Wilcox LW. 1990.Taxonomic identification and ultrastructural characterization of a Chilian strain of *Dunaliella*. *Rev.Chil.Hist. Nat.* 63:239– 45.
- Polle, Jürgen WE, Lena Struwe and Eonseon Jin. 2008. Identification and Characterization of a New Strain of the Unicellular Green Alga *Dunaliella salina* (Teod.) from Korea. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 18:821–27.
- Preisig HR. 1992. Morphology and taxonomy. In: Avron M, Ben-Amotz A editors. *Dunaliella*: Physiology, Biochemistry, and Biotechnology. Boca Raton: CRC Press, p. 1–15.
- Raja R, Hemaiswarya S, Balasubramanyam D and Rengasamy R. 2007. PCR-Identification of *Dunaliella* salina (Volvocales, Chlorophyta) and its growth characteristics. *M. Res.*, 162:168–76. Rengasamy R, Prema M, Govindarajan I, and Elanchelian K. 1987. Effect of antibiotics oin the growth of *Hypnea valentine* (Turn.) Mont (Gigartinales, Rhodophyta). Sea Res Util., 9:67-73.
- Riisgard HU. 1981.Cell volume response in the naked marine flagellate *Dunaliella marina* transferred from darkness to light of different intensities. *Bot. Mar.*, 24:657–59.
- Sambrook KT, Frisch EF. and Maniatis T. 1989. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.
- Shaish A, Ben-Amotz A. and Avron M. 1991. Production and selection of high β-carotene mutants of *Dunaliella bardawil* (Chlorophyta).*J.Phycol.*,27: 652–56.
- Shaish A, Avron M. and Ben-Amotz A.1992. Biosynthesis of β-carotene in *Dunaliella*. *Meth.Enzymol*.213:439–44.
- Teodoresco EC.1905. Organisation et développement du Dunaliella, nouveau genre de Volvocacée-Polyblépharidée. Bot Zentralbl Beih., 18:215–32.