

Available online at http://www.journalcra.com

International Journal of Current Research Vol. 9, Issue, 09, pp.57130-57133, September, 2017 INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

## **PREVENTION IS BETTER THAN CROSS – CONTAMINATION**

# <sup>1,</sup> \*Chaitanya S. Buddhikot, <sup>2</sup>Dr. Amit Mani, <sup>3</sup>Dr. Shubhangi Mani and <sup>4</sup>Dr. Sekharamantri Anuraga

<sup>1</sup>Department of Dental Surgery, Rural Dental College and Hospital, Maharashtra, India <sup>2, 4</sup>Department of Periodontology, Rural Dental College and Hospital, Maharashtra, India <sup>3</sup>Department of Orthodontics, Rural Dental College and Hospital, Maharashtra, India

#### **ARTICLE INFO**

#### ABSTRACT

Article History: Received 21<sup>st</sup> June, 2017 Received in revised form 08<sup>th</sup> July, 2017 Accepted 25<sup>th</sup> August, 2017 Published online 29<sup>th</sup> September, 2017

Key words:

Risk, Cross Contamination, Health care providers, Infection control, Immune- compromised. In globally growing of dental treatment provider's, while providing best treatments to the patient, it is extremely important for a dentistto follow a protocol tofacilitate cross infection control in the office and to prevent cross-contamination between the patients, dentists, assistants, and paramedical staff, yet cross contamination and its modes still remain the same. To ensure risk free practice it is essential to follow the two basic R's: Reduce –the infection, Reevaluate the status. This article emphasizes fundamentally on different pathways of cross infection and methods that can be implemented in an attempt to remove but at least reduce creating an ideal situation to prevent cross contamination. Personal protection, decontamination and formulation of an infection control policy have been discussed

**Copyright©2017, Chaitanya Buddhikot et al.** This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Chaitanya S. Buddhikot, Dr. Amit Mani, Dr. Shubhangi Mani and Dr. Sekharamantri Anuraga, 2017. "Prevention is better than cross - contamination", *International Journal of Current Research*, 9, (09), 57130-57133.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Dental health care professionals, the dental assistant and any other member engaged on the operating field are exposed to a wide variety of potentially infectious microorganisms. They can in turn become the source from which contamination can spread and pathological diseases may occur. Hence it is a prime necessity for any dental professional to take care of the cross contamination and provide a risk free practice. Risk in any hospital practice refers to the probability that a loss or something dangerous or harmful will occur. It may be of attributable type, material type or relative type of risk (Taber, 2013). In dental practice there are a number of criteria's which makes risk significant and worthy of concern which may include potential for actual injury to patients or staff, significant occupational health and safety hazard, the possibility of erosion of reputation or public confidence, potential for litigation, minor incidents which occur in clusters and may represent trends (Pankhurst, 2017; ADA's Guidelines for Infection Control, 2015). Cross contamination refers to the transfer or transmission of potentially infectious organisms

Department of Dental Surgery, Rural Dental College and Hospital, Maharashtra, India.

from person to person or from inanimate objects within clinical environment which leads to infection (Pankhurst, 2017).

# Modes of contamination/ cross contamination in a dental clinic

While performing any operative/non- operative procedure the dental health care professional the assistant and the patient are subjected to microorganisms, which may be inhaled, ingested, injected, implanted, or splashed onto the skin or mucosa.

There are multitude pathways for cross contamination in the dental office, such as (Pankhurst, 2017; Cottone *et al.*, 1996; Anil *et al.*, 1999):

- Patient to dental team
- Dental team to patient
- Patient to patient
- Dental office to community, including the dental team's families.

Due to the notorious and minute nature of microorganisms especially when dealing with the oral cavity, the organism shows potentially in affecting the above in the following ways:

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Chaitanya S. Buddhikot,

## A. Dental health care personnel and dental assistant:

While dealing with the operative/non- operative procedure, dentist is subjected to maximum risk.

Transmission can occur by following ways (Pankhurst, 2017; Recommended infection-control practices for dentistry, 1986 & 1993):

- Transmission by direct or indirect contact, for e.g. touching a surface with contaminated hands.
- Percutaneous (parenteral) transmission, such as sharp injuries.
- Transmission via air-borne route, for example aerosols generated by high speed handpieces and respiratory secretions.
- Common vehicle spread, such as dental unit waterlines and plumbing.

In order to prevent any kind of cross infection the following measures enlisted in Table 1 (Pankhurst, 2017; ADA's Guidelines for Infection Control, 2015; Recommended infection-control practices for dentistry, 1986 & 1993; US Department of Labor, 1991 & 2001) can be positively adapted by the dental professional and dental assistant.

## **B.** Cross transmission by patients

Oral cavity plays a role of natural residence to many harmful organisms. Cross contamination mainly occurs from the saliva harboring these microorganisms. The infected personnel may act as a potential vector in transmitting these microorganisms. To procure proper practice it is essential for any dental professional to ensure minute details of the illness of the patient. A thorough history of patient will take care of details, specifically about disease pertaining to immunity, which includes HIV, HbC, HbV etc. Table 2 (Pankhurst, 2017; ADA's Guidelines for Infection Control, 2015; Recommended infection-control practices for dentistry, 1986 & 1993; US Department of Labor, 1991 & 2001; Neelima Malik, 2012) briefly explains the protocol for treating immunocompromised patients.

### In dental clinic

Most dental unit waterlines contain biofilm, which acts as a reservoir of microbial contamination. Biofilm in dental unit waterlines may be a source of known pathogens (e.g. Pseudomonas aeruginosa, non-tuberculous mycobacteria, and Legionella spp) (Neelima Malik, 2012).

#### Table 1. Essential Methods to Prevent Cross Contamination

Masks,	1. Protect mucous membranes of the eyes, nose, and mouth during procedures likely to generate splashing or spattering of blood
Protective Eyewear, and	or other body fluids.
Face Shields	2. Change masks between patients or during patient treatment if the mask becomes moist.
	3. Change mask after every 30 minutes
	1. Wearing of protective clothing (e.g., reusable or disposable gown, laboratory coat, or uniform) that covers personnel clothing
Protective clothing	and skin which may be spoiled with blood, saliva, or any Other Potentially Infectious Material (OPIM).
	2. Before departing work area to prevent cross contamination to surrounding remove, barrier protection, including gloves, mask, eyewear, and gown.
	1. Wear a new pair of medical gloves for each patient, remove them promptly after use, and wash hands immediately to avoid
	transfer of microorganisms to other patients or environment.
	2. Remove gloves that are torn, cut, or punctured as soon as feasible and wash hands before re-gloving.
Gloves	3. Do not wash surgeons or patient examination gloves before use and also do not wash, disinfect, or sterilize gloves for reuse.
Devices Attached to Air	1. Clean and heat-sterilize handpieces and other intraoral instruments.
and Waterlines	2. Do not advise patients to close their lips tightly around the tip of the saliva ejector to evacuate oral fluids

Table 2. Protocol For Treating Immuno compromised Patients

	Appoint the patient at the end of the operating list.
	Operating table should be covered with water proof sheets.
	Equipments needed for observing precautions include
	a) Freshly prepared 2% glutaraldehyde solution
	b) Plastic apron to be worn under the gowns by all personnel
Theatre	c) Eve protective should be worn
	d) Specimens to be labeled as "biohazard"
	e) Linen and plastic bags for transporting linen and instruments
	• After surgery the operating room and all equipment must be thoroughly cleaned with hot
	soary water and sodium hypochlorite
Personnel	Additional narmedical staff should be present in case of any necessity
Spillage	Before morping the area it should be covered with 1% sodium hypochlorite
Spinage	Autoclavable instruments
	1 Should be autoclayed before washing
	2 Allowed to cool
	3 Wash in soary water
Instruments	4 Rinse
moutantento	5 Reautoclave
	Non autoclavable instruments
	1 Immerse in 2% gluteraldehyde for 1 hour
	2 Discard this solution
	3 Instruments should be physically cleaned in warm water and detergent
	4 Rinse again in 2% objective and leave to soak for 3 more hours
Sharps	Needles should be decontaminated and immediately incinerated before disposal







## Strategies to Improve Dental Unit Water Quality

The recommended value of <500 CFU/ mL should be achieved. Simply using source water containing <500 CFU/mL of bacteria (e.g., tap, distilled, or sterile water) in a selfcontained water system will not eliminate bacterial contamination in treatment water if biofilms in the water system are not controlled (Recommended infection-control practices for dentistry, 1986 & 1993). Removal or inactivation of dental waterline biofilms requires use of chemical germicides. Dental devices that are connected to the dental water system and that enter the patient's mouth (e.g. handpieces, ultrasonic scalers, or air/water syringes) should be operated to discharge water and air for a minimum of 20-30 seconds after each patient. This procedure is intended to physically flush out patient material that might have entered the turbine, air, or waterlines. Even with antiretraction valves, flushing devices for a minimum of 20-30 seconds after each patient is recommended (Recommended infection-control practices for dentistry, 1986 & 1993; Walker et al., 2000; Barbeau et al., 1998). Flow Chart 1 briefly outlines the different modes of pathways through which crosscontamination can occur while Figure 1 explains medical waste segregation.

## DISCUSSION

In a hard and fast life of a dental health care personnel, negligence of prevention against contamination is one of the main reasons in communicating cross infection. Ethically, while treating a patient, dental care personnel's should take care of cross contamination and provide a infection free treatment for the patients. The oral cavity is a unique environment with moist, proper temperature, and internal and external metabolites, which promote bacterial growth (Decraene et al., 2008). Thus acting as a nidus for infectious pathogens like HIV, HBs Ag, etc. HIV continues to be a major global public health issue, having claimed more than 35 million lives so far. In 2016, 1.0 million people died from HIV-related causes globally (WHO Fact Sheets, 2017). Dental health care personnel can contribute to get control of this particular scenario, minimal effort can be made by treating every patient as immune compromised for which a thorough case history and making at least tridot testing mandatory in all clinical setups. Thus, health organizations developed guidelines to prevent or minimize threat to dental team's health (Matsuda et al., 2011). The spread of microorganisms can be reduced by limiting surface contamination by microorganisms; adhering to good personal hygiene practices, particularly efficient hand hygiene; using personal protective equipment; using disposable products where appropriate (e.g. paper towels); and following risk minimization techniques such as using rubber dam and pre-procedural mouthrinsing (Pankhurst, 2017; ADA's Guidelines for Infection Control, 2015; Cottone et al., 1996; Anil et al., 1999; Recommended infection-control practices for dentistry, 1986 & 1993; US Department of Labor, 1991 & 2001).

# REFERENCES

- ADA's Guidelines for Infection Control, 2015. 3rd ed. Australian Dental Association.
- Anil, S., Samaranayake, L.P., Krygier, G. 1999. Practical Infection Control in Dentistry. 1st ed. Delhi: AITBS Publishers and Distributors.
- Barbeau, J., Ten Bokum, L., Gauthier, C., Prevost, A.P. 1998. Cross-contamination potential of saliva ejectors used in dentistry. *J Hosp Infect.*, 40:303–11.
- Cottone, J.A., Terezhalmy, G.T., Molinari, J.A. 1996. Practical Infection Control in Dentistry. 2nd ed. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins.
- Decraene, V., Ready, D, Pratten, J., Wilson, M. 2008. Airborne microbial contamination of surfaces in a UK dental clinic. *J Gen Appl Microbiol.*, 54:195–203.
- Lewis, D.L., Boe, R.K. 1992. Cross-infection risks associated with current procedures for using high-speed dental handpieces. J Clin Microbiol., 30:401–6.
- Matsuda, J.K., Grinbaum, R.S., Davidowicz, H. 2011. The assessment of infection control in dental practices in the municipality of São Paulo. *Braz J Infect Dis.*, 15:45–5.
- Neelima Malik. Textbook of Oral and Maxillofacial surgery. 3rd ed. JP Medical Ltd;2012
- Pankhurst, C.L., W.A. Coulter, 2017. Basic Guide to infection prevention and control in dentistry. 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Recommended infection-control practices for dentistry, 1986. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. MMWR. 1986;35:237–42.
- Recommended infection-control practices for dentistry, 1993. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. MMWR. 1993;42(No. RR-8).
- Taber, C.W. 2013. Taber's Cyclopedic Medical Dictionary.22nd ed. F.A. Davis Company.
- US Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. 29 CFR Part 1910.1030. Occupational exposure to bloodborne pathogens; needlesticks and other sharps injuries; final rule. Federal Register. 2001;66:5317– 25. As amended from and includes 29 CFR Part 1910.1030. Occupational exposure to bloodborne pathogens; final rule. Federal Register, 1991;56:64174–82.
- US Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. OSHA instruction: enforcement procedures for the occupational exposure to bloodborne pathogens. Washington, DC: US Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 2001; directive no. CPL 2-2.69.
- Walker, J.T. D.J. Bradshaw, A.M. Bennett, M.R. Fulford, M.V. Martin, P.D. Marsh. 2000. Microbial Biofilm Formation and Contamination of Dental-Unit Water Systems in General Dental Practice. J Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 66(8): 3363–67
- WHO Fact Sheets, July 2017; available at http://www.who.int/ mediacentre/factsheets/fs360/en

\*\*\*\*\*\*