

Available online at http://www.journalcra.com

International Journal of Current Research Vol. 10, Issue, 06, pp.70078-70084, June, 2018 INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

RESEARCH ARTICLE

HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS' PERCEIVED BARRIERS TO EFFECTIVE ADMINISTRATION OF PRESCRIBED ANTIBIOTICS TO INPATIENTS IN NATIONAL ORTHOPAEDIC HOSPITAL ENUGU NIGERIA

¹Ujari K. V., ²Anarado A. N., ^{3,*}Chukwu I. J. and ⁴Ogu C. O.

¹Nursing Services Department, National Orthopaedic Hospital, Enugu State Nigeria ²Department of Nursing Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences and Technology, College of Medicine, University of Nigeria Nsukka

³Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences and Technology, College of Medicine, University of Nigeria Nsukka

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Article History: Received 14 th March, 2018 Received in revised form 27 th April, 2018 Accepted 20 th May, 2018 Published online 28 th June, 2018	The improper use of antibiotics has led to increased emergence of resistant organisms, making it essential to investigate Healthcare providers' perceived barriers to effective administration of prescribed antibiotics to inpatients in National Orthopaedic Hospital Enugu (NOHE) Nigeria. Study adopted a descriptive cross sectional design. The target population was 344 doctors and nurses, 273 met the inclusion criteria and were involved in the study. Data were collected using questionnaire, items constructed in 4 point Likert type response scale with decision mean score of 2.5. Data were
Key words:	analyzed with the aid of statistical package for social science (SPSS) version 20. The result showed financial constraint as the major patients' barrier (3.4), improper timing as the major healthcare
Stewardship,	providers' barrier (3.0) and lack of antibiotics stewardship as the major health system barrier (3.2).
Antibiotic,	There was no significant relationship (p>0.05) between the professional status, gender and years of
Barriers,	experience of the healthcare providers and their perceived barriers to effective antibiotics
Inpatients.	administration. Patients should be educated on antibiotics use, and encouraged to register with Health
	Insurance Scheme which is designed to alleviate the financial burden associated with healthcare
	services. Healthcare providers should administer antibiotics as prescribed and hospital system has to

*Corresponding author

Copyright © 2018, Humayunm S.I. and Col. V.P. Singh. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

set-up antibiotics stewardship.

Citation: Ujari K. V., Anarado A. N., Chukwu I. J. and Ogu C. O., 2018. "Healthcare providers' perceived barriers to effective administration of prescribed antibiotics to inpatients in national orthopaedic hospital enugu nigeria", *International Journal of Current Research*, 10, (06), 70078-70084.

INTRODUCTION

Disease prevention, management and treatment require use of different classes of medications. Different medications have been utilized in the Prevention, management and treatment of various disease conditions (Kamaldeen et al., 2013). Antibiotic as one of the commonest classes of medications, since discovery has become one of the most successful drug groups used in medicine. Their therapeutic and prophylactic power was so convincing. Unfortunately, with decade's optimism, improper use of these antibiotics in both human and other animals has led to the appearance and propagation of resistant strains of organisms that endanger their efficacy resulting in high cost of healthcare and prolonged hospitalization (Ibrahim and Saber-Ayad, 2012; Ronald et al., 2015). In Sub-Saharan Africa, this has led to an increased pressure on healthcare providers in their quest to understand and tackle the increased incidence and burden of infections, which has resulted in

readmission and death among patients (Okonko et al., 2009).During surgical orthopedic procedures, administration of antibiotics (pre, intra and post operatively) is a common practice, as well as in nonsurgical cases (John and Obi, 2014). This has significantly achieved prevention of surgical site infections (SSIs), pathogenic eradication, and has stimulated speedy wound healing. In a recent work by Kardas et al. (2011) indicated that, the never-ending ever-spiraling race in the discovery of new antibiotics in both developed and developing countries is due to decrease in the efficacy of the existing ones and keep the healthcare providers from losing ground in the battle against infection (Kardas et al., 2005). Antibiotic resistances once established, is not reversible. Strong measures should be implemented to avoid and minimize its development, by promoting appropriate prescription and administration (Ibrahim and Saber-Ayad, 2012). In National Orthapaedic Hospital Enugu (NOHE), antibiotics are prescribed and administered both to surgical and

nonsurgical inpatients. In this study, we intend to find out the barriers to effective administration of prescribed antibiotics from the nurses and doctors, who are directly involved in the administration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study adopted a descriptive cross sectional design. This design allows for orderly collection, analysis, interpretation and reporting important factors about the subject on existing situation to explore the association of variables in an effort to gain a better understanding of the phenomena under study(Jackson, 2015). This design was considered appropriate as it has been successfully used in similar study like that of Ugwu, Olibe, Obi and Ugwu(2014).

Area of Study: The study was carried out in National Orthopaedic Hospital Enugu. The hospital is situated in Enugu State, one of the States in the Eastern part of Nigeria. Enugu is inhabited mostly by Igbo speaking people. It is located in Enugu North Local Government Area along Abakaliki-Expressway, Abakpa, Enugu Nigeria.

Study population: The target populations of study were healthcare providers directly involved in the administration of antibiotics in National Orthopaedic Hospital Enugu (Nurses and Doctors). The total number of the doctors and nurses in NOHE for the study was 344 (i.e. nurses 286 and doctors 58).

Sample: The total population of three hundred and forty four (344) nurses and doctors in NOHE was used for the study.

Sampling procedure: Purposive sampling procedure was used for this study, as it allowed the researcher to choose specific people within the population. For the purpose of this study, only 273 nurses and doctors who met the inclusion criteria were used.

Inclusion criteria

- Doctors and Nurses who were employees of National Orthopaedic hospital, Enugu, and directly involved in the prescription and administration of antibiotics.
- Doctors and Nurses who were willing to participate in the study.
- Doctors and Nurses who were available at the time of data collection (not on leave or any academic posting outside the hospital).

Instrument for data collection: The instrument for data collection was self-developed questionnaire with closed ended questions organized in four point Likert type response scales of Strongly Agree (4), Agree (3), Disagree (2) and Strongly Disagree (1). The questions were developed based on the objectives of the study. The thirty five (35) items were grouped into section A to D. Section A contains seven (7) items on socio-demographic data of the respondents; section B contains nine (9) questions on the patient- related barriers; section C contains twelve (12) questions on professional healthcare providers'-related barriers and section D contains seven (7) questions on health system- related barriers to effective administration of antibiotics as prescribed to inpatients in NOHE.

The instrument was constructed using information from antimicrobial stewardship (MacDougall and Polk, 2005), problems associated with antibiotics use (WHO, 2011) and antibiotics policy (WHO, 2014).

Reliability of the instrument: The instrument was pilot-tested on 34 respondents selected randomly from a tertiary health institution in Enugu municipal (Enugu State University of Science and Technology (ESUT) Teaching Hospital). Test retest was done within a period of two weeks interval. The data collected from the two administrations were used to compute the reliability coefficient using Pearson's product moment correlation coefficient which yielded a coefficient of 0. 82. This was deemed adequate and the instrument considered reliable.

Procedure for data collection: We got permission from the Ethical Committee, National Orthopaedic Hospital, Enugu to use their health institution for the study. With the knowledge of the objectives of the study, the ethical consideration involved in the study, questionnaire items and confidentiality, we visited the wards and units daily to recruit respondents and administer questionnaires. The questionnaires were collected on the spot to ensure high retrieval rate and data collection lasted for four weeks.

Method of data analysis: Quantitative data collected were analysed using descriptive statistics of means, standard deviation, frequency and percentages and presented in tables. Items which were constructed in 4 point Likert type response scale of strongly agree (4), agree (3), disagree (2) and strongly disagree (1) had scale mean score of 2.5, therefore any item with a mean score ≥ 2.5 was considered positive response and a barrier (agrees with the statement) and any mean score < 2.5is considered negative response and not a barrier. Data collected were analyzed with the aid of statistical package for Social Science (SPSS) version 20. T-test was used and a p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Two hundred and seventy three questionnaires were administered and all retrieved for data analysis (100% retrieval rate).

Characteristics of the respondents: Items 1-7 of the questionnaire elicited the characteristics of the study respondents. The responses to these items were analysed and presented on Table 1. The respondents were between 25 and 59 years of age with a mean age of (38.9 ± 7.6) and they were mostly females (69.6%). There were more nurses (81.3%) than doctors (18.7%) and most of the doctors were resident doctors (Registrars and Senior Registrars). The study showed that 50.9% of the total respondents were nurses in the junior cadre (Nursing officers I, II and Senior Nursing officers) and about 30.4% were in the senior cadre (Principal nursing officers, Chief nursing officers, Assistant director of nursing and Deputy director of nursing). Majority of the respondents (70.0%) had more than 5 years of working experience in NOHE (29.3+26.7).

Objective one: To determine patient-related barriers to effective administration of prescribed antibiotics to inpatients in NOHE.

Table 1. Socio demographic characteristics of the respondents n=273

Socio demogr	aphic Freque	ncy v	ariables		
Age category	Doctors Nurse	es		Total	Percent
25-29	3	13			5.9
30-34	13	56		16	25.3
35-39	20	65		69	31.1
40-44	10	29		85	14.3
45 and above	5	59		39	23.4
Total51222				64	100
Mean				273	
SD				38.9	
Years of				7.6	
Experience	DoctorsNurs	ses			
<1 years	2	4		Total	2.2
1-5 years	19	95		6	41.8
6-10 years	22	58		114	29.3
>10 years	8	65		80	26.7
Total51222				73	100
Sex Doctors	Nurses			273	
Female	4	186			69.6
Male	47	36		Total	30.4
Total51222				190	100
Doctors profe	ssional status			83	
Junior cadre	44			273	16.1
Senior cadre	7				2.6
Total	51				18.7
Nurses profes	sional status				
Junior	139				50.9
Senior	83				30.4
Total222					81.3

 Table 2. Respondents' perceived patient related barriers to effective administration of prescribed antibiotics to inpatients in NOHE n=273

S/N	Items	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Mean	SD
8	Patients sometimes refuse to take their antibiotics as prescribed due to fear of side effects	163(163)	54(108)	44(132)	12(48)	1.7	0.9
9	Patients sometimes refuse their antibiotics due to fear of pain.	124(124)	105(210)	34(102)	10(40)	1.7	0.8
10	Patients sometimes refuse their antibiotics due to route of transmission	82(82)	110(220)	70(210)	44(176)	2.0	0.8
11	Patients sometimes refuse their antibiotics due to lack of understanding of their disease condition and treatment pattern			153(459)	38(152)	2.7	0.8
12	Inpatients with poor financial status do not receive their antibiotics as prescribed	32(32)	50(100)			3.4	0.8
13	Patients who did not subscribe to National Health Insurance Scheme do not have their	13(13)	14(28)	108(324)	138(552)		0.8
14	antibiotics readily available for administration	15(15)	77(154)	144(432)	37(148)	2.7	0.8
15	Patients who use out of pocket payment method sometimes may not provide their prescribed antibiotics	6(2.2)	41(82)	117(351)	109(436)	3.2	0.8
	The due time for the administration may not be convenient for the patient	52(19.0)	99(198)	106(318)	16(64)	2.3	

To achieve this objective, items 8-15 in the questionnaire were analyzed and the result presented in Table 2. The results showed that respondents mean score for four of the items were above 2.5; as a result we concluded that those four items were patient related barriers to administration of antibiotics as prescribed to inpatients in NOHE. Therefore the perceived patients' related barriers were: Patients sometimes refuse their antibiotics due to lack of understanding of their disease condition and treatment pattern (2.7), others were cost related factors and payment mode (poor financial status makes inpatients not to receive antibiotics as prescribed (3.4), Patients who did not subscribe to National Health Insurance Scheme do not have their antibiotics readily available for administration (2.7) and Patients who use out of pocket payment method sometimes may not provide their prescribed antibiotics (3.2).

Objective two: To identify healthcare providers' related barriers to effective administration of prescribed antibiotics to inpatients in NOHE.

The researcher analyzed items 16-27 to achieve objective two and results are presented in Table 3. The results in Table 3 show the mean and standard deviation of each of the twelve (12) items on Healthcare providers' barriers to administration of prescribed antibiotics to inpatients in NOHE. Eleven (11) of the twelve (12) items were identified as healthcare providers' related barriers to effective administration of prescribed antibiotics to inpatients in NOHE as their mean scores were ≥ 2.5 . The identified professional healthcare providers' barriers include; Failure to explain the benefits and adverse effects of the antibiotics to the patients (2.5), the financial status of the patients is not considered during prescription (2.8), Poor

Age category Doctors NursesTotalPercent $25-29$ 3135.9 $30-34$ 13561625.3 $35-39$ 20656931.1 $40-44$ 10298514.3 45 and above5593923.4Total5122264100Mean27338.9Years of7.6100ExperienceDoctorsNurses7.67.62.211429.3>10 years258>10 years865SoctorsNurses273Female418669.6Male4736TotalSoctors professional status83100Doctors professional status8318.7Junior13950.9Senior cadre72.6Total5118.7Nurses professional status83Junior13950.9Senior8330.4Total22250.9Senior83Junior139Senior83Junior139Senior83Junior139Senior83Junior139Senior83Junior139Senior83Solo30.4Solo81.3	Socio demographic	Frequen	icy variables		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Age category Doctor	rs Nurse	s	Total	Percent
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25-29	3	13		5.9
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30-34	13	56	16	25.3
40.44 10 29 85 14.3 45 and above 5 59 39 23.4 Total51222 64 100 Mean 273 38.9 7.6 SD 38.9 7.6 7.6 Experience DoctorsNurses 7.6 7.6 <1 years	35-39	20	65	69	31.1
45 and above 5 59 39 23.4 Total51222 64 100 Mean 273 38.9 SD 38.9 7.6 Years of 7.6 7.6 Experience DoctorsNurses 7.6 <1 years	40-44	10	29	85	14.3
Total51222 64 100 Mean 273 38.9 SD 38.9 7.6 Experience DoctorsNurses 7.6 <1 years	45 and above	5	59	39	23.4
Mean 273 SD 38.9 Years of 7.6 Experience DoctorsNurses <1 years	Total51222			64	100
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mean			273	
Years of 7.6 Experience DoctorsNurses - <1 years	SD			38.9	
Experience DoctorsNurses Total 2.2 <1 years	Years of			7.6	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Experience Doct	torsNurs	es		
1-5 years 19 95 6 41.8 6-10 years 22 58 114 29.3 >10 years 8 65 80 26.7 Total51222 73 100 273 73 Female 4 186 69.6 66 Male 47 36 Total 30.4 Total51222 190 100 100 Doctors professional status 83 190 100 Doctors professional status 83 273 16.1 Senior cadre 7 2.6 18.7 Nurses professional status 18.7 18.7 Junior 139 50.9 30.4 Senior 83 30.4 30.4 Total222 51 81.3 30.4	<1 years	2	4	Total	2.2
	1-5 years	19	95	6	41.8
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	6-10 years	22	58	114	29.3
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	>10 years	8	65	80	26.7
Sex Doctors Nurses 273 Female 4 186 69.6 Male 47 36 Total 30.4 Total51222 190 100 100 Doctors professional status 83 100 100 Doctors professional status 83 273 16.1 Senior cadre 7 2.6 18.7 Total 51 18.7 18.7 Nurses professional status 50.9 30.4 Junior 139 50.9 30.4 Senior 83 30.4 81.3	Total51222			73	100
Female 4 186 69.6 Male 47 36 Total 30.4 Total51222 190 100 100 Doctors professional status 83 100 100 Junior cadre 44 273 16.1 Senior cadre 7 2.6 18.7 Nurses professional status 18.7 18.7 Junior 139 50.9 Senior 83 30.4 Total222 81.3 13.3	Sex Doctors Nu	urses		273	
Male 47 36 Total 30.4 Total51222 190 100 Doctors professional status 83 Junior cadre 44 273 16.1 Senior cadre 7 2.6 Total 51 18.7 Nurses professional status 50.9 Senior 83 30.4 Junior 139 50.9 Senior 83 30.4 Total222 81.3	Female 4	ļ	186		69.6
Total51222 190 100 Doctors professional status 83 Junior cadre 44 273 16.1 Senior cadre 7 2.6 Total 51 18.7 Nurses professional status 50.9 Senior 83 Junior 139 Senior 83 Jourior 83 Junior 139 Senior 83 Jourior 83 Junior 139 Senior 83 Junior 83 Senior 83 Junior 83	Male 4	7	36	Total	30.4
Doctors professional status83Junior cadre4427316.1Senior cadre72.6Total5118.7Nurses professional status50.9Junior13950.9Senior8330.4Total22281.3	Total51222			190	100
Junior cadre 44 273 16.1 Senior cadre 7 2.6 18.7 Total 51 18.7 18.7 Nurses professional status 50.9 50.9 30.4 Jonior 83 30.4 81.3	Doctors professional	l status		83	
Senior cadre72.6Total5118.7Nurses professional statusJunior139Senior83Total22281.3	Junior cadre	44		273	16.1
Total5118.7Nurses professional statusJunior139Senior83Total22281.3	Senior cadre	7			2.6
Nurses professional status50.9Junior13950.9Senior8330.4Total22281.3	Total	51			18.7
Junior 139 50.9 Senior 83 30.4 Total222 81.3	Nurses professional	status			
Senior 83 30.4 Total222 81.3	Junior	139			50.9
Total222 81.3	Senior	83			30.4
	Total222				81.3

Table 1. Socio demographic characteristics of the respondents n=273

Table 2. Respondents' perceived patient related barriers to effective administration of prescribed
antibiotics to inpatients in NOHE n=273

S/N	Items	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Mean	SD
		1	2	3	4		
8	Patients sometimes refuse to take their antibiotics	163(163)	54(108)	44(132)	12(48)	1.7	0.9
	as prescribed due to fear of side effects						
	Patients sometimes refuse their antibiotics due to						
9	fear of pain.	124(124)	105(210)	34(102)	10(40)	1.7	0.8
	Patients sometimes refuse their antibiotics due to						
10	route of transmission						
	Patients sometimes refuse their antibiotics due to	82(82)	110(220)	70(210)	44(176)	2.0	0.8
11	lack of understanding of their disease condition						
	and treatment pattern					2.7	0.8
	Inpatients with poor financial status do not receive			153(459)	38(152)		
12	their antibiotics as prescribed	32(32)	50(100)				
	Patients who did not subscribe to National Health					3.4	0.8
13	Insurance Scheme do not have their antibiotics		14(28)	108(324)	138(552)		
	readily available for administration	13(13)					0.8
14	Patients who use out of pocket payment method					2.7	
	sometimes may not provide their prescribed	15(15)	77(154)	144(432)	37(148)		0.8
15	antibiotics						
	The due time for the administration may not be	6(2.2)				3.2	0.8
	convenient for the patient		41(82)	117(351)	109(436)		
		52(19.0)	99(198)	106(318)	16(64)	2.3	

 Table 5. Relationship between the professional status of the healthcare providers and their perceived barriers to effective administration of prescribed antibiotics to inpatients in NOHE

Professional Barriers	status	n	Mean	Std. Deviation	t	df	P-value	Decision
Patient Barriers	Doctors Nurses	51 222	2.6225 2.5726	.41570 .45840	.713	271	.476	NS
Healthcare providers Barriers	Doctors Nurses	51 222	2.6797 2.6892	.30794 .42998	148	271	.882	NS
Health System Barriers	Doctors Nurses	51 222	2.7353 2.8536	.57366 .54760	-1.38	271	.169	NS

NS= Not significant

communication, Increased workload, poor timing of administration of and Disagreement between nurses and doctors on who should administer antibiotics of intravenous route (2.5).

Objective three: To identify perceived health-system related barriers to effective administration of prescribed antibiotics to inpatients in NOHE. To achieve objective three, Items 28-34 were analysed and the results are presented on Table 4.

 Table 6. Relationship between the gender of the healthcare providers and their perceived barriers to effective administration of prescribed antibiotics to inpatients in NOHE

		ii iiitean	Sid. Deviation	t	df	P- value	Decision
Patient Barriers F	emale 190	2.5500	.44836	-1.78	271	.076	NS
Ν	Male 83	2.6551	.44925				
Healthcare providers Barriers F	emale 190	2.6732	.41218	865	271	.388	NS
N	Male 83	2.7199	.40378				
Health System Barriers F	emale 190	2.8158	.56212	709	271	.479	NS
N	Male 83	2.8675	.53455				

NS= Not significant

Table 7. Relationship between the years of service of the healthcare providers and their perceived barriers to effective administration of prescribed antibiotics to inpatients in NOHE

Barriers	Years of Experience	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	t	df	P-value	Decision
Patient Barriers	5 years and below	120	2.5677	.43177	462	271	.644	NS
	Above 5 years	153	2.5931	.46562				
Healthcare providers Barriers	5 years and below	120	2.6556	.39160	-1.139	271	.256	NS
	Above 5 years	153	2.7124	.42254				
Health System Barriers	5 years and below	120	2.9000	.52278	1.819	271	.070	NS
-	Above 5 years	153	2.7778	.57226				

The results in Table 4 show the mean and standard deviation of each of the twelve (7) items on Health system related barriers to administration of prescribed antibiotics to inpatients in NOHE. The results show that all the seven (7) health system related items were identified as barriers to effective administration of the antibiotics. They all had mean scores ranging from 2.7 to 3.2. The following eleven (7) factors are health system barriers to effective administration of prescribed antibiotics to inpatients in NOHE; Antibiotics administration in NOHE is guided by policy (2.7), Prescribed antibiotics are not regularly supplied in the Hospital pharmacy for easy access (2.7), NOHE does not have a multidisciplinary team that sees to proper antibiotics use (antimicrobial stewardship) (3.2), Lack of in-service educational programme to improve health care providers antibiotics use (2.8), The system does not support doctors and nurses through the provision of necessary equipment that makes antibiotics administration easy (2.7). The system does not support doctors and nurses through proper staffing (2.8) and Rigid policies on the use of different routes by different providers (2.8).

Table 5 shows the relationship between the professional status of the healthcare providers and their perceived barriers to effective administration of prescribed antibiotics to inpatients in NOHE. This revealed no significant relationship between the professional status of the healthcare providers and their perceived barriers to effective administration of prescribed antibiotics to inpatients in NOHE. This assertion was made because the calculated test statistics (.476, .882 and .169) for the three categories are greater than the critical value of alpha (p>0.05). Table 6 shows the relationship between the gender of the healthcare providers and their perceived barriers to effective administration of prescribed antibiotics to inpatients in NOHE. This revealed no significant relationship between the gender of the healthcare providers and their perceived barriers to effective administration of prescribed antibiotics to inpatients in NOHE. This assertion was made because the calculated test statistics (.076, .388 and .479) for the three categories are greater than the critical value of alpha (p>0.05). Table 7 shows the relationship between the years of experience of the healthcare providers and their perceived barriers to effective administration of prescribed antibiotics to inpatients in NOHE. This revealed no significant relationship between the years of experience of the healthcare providers and their

perceived barriers to effective administration of prescribed antibiotics to inpatients in NOHE. This decision was made because the calculated test statistics (.644, .256 and .070) for the three categories are greater than the critical value of alpha (p>0.05).

DISCUSSION

Respondents' characteristics: The respondents were between 25 and 59 years old and were mostly females (69.6%). There were more nurses than doctors among the respondents and more of the nurses were in the junior cadre. Majority of the respondents (70.0%) had more than 5 years of working experience in NOHE. The socio-demographic characteristics (professional status, gender and years of service) of the respondents did not affect their perceived barriers to effective antibiotics administration as seen in the result of the tested hypotheses which showed no significant relationship (p>0.05).

Patients' related factors

Three of the four identified patient related barriers were finance related. Each reinforced the other. Those with poor financial status ($\bar{x}=3.4$) and those using out-of-pocket payment mode $(\bar{x}=3.2)$ were constrained financially to provide their prescribed antibiotics whereas those using National Health Insurance Scheme (NHIS) were not constrained (\bar{x} =2.7). This supports the goal of NHIS and promotes its use for the greater majority of the Nigerian population. Greater percentage of the respondents also agreed to the statement that patients sometimes refuse their antibiotics due to lack of understanding of their disease condition and treatment pattern (2.7). This is in line with a study carried out by Abu-Saeed etal. (2013) which showed that poor understanding of the disease condition and treatment pattern leads to non-compliance, misuse and abuse of antibiotics which have contributed to the high rate of antibiotics resistance(Abu-Saeed et al., 2013). The finding of this study is also similar with Kehinde and Ogunnowo, 2013on the pattern of antibiotics use in an urban slum. The study showed that the magnitude of non-adherence to antibiotics therapy as prescribed due to lack of understanding of the disease condition and treatment pattern was 86.9% being community based study which is higher than the result of the present study (70.0%) (Kehinde and Ogunnowo, 2013).

Healthcare providers' related barriers: The result of the study showed that antibiotics are being administered to inpatients in NOHE by only doctors and nurses and the commonly used routes of administration of antibiotics in NOHE are intravenous, intramuscular and oral. The study also showed that eleven of the twelve items in objective two were identified as healthcare providers' related barriers to effective administration of prescribed antibiotics to inpatients in NOHE with mean scores ≥ 2.5 . They are as follows: Not considering the financial status of the patients during prescription which was one of the professional healthcare providers' barriers (2.8) is in line with the statement of Shehab and Patel (2008) that inappropriate use of antibiotics which could be as a result of the inability of the prescriber to consider the financial status of the patient has contributed directly to increased antibiotics resistance, increased adverse drug reactions, prolonged hospitalization with increased cost of care. The result of the study also showed that increased workload was a barrier to the administration of antibiotics as prescribed to inpatients in NOHE. This is in agreement with the findings of Barlow et al. (2007), which identified work intensity on the doctors as a barrier to the administration of antibiotics in community acquired pneumonia. Similar barrier was also identified in the present study; that due to increased workload on the doctors in NOHE, antibiotics especially those of intravenous route (as they are to be administered by the doctors according to the hospital policy) were not administered as prescribed. The result of the study also showed that three of the eleven identified healthcare providers' barrier to administration of antibiotics as prescribed in NOHE which are; the time of administration of antibiotics is sometimes forgotten by the doctors (2.8), the health care provider may be too busy the time the administration of the antibiotics is due (3.0), the healthcare provider may decide to give the antibiotics with other medications (2.8) all centered on poor timing. The above perceived professional healthcare providers' barriers are in line with the findings of Jaroen et al. (2007) which identified poor timing as one of the barriers to optimal antibiotics use for community acquired pneumonia in hospitals as the healthcare workers may be busy attending to other issues when the antibiotics administration is due (Schouten et al., 2007).

Health system related barriers: The health system barriers of antibiotics administration in NOHE being guided by policy (2.7) and NOHE's rigid policies on the use of different routes by different providers (2.8) are in line with the opinion of Malani et al. (2013) that developing and implementing an antibiotics policy and standard treatment guidelines (STG) will reduce the percentage of antibiotics resistant organisms in a facility, reduce the occurrence of C. defficile infections, improve patient outcomes and decrease toxicity. The issue in NOHE as observed is the existence of policy wherein lies a lot of bottle necks which may not have encouraged good patient healthcare outcome; antibiotics of intravenous route are sometimes not properly administered because the doctor maybe too busy or not available as at the time of administration, and the nurse cannot administer the antibiotics because the intravenous route of administration is strictly used by doctors thereby encouraging antibiotics resistance. The health system barriers of; prescribed antibiotics are not regularly supplied in the Hospital pharmacy for easy access (2.7), NOHE does not have a multidisciplinary team that sees to proper antibiotics use (antimicrobial stewardship) (3.2), Lack of in-service educational programme to improve health care providers antibiotics use (2.8), The system does not

support doctors and nurses through the provision of necessary equipment that makes antibiotics administration easy (2.7), and the system does not support doctors and nurses through proper staffing (2.8) all centered on the guidelines stipulated by Shehab and Patel (2008) for proper antibiotics stewardship in hospitals which must be overcome for proper implementation of antibiotics stewardship to be achieved. The aim of antibiotics stewardship is to optimize antibiotics use. For antibiotics stewardship to be achieved, there must be; a developed policy which must be implemented, proper antibiotics administration (right dose, right time, right route, right patient and right antibiotics), monitoring and feedback antibiotics resistance, improved educational on and administrative means, proper staffing, adequate funding and provision of required equipment (Shehab and Patel, 2008). Pollack and Srinivasan, (2014), stated that for a hospital antibiotic stewardship to be achieved there should be adequate 'tracking' ie monitoring antibiotics prescribing pattern. The results of the study showed that the hospital system did not meet the required guideline for proper antibiotics administration (antibiotics stewardship) as stipulated by Shehab and Patel (2008).

Conclusion

Although respondents were able to identify some patients' related factors, the findings of the study showed that most of the barriers were related to healthcare provider and health system. Patients should be educated on the importance of avoiding the patient related barriers, the healthcare providers should ensure proper antibiotics administration and the healthcare system should endeavour to monitor antibiotics administration. amend any policy that jeopardizes administration of antibiotics and imbibe antibiotics stewardship as to reduce the burden of antibiotics resistance and for a better patient outcome.

Acknowledgment: We acknowledge National Orthopaedic Hospital, Enugu for the permission to carry out the study in the institution and our different families.

REFERENCES

- Barlow, G., Nathwani, D., Myers, E., Sullivan, F., Stevens, N., Duffy, R. and Davey, P., 2007. Identifying barriers to the rapid administration of appropriate antibiotics in community-acquired pneumonia. *Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy*, 61(2), pp.442-451.
- Ibrahim, O.M. and Saber-Ayad, M.A.H.A., 2012. Antibiotic misuse in different hospital wards (a pilot study in an Egyptian hospital). Asian J Pharm Clin Res, 5(2), pp.95-7.
- Jackson, S.L., 2015. Research methods and statistics: A critical thinking approach. Cengage Learning.
- John, A. and Obi, P.A.,2014. Rational Use of Medicines in Nigeria: A Critical Review. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, 4:16.
- Kamaldeen, A.S., Gbenga, S.J. and Folake, L.J., 2013. Prescription Pattern of Antibiotics among Physicians in a Secondary Health Facility in Abuja, Nigeria.
- Kardas, P., Devine, S., Golembesky, A. and Roberts, C., 2005. A systematic review and meta-analysis of misuse of antibiotic therapies in the community. *International journal* of antimicrobial agents, 26(2), pp.106-113.

- Kehinde, O.O. and Ogunnowo, B.E., 2013. The pattern of antibiotic use in an urban slum in Lagos State, Nigeria. *West African Journal of Pharmacy*, 24(1).
- MacDougall, C. and Polk, R.E., 2005. Antimicrobial stewardship programs in health care systems. *Clinical microbiology reviews*, 18(4), pp.638-656.
- Malani, A.N., Richards, P.G., Kapila, S., Otto, M.H., Czerwinski, J. and Singal, B., 2013. Clinical and economic outcomes from a community hospital's antimicrobial stewardship program. *American journal of infection control*, 41(2), pp.145-148.
- Okonko, I.O., Soleye, F.A., Amusan, T.A., Ogun, A.A., Ogunnusi, T.A., Ejembi, J., Egun, O.C. and Onajobi, B.I., 2009. Incidence of multi-drug resistance (MDR) organisms in Abeokuta, Southwestern Nigeria. *Global journal of pharmacology*, 3(2), pp.69-80.

Pollack, L.A. and Srinivasan, A., 2014. Core elements of hospital antibiotic stewardship programs from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *59*(suppl_3), pp.S97-S100.

- Ronald, K., Woods, E. and Patchen, D. 2015. Antibiotics use. University of Washington Medical Center, Seattle, Washington. *American family physician*,57(11):2731-2740.
- Schouten, J.A., Hulscher, M.E., Natsch, S., Kullberg, B.J., van der Meer, J.W. and Grol, R.P., 2007. Barriers to optimal antibiotic use for community-acquired pneumonia at hospitals: a qualitative study. *Quality and Safety in Health Care*, 16(2), pp.143-149.
- Shehab, N., Patel, P.R., Srinivasan, A. and Budnitz, D.S., 2008. Emergency department visits for antibioticassociated adverse events. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 47(6), pp.735-743.
- World Health Organization, 2011. Step-by-step approach for development and implementation of hospital antibiotic policy and standard treatment guidelines.World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization, 2014. *Antimicrobial resistance:* global report on surveillance. World Health Organization.
