

Available online at http://www.journalcra.com

International Journal of Current Research Vol. 10, Issue, 12, pp.76541-76543, December, 2018 DOI: https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.33538.12.2018 INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

RESEARCH ARTICLE

PROFILE AND DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS IN ALLERGIC RHINITIS PATIENTS: A CLINICAL STUDY

^{1*}Dr. Sanchit Menon and ²Dr. Deepshikha

¹Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Kalpana Chawla Government Medical College, Karnal, Haryana, India ²Department of Anaesthesia Park Hospital Karnal, India

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Article History: Received 28 th September, 2018 Received in revised form 20 th October, 2018 Accepted 19 th November, 2018 Published online 31 st December, 2018	Allergic Rhinitis (AR) represents a global health problem. It is an extremely common disease worldwide, affecting 10 to 25% of the population. AR constitutes approximately 55% of all allergies seen in India. However, in India, AR still does not receive the attention it deserves by both patients, as well as, clinicians. This hospital based cross sectional retrospective study was done on 2525 patients who had attended E.N.T. OPD at a tertiary care hospital of Central India, with aim to study clinical profile and demographic factors of allergic rhinitis. Majority (72%) of the subjects were suffering from seasonal allergic rhinitis and 28%
Key Words:	from perennial allergic rhinitis. Majority of the subjects (62%) belonged to low income category, followed by Middle income category (25.6%), and rest were upper income category (12.4%). The study reveals most
Allergic rhinitis, Sneezing, Nasal obstruction, Rhinorrhoea, Allergic shiners.	common symptom in seasonal allergic rhinitis is nasal obstruction ($p=0.0001$) and in perennial allergic rhinitis is sneezing ($p=0.18$) and rhinorrhea ($p=0.01$) and these results are statistically significant. The symptom of rhinorrhoea was frequently seen in allergic rhinitis patients. Also nasal obstruction was distinct feature which could easily show the presence of allergic rhinitis. It was found that sign of transverse crease can also help us to find cases of perennial allergic rhinitis. Allergic shiners and allergic salute were also mostly seen in patients of allergic rhinitis which can also help us to distinguish cases of various type of rhinitis. The disease was more commonly found in younger age group and low income category.

Copyright © 2018, Dr. Sanchit Menon and Dr. Deepshikha. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Dr. Sanchit Menon and Dr. Deepshikha, 2018. "Profile and demographic factors in allergic rhinitis patients- A clinical study", *International Journal of Current Research*, 10, (12), 76541-76543.

INTRODUCTION

Allergic Rhinitis (AR) represents a global health problem. It is an extremely common disease worldwide, affecting 10 to 25% of the population. However, this figure probably underestimates the prevalence of the disease, as many patients do not recognize rhinitis as a disease and therefore, do not consult a physician (International Rhinitis Management Working Group, 1994). An increasing prevalence of AR over the last decades has been recognized (Aberg et al., 1996; Ciprandi, 1996). AR has been identified as one of the top ten reasons for visits to primary care clinics (Gregory et al., 1999). Basically AR is a symptomatic disorder of the nose, induced after allergen exposure by an immunoglobulin E (Ig E)mediated inflammation of the membranes lining the nose (Bousquet, 2001). It is characterized by nasal congestion, rhinorrhea, sneezing, itching of nose and/or postnasal drainage (Bousquet, 2001). Other conditions associated with AR are asthma, sinusitis, otitis media, nasal polyposis, lower respiratory tract- infection and dental malocclusion (Spector, 1997). Risk factors for AR are well-identified. Indoor and outdoor allergens as well as occupational agents cause rhinitis and other allergic diseases diseases (Bousquet et al., 2008).

*Corresponding author: Sanchit Menon

Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Kalpana Chawla Government Medical College, Karnal, Haryana, India. AR constitutes approximately 55% of all allergies seen in India (Justo Padilla, 2013). However, in India, AR still does not receive the attention it deserves by both patients, as well as, clinicians (Braback *et al.*, 2005). Moreover, literature in India is scarce, regarding the clinical characteristics of the patients with AR, according to the recent classification. The present study was hence, conducted with the aim to identify the demographic and clinical profile of the patients with AR and to find the association of predominant disease symptoms with common allergens, type and severity of the disease and other co-morbidities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data for this study was collected from patients attending E.N.T OPD at a tertiary care hospital of Central India over a period of five years from January 2010 to December 2015. The study sample size was 2525 cases and is hospital based cross sectional retrospective study.

Inclusion criteria

• All cases of allergic rhinitis in OPD patients of tertiary care hospital

Table 1. Distribution of study subject on the basis of income

Income	Male	Female		Total	
Upper	263 (10.4%)	51 (2%)		314 (12.4%)	
Middle	490 (19.4%)	156 (6.2%))	646 (25.6%)	
Low	1192 (47.2%)	373 (14.8%	b)	1565 (62.0%)	
Total	1945 (77.0%)	580 (23%)		2525 (100.0%)	
	Table 2. Symptoms an	nong Perennial and seasonal	allergic rhinitis cases		
Symptoms	Perennial(n=1005)	Seasonal(n=1520)	Grand Total (n=2525)	P – value#	
Sneezing	591 (58.79%)	793 (52.16%)	1384 (54.80%)	0.18	
NI: 1	591 (58,79%)	722 (47.51%)	1313 (52.00%)	0.01*	
Rhinorrhoea					
Rhinorrhoea Nasal discharge	480 (47.74%)	833 (54.82%)	1313 (52.00%)	0.14	
Rhinorrhoea Nasal discharge nasal obstruction	480 (47.74%) 394 (39.20%)	833 (54.82%) 848 (55.81%)	1313 (52.00%) 1242 (49.20%)	0.14 0.0001*	
Rhinorrhoea Nasal discharge nasal obstruction nead ache	480 (47.74%) 394 (39.20%) 540 (53.77%)	833 (54.82%) 848 (55.81%) 783 (51.50%)	1313 (52.00%) 1242 (49.20%) 1323 (52.40%)	0.14 0.0001* 0.68	
Annorrhoea Nasal discharge nasal obstruction nead ache tching nose	480 (47.74%) 394 (39.20%) 540 (53.77%) 277 (27.64%)	833 (54.82%) 848 (55.81%) 783 (51.50%) 475 (31.23%)	1313 (52.00%) 1242 (49.20%) 1323 (52.40%) 752 (29.80%)	0.14 0.0001* 0.68 0.45	
Rhinorrhoea Nasal discharge nasal obstruction head ache tiching nose itching eyes	480 (47.74%) 394 (39.20%) 540 (53.77%) 277 (27.64%) 414 (41.21%)	833 (54.82%) 848 (55.81%) 783 (51.50%) 475 (31.23%) 636 (41.86%)	1313 (52.00%) 1242 (49.20%) 1323 (52.40%) 752 (29.80%) 1050 (41.60%)	0.14 0.0001* 0.68 0.45 0.95	

Table 3. Sign amo	ng Perennia	l and seasonal	l allergic rhi	nitis cases

Perennial(n=1005)	Seasonal(n=1520)	Grand Total (n=2525)	P – value#
414 (41.21%)	626 (41.20%)	1040 (41.20%)	0.92
470 (46.73%)	747 (49.17%)	1217 (48.20%)	0.65
368 (36.68%)	596 (39.20%)	964 (38.20%)	0.63
354 (35.18%)	616 (40.53%)	970 (38.40%)	0.27
379 (37.69%)	550 (36.21%)	929 (36.80%)	0.81
358 (35.68%)	561 (36.88%)	919 (36.40%)	0.85
450 (44.72%)	530 (34.88%)	980 (38.80%)	0.03*
354 (35.18%)	555 (36.54%)	909 (36.00%)	0.83
	Perennial(n=1005) 414 (41.21%) 470 (46.73%) 368 (36.68%) 354 (35.18%) 379 (37.69%) 358 (35.68%) 450 (44.72%) 354 (35.18%)	Perennial(n=1005) Seasonal(n=1520) 414 (41.21%) 626 (41.20%) 470 (46.73%) 747 (49.17%) 368 (36.68%) 596 (39.20%) 354 (35.18%) 616 (40.53%) 379 (37.69%) 550 (36.21%) 358 (35.68%) 561 (36.88%) 450 (44.72%) 530 (34.88%) 354 (35.18%) 555 (36.54%)	Perennial(n=1005) Seasonal(n=1520) Grand Total (n=2525) 414 (41.21%) 626 (41.20%) 1040 (41.20%) 470 (46.73%) 747 (49.17%) 1217 (48.20%) 368 (36.68%) 596 (39.20%) 964 (38.20%) 354 (35.18%) 616 (40.53%) 970 (38.40%) 379 (37.69%) 550 (36.21%) 929 (36.80%) 358 (35.68%) 561 (36.88%) 919 (36.40%) 450 (44.72%) 530 (34.88%) 980 (38.80%) 354 (35.18%) 555 (36.54%) 909 (36.00%)

*significant, # chi-square test

Table 4. Investigational findings among Perennial and seasonal allergic rhinitis cases

Investigation	Perennial(n=1005)	Seasonal(n=1520)	Grand Total (n=2525)	P-value#
X-ray paranasal sinuses(WNL)	621 (61.81%)	969 (63.79%)	1590 (63.00%)	0.72
Nasal endoscopy(WNL)	369 (36.68%)	656 (43.19%)	1025 (40.60%)	0.17
Eosinophilia present	666 (66.4%)	980 (64.5%)	1646 (65.2%)	0.73
# chi-square test				

Exclusion Criteria

- All patients who underwent some nasal surgeries.
- All patients of nasal polyps, nasal mass.
- All patients with history of trauma.

Data Analysis

• All statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS & appropriate statistical tools will be applied wherever required.

RESULTS

The study reveals most common symptom in seasonal allergic rhinitis is nasal obstruction (p=0.0001) and in perennial allergic rhinitis is sneezing (p=0.18) and rhinorrhea (p=0.01) and these results are statistically significant. The study table reveals most common sign among all allergic rhinitis patients are bluish edematous turbinates (p=0.65) and there was statistically significant in transverse crease (p=0.03) which was more associated with seasonal rhinitis patients. The study reveals most consistent investigation among all allergic rhinitis patients was presence of eosinophilia (p=0.73) which is statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

Majority of the subjects i.e (62%) belonged to low income category, followed by Middle income category 128 (25.6%), nd rest were upper income category i.e. 62 (12.4%), which

reflects on the income group of the population attending our out patients department. According to study conducted by L. Braback (Braback et al., 2005) low socioeconomic status was related to reduced risk of asthma with allergic rhinitis in earliest cohort study (0.72, 95%) but slightly increased risk in most recent cohort study (1.07, 95%). In our study there is no significant association of examinational findings between seasonal and perennial allergic rhinitis in contrast to study conducted by Robert A Nathan where more affective diagnosis was made by self reporting and examination conducted by physician rather than investigative procedures like x-rays, nasal endoscopy and eosinophilic count (Nathan, 1997). In our study, rhinorrhea was significantly higher in perennial rhinitis cases, whereas, nasal obstruction was significantly higher in seasonal cases. This result was comparable to those found by Bauchau et al in which mean score of rhinorrhoea intermittent (N=287) was 1.78 and persistent (N=119) was 2.04 (Bauchau, 2005). In our study signs of allergic rhinitis and type of allergic rhinitis were not significantly associated with perennial and seasonal allergic rhinitis except transverse crease which was significantly higher in perennial rhinitis cases. In other study by Justo Padilla et al there was highly significant association between signs of allergic rhinitis and presence of allergic rhinitis seen in 256 asthmatic children (Justo Padilla, 2013).

Conclusion

Allergic rhinitis is the commonest immunologic disease and is the commonest chronic disease experienced by humans. In our study symptom of rhinorrhoea was frequently seen in allergic rhinitis patients, which would help us to diagnose seasonal and perennial outcome. Also nasal obstruction was distinct feature which could easily show the presence of allergic rhinitis. It was found in this study that sign of transverse crease can also help us to find cases of perennial allergic rhinitis. Allergic shiners and allergic salute were also mostly seen in patients of allergic rhinitis which can also help us to distinguish cases of various type of rhinitis. Nasal endoscopy and eosinophilic counts were useful investigations; these were easy and cost effective means to distinguish the disease. Hence outcome of these investigations was useful. In our study we found the disease to be more common in younger age group and low income category. Majority of the subjects suffered from seasonal allergic rhinitis.

REFERENCES

- Aberg N., Sundell J., Eriksson B., Hesselmar B., Aberg B. 1996. Prevalence of allergic diseases in schoolchildren in relation to family history, upper respiratory infections, and residential characteristics. Allergy. 51:232–7.
- Bauchau V., Durham SR. 2005. Epidemiological characterization of the intermittent and persistent types of allergic rhinitis. Allergy. 60(3):350–53.
- Bousquet J., Khaltaev N., Cruz AA., Denburg J., Fokkens JW., Togias A. *et al.*, 2008. Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) 2008 Update (in collaboration with the World Health Organization, GA²LEN and Aller Gen) Allergy. 63 (Suppl. 86):8–160.

- Bousquet J., Van Cauwenberge PB., Khaltaev N., Aït-Khaled N., Annesi-Maesano I., Bachert C. *et al.*, 2001. Allergic rhinitis and its impact on asthma: ARIA Workshop Report in collaboration with the World Health Organization. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 108(Suppl 5):S147–S334.
- Braback, L., Hjern, A.and Rasmussen. F. 2005. Social class in asthma and allergic rhinitis: a national cohort study over three decades. *European respiratory journal*, 26: 1064-68.
- Ciprandi G., Vizzaccaro A., Cirillo I., Crimi P., Canonica GW. 1996. Increase of asthma and allergic rhinitis prevalence in young Italian men. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 111:278–83.
- Gregory C., Cifaldi M., Tanner LA. 1999. Targeted intervention programs: creating a customized practice model to improve the treatment of allergic rhinitis in a managed care population. *Am J Manag Care.*, 5:485–96.
- International Rhinitis Management Working Group. International Consensus Report on the diagnosis and management of rhinitis. Allergy. 1994;49(19 Suppl):1–34.
- Justo Padilla, Mónica Uceda, *et al.*, 2013. Association between Allergic Rhinitis and Asthma Control in Peruvian School Children: BioMed Research International Volume 2013, Article ID 861213.
- Nathan RA., Meltzer EO., Selner JC., Storms W. 1997. Prevalence of allergic rhinitis in the United States. J Allergy Clin Immunol., 99:S808–814.
- Spector SL. 1997. Overview of comorbid associations of allergic rhinitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.*, 99:S773–80.
