

Availableonlineathttp://www.journalcra.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OFCURRENTRESEARCH

International Journal of Current Research Vol. 11, Issue, 09, pp.7084-7086, September, 2019

DOI: https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.36358.09.2019

RESEARCH ARTICLE

MATERNAL AND NEONATAL OUTCOME IN CASES OF PRETERM PREMATURE RUPTURE OF MEMBRANES AFTER 34 WEEKS OF GESTATION

* Divya, V., Sumathi, N. and Karthika, K.

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Govt. Rajaji Hospital, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India

ARTICLEINFO	ABSTRACT
Article History: Received 15 th June, 2019 Received in revised form 12 th July, 2019 Accepted 16 th August, 2019 Published online 30 st September, 2019	Background: Preterm premature rupture of membrane (PPROM) is associated with various maternal and neonatal complications. The study was carried out to determine the various maternal and neonatal outcomes associated with rupture of membranes beyond 34 weeks of gestation. Methods: It was a prospective study carried out in Govt .Rajaji hospital for a period of 5 months from March 2019 to July 2019. All the pregnant women with rupture of membranes after 34 weeks are included in the study. After establishment of diagnosis of rupture of membranes, antibiotics were started and all of
<i>Key Words:</i> Induced, Neonatal, Premature, Rupture of membrane, Sepsis.	them were induced after 6 hours if they did not have spontaneous labour. Various maternal and neonatal outcomes were noted and statistical analysis carried out. Results: Incidence of rupture of membrane in our study was 1 %. 84 % of patients delivered within 24 hours of rupture of membrane and 20 % of them required caesarean section. 14 % neonates had respiratory distress syndrome and 12 % neonate had sepsis. Conclusions: Induction of labour and delivery within 24 hours of rupture of membranes associated with low incidence of maternal and neonatal adverse outcome.

Copyright © 2019, *Divya et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Divya, V., Sumathi, N. and Karthika, K.. 2019. "Maternal and neonatal outcome in cases of preterm premature rupture of membranes after 34 weeks of gestation", *International Journal of Current Research*, 11, (09), 7084-7086.

INTRODUCTION

Premature rupture of membranes (PPROM) is defined as rupture of membranes before 37 completed weeks and before onset of labour(ACOG 2016 b)such rupture likely has various causes but intrauterine infection, oxidative stress induced DNA damage and premature cellular senescence are major predisposing events. Associated risk factors include lower socioeconomic status, BMI<19.8, nutritional deficiencies and cigarette smoking. Women with PPROM carry an enhanced risk for recurrence during a subsequent pregnancy. Despite these known risk factors, none is identified in most cases of preterm rupture. Increased apoptosis of membrane cellular component and greater levels of specific proteases in membranes and amniotic fluid are related to PPROM. Thus collagen degradation has been a focus of research. Matrix Metallo Proteinase (MMP) family is involved with tissue remodeling and particularly with collagen degradation. Some members are found in higher concentration in amniotic fluid from pregnancies with PPROM. MMP activity is regulated by Tissue Inhibitor of metallo proteinases (TIMP). Several of these inhibitors are found in lower concentration in amniotic fluid from women with ruptured membrane. Bacterial endotoxins are TNF alpha cells which elicit release of fetal fibronectin by amnion epithelial cells.

Fetal fibronectin binds to TOLL like receptors 4 in amnion mesenchymal cells to activate signaling cascades which results in augmented prostaglandin E synthesis and elevated activity of MMP resulting in premature rupture. The management of PPROM has long been in controversy in the obstetrics practice. The advocates of aggressive termination of pregnancy have played major role in severe maternal and foetal morbidity. Some suggested conservative management of PPROM in absence of labour or signs of infection to allow for a favourable gestational age. PPROM remains a major cause of preterm delivery, neonatal morbidity and mortality. The underlying multifactorial etiology and the lack of differentiation of preterm labour with intact membranes and that following PPROM in research studies has made preventive strategies difficult to develop. Treatment strategies including antibiotic therapy and the use of corticosteroids in women who have already undergone PPROM have improved neonatal outcomes significantly. However the prediction and prevention of PPROM remains a significant challenge in obstetrics. Some of the serious complications associated with PPROM include chorioamnionitis, cord prolapse, placental abruption, RDS, sepsis, intraventricular hemorrhage and fetal death. This study has been carried out to determine the various maternal and neonatal outcomes in cases of premature rupture of membranes after 34 weeks period of gestation and before 37 weeks of gestation.

^{*}Corresponding author: Divya, V.,

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Govt. Rajaji Hospital, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India.

AIM: To study the maternal and fetal outcome of preterm premature rupture of membranes at Govt Rajaji hospital,Dept of Obstetrics and gynecology, a tertiary care center – which caters unbooked cases and as a referral center of the region.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a prospective study carried out at Govt. Rajaji hospital, Madurai from 15th MARCH 2019 to 15th JULY 2019. Institutional Ethical Committee clearance was obtained. An informed consent was obtained from all the participants enrolled in the study. All pregnant ladies presenting to hospital labour room with history of rupture of membrane after 34 weeks period of gestation were included in the study. Rupture of membrane was established by clinical examination . Period of gestation was established from the last menstrual period and in cases of unsure dates, first trimester ultrasonographic date was taken into consideration. After doing general examination of patient, speculum examination was done and high vaginal swab was taken. Blood counts were sent. Per vaginal examination was done using sterile precautions. Fetal wellbeing was established by cardiotocogram. Antibiotics were started to the patient as per hospital policy i.e. T. Erythromycin 400 mg 1 QID . Antibiotics were given for a maximum period of 5 days. Fetal wellbeing and liquor state was established by doing CTG and AFI. Patients who were in labour with cephalic presentation were allowed to progress spontaneously and those not in labour were observed for 6 hrs for onset of spontaneous labour. If patient had not gone into labour for 6 hrs were induced depending on their Bishops score with PGE2 or oxytocin infusion. Those with malpresentations were taken up for scheduled LSCS. Those who were given trial of vaginal delivery were taken up for operative or instrumental deliveries for Obstetric indication. Neonates with birth weight more than 2 kg and normal APGAR score were observed in NICU for 4 hrs, breast feeding was established in NICU. If postnatal course was uneventful both the neonate and mother were shifted to the ward . Neonates were observed in the ward for 48 hrs and if neonatal course was uneventful and maternal condition was stable they were discharged with post natal advice.

Data analysis: Details of all cases of PPROM were noted in Proforma and data was entered in the excel sheet.

Quantitative analysis was done using Excel.

RESULTS

Total no of deliveries during the period of one year was 4804. 50 pregnant women reported to labour room with history of leaking >34 weeks < 37 weeks. On examination, leaking was demonstrable in all patients and 26 patients . Table 1 shows the socio-demographic factors associated in this study and it shows that most of the pregnant women who had PPROM were < 22 years of age. Incidence of PPROM was more in primipara. Among the PPROM cases, 40 % cases occurred at 36 weeks as shown in table 2. Almost 84 % of PPROM patients delivered within 24 hours and 78 % of them had normal vaginal delivery and 20 % of them required caesarean section. Klebsiella was the predominant organism grown in vaginal swab and growth of other organisms are as shown in Table 3. Neonatal outcomes were shown in table 4. Total 72 % neonates were observed in NICU and 6 % of them required

Table 1. Socio-demographic factors of PPROM.

(n=50) Maternal age	No(n)	Percentage		
(vrs)				
< 22	22	44		
23-27	18	36		
>28	10	20		
Parity				
Primipara	30	60		
Multipara	20	40		
Socioeconomic status				
Low	29	58		
Middle	21	42		
High	0	0		
H/o abortion				
No	44	88		
Yes	6	12		

Table 2. Obstetrics factors for PPROM.

Obstetric	No (n)	Percentag
factors(n=50)		e
Leaking to delivery interval		
<12 H	22	44
13-24 Н	20	40
25-96 Н	8	16
POG at delivery		
34 weeks	17	34
35 weeks	13	26
36 weeks	20	40
Mode of delivery		
Vaginal	39	78
Instrumental	1	2
Caesarean section	10	20

Table 3. Organisms grown in vaginal swab.

Name of the organism	No (n)	Percentage
Klebsiella	5	10
Coagulase negative	4	8
Staph. aureas		
Enterococcus	1	2
E.Coli	4	8
No growth	36	72

Table 4. Neonatal outcome of PPROM

Neonatal factors(n=50)	No (n)	Percentage
Support in NICU		
Observation	36	72
O2 by hood	8	16
CPAP	3	6
Ventilator	3	6
Birth weight (Kg)		
< 1.5	1	2
1.5-1.99	11	22
2 - 2.5	30	60
>2.5	8	16
Length of stay at hospital	l	
Upto 2 days	1	2
2-4 days	15	30
>4 days	34	68
Morbidities		
Jaundice	22	44
RDS	1	2
Sepsis	6	12
Sepsis / RDS	7	14
Nil	13	26

ventilatory support. 68 % of the neonates were discharged within 4 days. 84 % of the babies had birth weight below 2.5 Kg. 2 % babies were diagnosed as a case of respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) and 12 % neonate had sepsis, 14 % babies had both sepsis and RDS.

DISCUSSION

The incidence of preterm premature rupture of membranes (PPROM) is about 3-8% and premature rupture of membranes at term i.e. beyond 37 weeks period of gestation also complicates approximately 8% of pregnancies.³ In our study, the incidence of premature rupture of membrane after 34 weeks period of gestation was 1 %. With advancing maternal age the incidence of PPROM also increases but in our study 44 % women who had PPROM were below 22 years of age.³ This may be due to most of the deliveries occurring to women below 30 years of age in this socio cultural background. 60 % primigravidas had PPROM as compared to 40 % multigravida in this present study. 88 % of pregnant women who had PPROM had no prior history of abortion. In our study, all the pregnant women with PPROM beyond 34 weeks period of gestation were induced either by oxytocin or PGE2 gel depending on Bishop's score. Almost 84 % of the pregnant women were delivered within 24 hours of rupture of membranes. 20 % of pregnant women with PPROM underwent caesarean section in this study. Use of prophylactic antibiotics are recommended in PPROM but benefit of prophylactic antibiotics in women with ruptured membranes at term before labour is unclear according to Passos et al.⁶ We have used antibiotics in all cases of PPROM in this study. The low incidence of infection may be because of antibiotic use and most of the deliveries completed within 24 hours of rupture of membrane as most of the studies shows that if the latency period increased beyond 24 hours after rupture of membranes, there is increased incidence of infection related morbidity. Out of 14 cases where vaginal swab culture grown organism, 5 of them were Klebsiella . As the gestation increases, incidence of fetal morbidity and mortality decreases. In our study, there was no case of perinatal mortality. Among the neonates who required NICU admission, 12 % of them required continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) or ventilator support. Lower incidences of chorioamnionitis, endometritis, and NICU

admissions were noted in our study. Prospective design andinclusion of all pregnant women with rupture of membrane after 34 weeks of gestation for a period of five months is the strength of our study.

Conclusion

Induction of labour and delivery within 24 hours of rupture of membranes is associated with low incidence of maternal and neonatal adverse outcome.

REFERENCES

- Cunningham FG, Lenevo KJ, Bloom SL. Abnormal labour, Chapter 23. William's Obstetrics, 24th ed.2014;462.
- Datta MR., Kabiraj M. 2007. Induction of labour with oral misoprostol in women with prelabour rupture of membranes at term. JOGI. 57(6):505-8.
- El-Messidi A., Cameron A. 2010. Diagnosis of premature rupture of membranes: inspiration from the past and insights for the future. *J Obstet Gynaecol Canada*. 32(6):561-9.
- Medina TM., Hill DA. 2006. Preterm Premature Rupture of Membranes: Diagnosis and management. Am Fam Physician., 73:659-64.
- Mozurkewich E., Chilimigras J., Koepke E. 2009. Indications for induction of labour: a best-evidence review. BJOG., 116(5):626.
- Okeke TC., Enwereji JO., Okoro OS., Adiri CO., Ezugwu EC., Agu PU. 2014. The incidence and management outcome of preterm premature rupture of membranes (pprom) in a tertiary hospital in Nigeria. Am J Clini Med Res., 2(1):14-7.
- Passos F., Cardose K., Coelho AM. 2012. Antibiotic prophylaxis in premature rupture of membranes atterm. *Obstet Gynecol.*, 120:1045.
- Revathi V., Sowjanya R., Lavanya S. 2015. Maternal and Perinatal Outcome in Premature Rupture of Membranes at Term. *IOSR J Dent Medic Sci.*,14(4):12-5.
