

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

International Journal of Current Research Vol. 12, Issue, 07, pp.12467-12469, July, 2020

DOI: https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.39167.07.2020

RESEARCH ARTICLE

COMPERATIVE STUDY OF CORTICOSTEROID VS AUTOLOGOUS BLOOD (PRP) IN THE TREATMENT OF CHRONIC TENNIS ELBOW

^{1,*}Dr. Shakti Kishore, ²Dr. Priya Ranjan and ³Dr. Vijay Kumar

¹Senior Resident, Dept of Orthopaedics, PMCH Patna ²Senior Resident, Dept of Orthopaedics, PMCH Patna ³Prof & HOD, Dept., of Orthopaedics, PMCH Patna

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 21st April, 2020 Received in revised form 30^h May, 2020 Accepted 07th June, 2020 Published online 28th July, 2020

Key Words:

Autologous Blood, Corticosteroid, Local Injection, Tennis Elbow.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Chronic painful tendon disorders are common in both sport persons and common individuals. Lateral epicondylitis (tennis elbow) is relatively more common among active individuals in the general population. Typical signs and symptoms include pain and tenderness over the lateral epicondyle, exacerbated by resisted wrist extension and passive wrist flexion and impaired grip strength. Aim of the study -To find whether autologous blood provides comparable functional outcome over local steroids and hence whether it can replace steroids in treatment of tennis elbow. Materials and Methods: Patients with nontraumatic elbow pain attending the OrthopaedicsOut patient Department of Patna Medical College Hospital from Sep 2015 to May 2019. The participating subjects were randomly grouped into two groups (steroid (Group A) and autologous blood (Group B)). Pain the subject's affected elbow was measured using Visual analogue Score (VAS). Results: Initially, both the groups had comparable initial VAS scores. At 1 month follow up, steroid group showed a significantly greater improvements in mean VAS scores when compared to autologous blood group. However, at 6 months follow up, steroid group showed no statistically significant difference in mean VAS scores when comppared to autologous blood group. Conclusion: From the current study, we concluded that both local corticosteroid and autologous blood were equally efficacious in the treatment of chronic lateral epicondylitis of elbow.

Copyright © 2020, Shakti Kishore et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Dr. Shakti Kishore, Dr. Priya Ranjan and Dr. Vijay Kumar. 2020. "Comperative study of corticosteroid vs autologous blood (prp) in the treatment of chronic tennis elbow", International Journal of Current Research, 12, (07), 12467-12469.

INTRODUCTION

Musculoskeletal disorders are common problems. They are the most common work-related disease with high costs incurred from long-term disability. Chronic painful tendon disorders are commonin both athletic and sedentary individuals. Lateral epicondylitis is relatively more common among active individuals in the general population. Lateral epicondylitis has been found to be the second most frequently diagnosed musculoskeletal disorder of the upper extremities in a primary healthcare setting. Tennis elbow or lateral epicondylities refers to a syndrome of pain centred over the common origin of the extensor muscles of the fingers and wrist at the lateral epiocondyle. Typical signs and symptoms include pain and tenderness over the lateral epicondyle exacerbated by resisted wrist extension and passive wrist flexion and impaired grip strength. It occurs more commonly in non-athletes than athletes and has a peak incidence in the fifth decade. The initial treatment is with rest.

Modification of activity and local splint. Local injection of corticosteroids comes next if the initian treatment is found to be unsatisfactory. Another modality of treatment is the local administration of growth factors. These growth factors are administered in the form of autologous whole blood or platelet- Rich Plasma (PRP) that play a role in tissue regeneration processes.

Aims of the Study: In the treatment of lateral epicondylitis, there exist several different treatments with varying side effects. Local injection of corticosteroids has been "the treatment" for tennis elbow for long. Despite its local complications, it is still preferred over other treatment modalities. But, there is growing evidence in the current literature, which states that there is absence of an inflammatory component in lateral epicondylitis. So, the treatment by local steroids need to be re-evaluated as steroid treatment is based on the concept that the major pathological factor in tennis. Elbow is inflammation. Moreover, studies show conflicting evidence about their efficacy and there are some complications too.

In a study by Jobe and Cicotti, it was found that superficial injection of corticosteroid may result in subcutaneous atrophy associated with local depigmentation and that intratendinous injection may lead to adverse changes within the ultrastructure of the tendon. The use of autologous growth factors seems to be promising in the treatment of this disease. It is thought to lead to tendon healing through collagen regeneration and the stimulation of angiogenesis. It is obtained from autologous blood and is a cheap and readily available alternative to steroids without any adverse effect. This study aims to find whether autologous blood provides comparable functional outcome over local steroids and hence whether it can replace steroids in treatment of tennis elbow. Autologous blood was selected as the medium for injection because

- It is minimally traumatic.
- It is devoid of potential complications such depigmentation skin atrophy, tendon tears.
- It is simple to acquire and prepare, ease to carry out as an outpatient procedure.
- It is inexpensive.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Our study was a prospective interventional cohort study. Patients with nontraumatic elbow pain attending the Orthopaedics Outpatient Department of Patna Medical College Hospital, Patna, Bihar, were included for study. Total duration of study was 44 months from Sep 2015 to May 2019.

Inclusion Criteria: Patients between 15-70 years of age diagnosed of having chronic lateral epicondylitis.

Exclusion Criteria

- Pain less then 6 months duration.
- History of trauma.
- Patients having local infection over the lateral aspect of elbow.
- Patients with history of surgery for tennis elbow.
- Effusion of the elbow.

100 patients attending OPD of Patna Medical College Hospital were selected in the study. All patients were informed about the study and a written consent was obtained from those willing to participate in the study. Then, the participating subjects were randomly grouped into two groups (steroid(Group A) and autologous blood (Group B). Pain in the subject's affected elbow was measured using visual Analogue Score (VAS).

Procedure: Subjects were made to lie supine. The affected elbow was thoroughly cleaned with povidone-iodine and spirit. The point of maximum tenderness over the common extensor origin area was identified by palpation and 2 ml (80mg) Depo-Medrol was infiltrated locally into that point of subjects belonging to Group a. Under strict aseptic precautions, 2 ml of blood was drawn from subjects belonging to Group B via venepuncture from the contralateral antecubital fossa and it was infiltrated locally into their affected elbow as described earlier. All the subjects were observed for 1 hour for any acute adverse effects. Following the procedure, they were asked to apply ice over the elbow, take paracetamol as necessary. Pain in the subjects' elbow was reassessed after 1 month and again

at 6 months using VAS. Patients were advised not to take any other analgesics during the study period. All injections were given by the same doctor.

RESULTS

Age group encountered in the study ranged from 15 years to 70 years with a mean age of 50 in steroid injection group and 45 in autologous blood injection group. Peak incidence at fifth decade of life was seen in steroid injection group and at fourth decade was seen in autologous blood injection group. The mean age of patients in steroid injection group was 46.5 and in autologous blood injection group was 45, p value=0.15, which was not significant. Thus, age of patients in both the groups was comparable. Out of the 100 participants, 56 were males and 44 were females, In steroid injection group, males 56 (56%) and females 44 (44%) and autologous blood injection group, males 52 (52%) and females 48 (48%) patients, respectively, P value >0.05 (0.54), which is not statistically significant. Thus both the groups were comparable in terms of number of males and females in each group. Prevalence of diabetes mellitus and prevalence of hypertension showed no significant difference between the two groups. The mean duration of symptoms in patients with lateral epicondylitis in steroid injection group and autologous blood group were 1.9 years and 1.9 years, respectively. P value was 0.916, which means there is no significant difference between the two groups regarding mean duration of symptoms.

Initially, both the groups had comparable initial VAS scores. At 1 month follow up, steroid group showed a significantly greater improvement in wean VAS scores (26.0, from 65.6 to 39.6%) when compared to autologous blood group (7.4; from 65.2 tot 57.8;11.3%); p value 0.001. However, at 6 months follow up, steroid group showed no statistically significant difference in mean VAS scores (36.0; from 65.6 to 29.6;54.9%) when compared to autologousblood group (36.4; from 65.2 to 28.8; 55.8%); p value 0.79 The above said score when compared to autologous blood group; p value 0.79 At 6 months follow-up also, steroid group didn't have a statistically significant different in that score when compared to autologous blood group; p value 0.65 with autologous blood was 62.79 p value 0.92. This means both the groups had comparable initial average.

DISCUSSION

In this current study, the mean age encountered was 50 years; the peak incidence was seen from 30 to 50 years. This was seen similar in two separate studies, which observed mean age of 45 and 43 years. Another study observed the mean age to be 46.5 years. In this current study, out of the 100participansts, 56 were male patients and 44 were female patients. Two other studies had more number of male patients. One study had equal number of males and female patients. Parameters like age sex, duration of symptoms of the patients were comparable. The mean VAS score before injection in both the groups was 65.6, mean VAS score for autologous blood injection group was 65.2, p value was 0.82. At 1 month follow up, statistically significant difference between the two groups with VAS scoring was seen. Contricosteroid injection group. One study showed similar results with local corticosteroid injection group when compared with oral naproxen. A prospective, double-blinded, randomized trial by Creaney et al

published in British Journal of Sports Medicine 2011 eompared the effectiveness of PRP versus autologous blood. The main outcome measure was PRTEE. At 6 months, the authors observed a 66% success rate in the PRP group versus 72% in the autologous blood group. There was a higher rate of conversion to surgery in the autologous blood group (20%) versus the PRP group (10%). Our study results are in agreeance with the above-mentioned study in regard to improvement in function scores in the autologous blood group; though our study didn't compare PRP with autologous blood. The major disadvantage regarding studies including PRP is that there are no definite standardized means for extracting PRP.

A study by Kazemi M, Azma K, Tavana B, Rezaiee Moghaddam F and Panahi A compared local corticosteroid with autologous blood injections for the short-term treatment of lateral elbow tendinopathy. Intergroup analyses at w weeks showed superiority of autologous blood for severity of pain (P=0.001), pain in grip (P=0.002), pressure pain threshold (P=0.031) and quick DASH questionnaire score (P=0.004). They concluded that autologous blood was more effective in short term than the corticosteroid injection. When comparing with the above mentioned study, our study had conflicting results as far as VAS scores are concerned, but there was no significant difference in short term with regard to PRTEE scosre and MEP the two g roups. However, our study had results comparable to that of a study of Ozturan KE, Yucel I, Cakici H, Guven M, Sunger I and a meta-analysis by Barr S, Cerisola FL and Blanchard V where corticosteroid injection provided a high success rate in the short term.

Complications: No complications were observed in any of the patients in the study population during the study period.

Conclusion

From the current study, we concluded that both local corticosteroid and autologous blood were equally efficacious in the treatment of chronic lateral epicondylitis of elbow.

REFERENCES

- Connell DA, Ali KE Ahmad M. Ultrasound-guided autologous blood injection for tennis elbow. Skeletal Radiol 2006; 35 (6): 371-377
- De Vos RJ, Weir A, van Schie HT. Platelet-rich plasma injection for chronic Achilles tendinopathy: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2010; 303 (2):144-149

- Dojode CM. A randomized control trial to evaluate the efficacy of autologous blood injection versus local contricosteroid injection for treatment of lateral epicondylitis. Bone Joint Res 2012; (8):192-197.
- Edwards SG, Calandruccio JH. Autologous blood injections for refractory lateral epicondylitis. J bone Surg 2003; 28(2):272-278.
- Ekberag K, Bjorkqvist B, Malm P, et al, Case-control study of risk factors for disease in the neck and shoulder area. Occup Environ Med 1994; 51(4):262-266
- Hay EM, Paterson SM, Lewis M, et al. Pragmatic randomized controlled trial of local contricosteroid injection and naproxen for treatment of lateral epicondylitis of elbow in parimary care. BMJ 1999;319(7215):964-968
- Jobe FW, Ciccotti MG. 1994. Lateral and medical epicondylitis of the elbvow. *J Am AcadOrthop Surg.*, 2(1):1-8.
- Kazemi M, azma K, Tavana B, et al. 2010. Autologous blood versus contricosteroid local injection in the short-term treatment of lateral elbow tendinopathy: a randomized clinical trial of efficacy. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 89(8) 660-667
- Komma PR, Arun Hs, Manohar PV. A study of efficacy with local methyl prednisolone acetate injection cersus autologous blood injection in the treatment of lateral epicondylitis. Int J Biol Med Res 2014; 5 (4):4440-4447.
- Maffulli N, Wong J, almekinders LC. Types and epidemiology of tendinopathy. Clin Sports Med 2003; 22(4):675-692.
- Ozturan KE, Yucel I, Cakici H, et al., 2010. autologous blood and corticosteroid injection and extracorporeal shock wave therapy in the treatment of lateral epicondylitis. Orthopaedics (2) 84-91.Ozturan KE, Yucel I, Cakici H, et al. autologous blood and contricosteroidinjection and extracorporeal shock wave therapy in the treatment of lateral epicondylitis. Orthopaedics 2010; 33(2):84-91
- Peerbooms JC, Sluimer J, Bruijn DJ, et al. Positive effect on an autologous platelet concentrate in lateral epicondylitis in a double-blind randomized controlled trial: Platelet-rich plasma versus corticosteroid injection with a 1-year follow-up. Am J Sports Med 2010; 38(2):255-262.
- Shiri R, Viikari-Juntura E, Varonen H, et al. Prevalence and determinates of lateral and medical epicondylitis: a population study. Am J Epidemiol 2006; 164 (11):1065-1074
- Woo SLY,Renstrom PAFH. Tendinopathy in athletes. The encyclopaedia of sporsts medicine. Vol. 12. Hong Kong: Blackwell 2007:1-9.
