

Available online at http://www.journalcra.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

International Journal of Current Research Vol. 12, Issue, 09, pp.13861-138666, September, 2020

DOI: https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.39261.09.2020

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# AN ORTHOGONAL GENERALIZED HIGHER REVERSE LEFT (RESP. RIGHT) CENTRALIZER ON SEMIPRIME RINGS

# Fawaz Ra'ad Jarullah Salah Mehdi Salih

Department of Mathematics, College of Education, Al-Mustansirya University, Iraq

The main object of this paper is prove that: Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring  $T=(T_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  and

H=(H<sub>i</sub>)<sub>teN</sub> be two generalized higher reverse left (resp. right) centralizers associated with the higher

reverse left (resp. right) centralizers t=( $t_i$ )<sub>i \in N</sub> and h=( $h_i$ )<sub>i \in N</sub> resp. of R , where  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are

commuting. Then  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are orthogonal if and only if  $T_n(x) H_n(y) = t_n(x) H_n(y) = 0$ , for all x,  $y \in$ 

## **ARTICLE INFO**

### ABSTRACT

R and  $n \in N$ .

Article History: Received 24<sup>th</sup> June, 2020 Received in revised form 09<sup>th</sup> July, 2020 Accepted 14<sup>th</sup> August, 2020 Published online 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2020

#### Key Words:

sem iprime Ring, Generalized Higher reverse left(Resp. right) Centralizer, Orthogonal Generalized Higher Reverse left (Resp. Right) Centralizers.

Copyright © 2020, Fawaz Ra'ad Jarullah Salah Mehdi Salih. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Fawaz Ra'ad Jarullah Salah Mehdi Salih. 2020. "An orthogonal generalized higher reverse left (resp. right) centralizer on semiprime rings", International Journal of Current Research, 12, (09), 13861-138666.

# **INTRODUCTION**

A ring R is called semiprime if xRx = (0) implies = 0, such that  $x \in R$  (3). Let R be a ring then R is called 2-torsion free if 2x = 0 implies x = 0, for all  $x \in R$  (3). Zalar (5) present the concepts of centralizer and Jordan centralizer of a ring R as follows: A left (resp. right) centralizer of a ring R is an additive mapping t: R  $\longrightarrow$  R which satisfies the following equation t (xy) = t(x) y (resp.t (xy) = x t (y)), for all x, y  $\in$  R. t is called a centralizer of R if it is both a left and a right centralizer. A left (resp. right) Jordan centralizer of a ring R is an additive mapping t: R  $\longrightarrow$  R which satisfies the following equation t ( $x^2$ ) = t(x) x (resp. t ( $x^2$ ) = x t(x)), for all  $x \in$  R. t is called a Jordan centralizer of R if it is both a left and a right Jordan centralizer. Jarullah and Salih (4) introduced the concepts of a generalized higher reverse left (resp. right) centralizer and a Jordan generalized higher reverse left (resp. right) centralizer on rings as follows:

Let  $T = (T_i)_{i \in N}$  be a family of additive mappings of a ring R into itself. Then T is called a generalized higher reverse left (resp. right) centralizer associated with the higher reverse left (resp. right) centralizer  $t = (t_i)_{i \in N}$  of R if for all x,  $y \in R$  and  $n \in N$ 

 $T_{n}(xy) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i}(y) t_{i-1}(x)$ (resp.  $T_{n}(xy) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i-1}(y) T_{i}(x)$ ).

Let  $T = (T_i)_{i \in N}$  be a family of additive mappings of a ring R into itself. Then T is called a Jordan generalized higher reverse left (resp. right) centralizer associated with the Jordan higher reverse left (resp. right) centralizer  $t = (t_i)_{i \in N}$  of R, if the following equation holds, for all  $x \in R$  and  $n \in N$ :

$$T_{n}(x^{2}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i}(x) t_{i-1}(x)^{(\text{resp. }} T_{n}(x^{2}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i-1}(x) T_{i}(x)^{-1}.$$

In this paper, we define and study the concept of orthogonal generalized higher reverse left (resp.right) centralizers of semiprime rings and we prove some of lemmas and theorems about orthogonally one of these Theorems is : Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring,  $T=(T_i)_{i\in N}$  and  $H=(H_i)_{i\in N}$  be two generalized higher reverse left (resp.right) centralizers of R, Suppose that  $T_n^2 = H_n^2$ , for all  $n \in N$ . Then  $T_n + H_n$  and  $T_n - H_n$  are orthogonal. In our work we need the following Lemmas :

# Lemma (1.1): (2)

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and x, y be elements of R, then the following conditions are equivalent : (i) xry = 0, for all  $r \in R$  (ii) yrx = 0, for all  $r \in R$  (iii) xry + yrx = 0, for all  $r \in R$  If one of these conditions is fulfilled, then xy = yx = 0.

### Lemma (1.2): (1)

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and x, y be elements of R if xry + yrx = 0, for all  $r \in R$ , then xry = yrx = 0.

#### Orthogonal Generalized Higher Reverse Left (resp. Right) Centralizers on

Semiprime Rings: In this section we will introduce and study the concept of orthogonal generalized higher reverse left (resp.right) centralizers on semiprime rings.

## **Definition (2.1):**

Two generalized higher reverse left (resp.right) centralizers  $T = (T_i)_{i \in N}$  and  $H = (H_i)_{i \in N}$  of a ring R are called orthogonal if  $T_n(x)$ 

 $R H_n(y) = (0) = H_n(y) R T_n(x), \text{ for all } x, y \in R \text{ and } n \in N. \text{ Where } T_n(x) R H_n(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) z H_i(y), \text{ for all } z \in R$ 

# Lemma (2.2):

Let R be a semiprime ring, suppose that  $T=(T_i)_{i\in N}$  and  $H=(H_i)_{i\in N}$  be two generalized higher reverse left (resp.right) centralizers of R, satisfy  $T_n(x) R H_n(x) = (0)$ , for all  $x \in R$  and  $n \in N$ . Then  $T_n(x) R H_n(y) = (0)$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in N$ .

# **Proof:**

Suppose that  $T_n(x) \in H_n(x) = (0)$ , for all  $x \in R$  and  $n \in N$  That is  $T_n(x) \in H_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \ge H_i(x) = 0$ , for all  $x, z \in R \dots (1)$ 

Replace x by x + y in (1), we have that 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i(x+y) z H_i(x+y) = 0 \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i(x) z H_i(x) + T_i(x) z H_i(y) + T_i(y) z H_i(x) + T_i(y) z H_i$$

 $T_i(y) \ge H_i(y) = 0$  Therefore, by our assumption and Lemma (1.1), we get

$$\sum_{i=l}^n \ T_i(x) \, z \, \, H_i(x) \, = \, 0 \ , \ \text{for all} \ x \ , \ y \, , \, z \, \in \, R$$

Thus ,  $T_n(x) \mathrel{R} H_n(y) {=} (0)$  , for all x ,  $y \mathrel{\in} R$  and  $n \mathrel{\in} N$  .

### Lemma (2.3):

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring,  $T=(T_i)_{i\in N}$  and  $H=(H_i)_{i\in N}$  be two generalized higher reverse left (resp.right) centralizers of R. Then  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are orthogonal if and only if  $T_n(x) H_n(y) + H_n(x) T_n(y) = 0$ , for all x,  $y \in R$  and  $n \in N$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are orthogonal T.P.  $T_n(x)H_n(y) + H_n(x)T_n(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in N$  Since  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are orthogonal, we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i(x) z H_i(y) = 0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_i(y) z T_i(x)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in R$  Therefore, by Lemma (1.1),

we get the require result . .

Conversely, , it's clear by using Lemma (1.2)

**Theorem (2.4):** Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring ,  $T=(T_i)_{i\in N}$  and  $H=(H_i)_{i\in N}$  are orthogonal generalized higher reverse left (resp.right) centralizers associated with the higher reverse left (resp.right) centralizers  $t=(t_i)_{i\in N}$  and  $h=(h_i)_{i\in N}$  resp. of R , where T<sub>n</sub> and H<sub>n</sub> are commuting .Then the following relations are holds , for all x , y  $\in$ R and  $n \in N$ : (i) T<sub>n</sub>(x) H<sub>n</sub>(y) = H<sub>n</sub>(x) T<sub>n</sub>(y) = 0 Hence T<sub>n</sub>(x) H<sub>n</sub>(y) + H<sub>n</sub>(x) T<sub>n</sub>(y) = 0 (ii) t<sub>n</sub> , H<sub>n</sub> are orthogonal and t<sub>n</sub>(x) H<sub>n</sub>(y) = H<sub>n</sub>(x) t<sub>n</sub>(y) = 0 (iii) h<sub>n</sub> , T<sub>n</sub> are orthogonal and h<sub>n</sub>(x) T<sub>n</sub>(y) = T<sub>n</sub>(x) h<sub>n</sub>(y) = 0 (iv) t<sub>n</sub> , h<sub>n</sub> are orthogonal higher reverse left (resp.right) centralizers

## **Proof:**

(i) Suppose that 
$$T_n$$
 and  $H_n$  are orthogonal  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i(x) z H_i(y) = 0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_i(y) z T_i(x)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in R$  By Lemma (1.1),

we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i}(x) H_{i}(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}(x) T_{i}(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  Then, we get  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i}(x) H_{i}(y) + H_{i}(x) T_{i}(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  Then, we get  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i}(x) H_{i}(y) + H_{i}(x) T_{i}(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  Then, we get  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i}(x) H_{i}(y) + H_{i}(x) T_{i}(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  Then, we get  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i}(x) H_{i}(y) + H_{i}(x) T_{i}(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  Then, we get  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i}(x) H_{i}(y) + H_{i}(x) T_{i}(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

 $all \ x \ , \ y \in R \ Hence \ T_n(x) \ H_n(y) + \ H_n(x) \ T_n(y) = 0 \ , \ for \ all \ x \ , \ y \in R \ and \ n \ \in N \ (ii) \ Suppose \ that \ T_n \ and \ H_n \ are \ orthogonal \ A \ and \ H_n(y) = 0 \ , \ for \ all \ x \ , \ y \in R \ and \ n \ \in N \ (ii) \ Suppose \ that \ T_n \ and \ H_n \ are \ orthogonal \ A \ and \ H_n(y) = 0 \ , \ for \ all \ x \ , \ y \in R \ and \ n \ \in N \ (ii) \ Suppose \ that \ T_n \ and \ H_n \ are \ orthogonal \ A \ and \ H_n(y) = 0 \ , \ for \ all \ x \ , \ y \in R \ and \ n \ \in N \ (ii) \ Suppose \ that \ T_n \ and \ H_n \ are \ orthogonal \ and \ H_n(y) = 0 \ , \ for \ all \ x \ , \ y \in R \ and \ n \ \in N \ (ii) \ Suppose \ that \ T_n \ and \ H_n(y) = 0 \ , \ for \ all \ x \ , \ y \in R \ and \ n \ (ii) \ Suppose \ that \ T_n \ and \ H_n(y) = 0 \ , \ (ii) \ Suppose \ that \ T_n(y) = 0 \ , \ (ii) \ Suppose \ that \ T_n(y) = 0 \ , \ (ii) \ Suppose \ that \ T_n(y) = 0 \ , \ (ii) \ Suppose \ that \ T_n(y) = 0 \ , \ (ii) \ Suppose \ that \ T_n(y) = 0 \ , \ (ii) \ Suppose \ that \ (ii) \ Suppose \ (ii) \ Suppose \ that \ (ii) \ Suppose \ (ii) \ (ii) \ Suppose \ (ii) \ (ii) \ Suppose \ (ii) \$ 

By (i), we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i(x) H_i(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  Replace x by zx and since  $H_n$  is a commuting, we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i(x) H_i(y) = 0$ .

$$H_{i}(y) T_{i}(zx) = 0 \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}(y) T_{i}(x) t_{i-1}(z) = 0 \text{ By Lemma (1.1), we have that}$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}(y) t_{i-1}(z) = 0$$

Right multiply by  $t_i(x)$ , we have that

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}(y) t_{i-1}(z) t_{i}(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R ... (1) \text{ Since } H_{n} \text{ is a commuting , we have that } \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}(x) t_{i-1}(z) H_{i}(y) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R ... (2) \text{ By (1) and (2), we get } t_{n} \text{ and } H_{n} \text{ are orthogonal . From (2), we have that } \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}(x) t_{i-1}(z) H_{i}(y) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R \text{ By Lemma (1.1), we have that } \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}(x) H_{i}(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}(x) t_{i}(y) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R \text{ Thus }, t_{n}(x) H_{n}(y) = H_{n}(x) t_{n}(y) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R \text{ and } n \in N.$ (iii) By the same method as (ii). (iv) Since that  $T_{n}$  and  $H_{n}$  are orthogonal By (ii), we have that  $t_{n}(x) H_{n}(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in N$ .

R, and  $n \in N$   $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i(x) H_i(y) = 0$  Replace y by yz, we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i(x) \alpha H_i(yz) = 0$   $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i(x) H_i(z) h_{i-1}(y) = 0$  Replace

 $h_{i-1}(y)$  by  $h_i(y)$ , we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i(x) H_i(z) h_i(y) = 0$  By Lemma (1.1), we get the require result.

### Theorem (2.5)

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring,  $T=(T_i)_{i\in N}$  and  $H=(H_i)_{i\in N}$  are orthogonal generalized higher reverse left (resp.right) centralizers associated with the higher reverse left (resp.right) centralizers  $t=(t_i)_{i\in N}$  and  $h=(h_i)_{i\in N}$  resp. of R. Then the following relations are hold, for all  $n\in N$ : (i)  $t_nH_n = H_nt_n = 0$  (ii)  $h_nT_n = T_nh_n = 0$  (iii)  $T_nH_n = H_nT_n = 0$ 

**Proof : (i)** Since that  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are orthogonal By Theorem (2.4)(ii), we have that  $t_n(x) H_n(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in N$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}(x) H_{i}(y) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}(t_{i}(x) H_{i}(y)) = 0 \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}(H_{i}(y)) t_{i-1}(t_{i}(x)) = 0 \text{ Right multiply by } t_{i}(H_{i}(y)), \text{ we can also be a set of the set of$$

have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i(H_i(y)) t_{i-1}(t_i(x)) t_i(H_i(y)) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  Since R is a semiprime ring, we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i(H_i(y)) = 0$ ,

for all  $y \in R \Rightarrow t_n H_n = 0$ , for all  $n \in N \dots (1)$  Also, by Theorem (2.4)(ii), we have that  $H_n(x) t_n(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in N$ 

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}(x) t_{i}(y) = 0 \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}(H_{i}(x) t_{i}(y)) = 0 \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}(t_{i}(y)) h_{i-1}(H_{i}(x)) = 0 \text{ Right multiply by } H_{i}(t_{i}(y)), \text{ we have that}$   $\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}(t_{i}(y)) h_{i-1}(H_{i}(x)) H_{i}(t_{i}(y)) = 0 \text{ Since } R \text{ is a semiprime ring , we have that } \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}(t_{i}(y)) = 0, \text{ for all } y \in R \Rightarrow H_{n} t_{n} = 0, \text{ for all } n \in N \dots (2) \text{ From (1) and (2), we get } t_{n} H_{n} = H_{n} t_{n} = 0, \text{ for all } n \in N \dots (ii) \text{ By the same method as (i)}$ (iii) Since that  $T_{n}$  and  $H_{n}$  are orthogonal By Theorem (2.4)(i), we have that  $T_{n}(x) H_{n}(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in N \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{n}(x) H_{n}(y) = 0$ .

$$T_{i}(x) H_{i}(y) = 0 \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i}(T_{i}(x) H_{i}(y)) = 0 \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i}(H_{i}(y)) t_{i-1} (T_{i}(x)) = 0 \text{ Right multiply by } T_{i}(H_{i}(y)), \text{ we have that } \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i}(H_{i}(y)) t_{i-1} (T_{i}(x)) = 0 \text{ Right multiply by } T_{i}(H_{i}(y)) t_{i-1} (T_{i}(x)) = 0 \text{ Right multiply by } T_{i}(H_{i}(y)) t_{i-1} (T_{i}(x)) = 0 \text{ Right multiply by } T_{i}(H_{i}(y)) t_{i-1} (T_{i}(x)) = 0 \text{ Right multiply by } T_{i}(H_{i}(y)) t_{i-1} (T_{i}(x)) = 0 \text{ Right multiply by } T_{i}(H_{i}(y)) t_{i-1} (T_{i}(x)) = 0 \text{ Right multiply by } T_{i}(H_{i}(y)) t_{i-1} (T_{i}(x)) t_{i-1} ($$

 $T_i(H_i(y)) t_{i-1}(T_i(x)) T_i(H_i(y)) = 0 \text{ Since } R \text{ is a semiprime ring , we have that } \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(H_i(y)) = 0 \text{ , for all } y \in R \Rightarrow T_n H_n = 0 \text{ , for all } y \in R$ 

all  $n \in N \dots (1)~$  Also, By Theorem (2.4)(i) , we have that

 $H_n(x)\,T_n(y)\,{=}\,0$  , for all  $x\,,\,y\in R$  and  $n\,\in\,N$ 

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i=1}^{n} \quad H_{i}(x) \ T_{i}(y) = 0 \ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \quad H_{i}(H_{i}(x) \ T_{i}(y)) = 0 \\ &\sum_{i=1}^{n} \quad H_{i}(T_{i}(y)) \ h_{i\cdot l}(\ H_{i}(x)) = 0 \qquad \text{Right multiply by } H_{i}(T_{i}(y)) \ , \ \text{we have that} \\ &\sum_{i=1}^{n} \quad H_{i}(T_{i}(y)) \ h_{i\cdot l}(\ H_{i}(x)) \ H_{i}(T_{i}(y)) = 0 \ \text{Since } R \ \text{is a semiprime ring , we have that} \ &\sum_{i=1}^{n} \quad H_{i}(T_{i}(y)) = 0 \ , \ \text{for all } y \in R \Rightarrow H_{n} \ T_{n} = 0 \ , \ \text{for all } n \in N \ ... \ (2) \ \text{From } (1) \ \text{and} \ (2) \ , \ \text{we get } T_{n}H_{n} = H_{n}T_{n} = 0 \ , \ \text{for all } n \in N \ ... \end{split}$$

## Theorem (2.6):

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring,  $T = (T_i)_{i \in N}$  and  $H = (H_i)_{i \in N}$  be two generalized higher reverse left (resp.right) centralizers associated with the higher reverse left (resp.right) centralizers  $t = (t_i)_{i \in N}$  and  $h = (h_i)_{i \in N}$  resp. of R, where  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are commuting. Then  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are orthogonal if and only if the following relations are holds, for all x,  $y \in R$  and  $n \in N : (i)$  $T_n(x) H_n(y) + H_n(x) T_n(y) = 0$  (ii)  $t_n(x) H_n(y) = h_n(x) T_n(y) = 0$ 

### **Proof:**

Suppose that  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are orthogonal T.P. (i)  $T_n(x) H_n(y) + H_n(x) T_n(y) = 0$ 

(ii)  $t_n(x) H_n(y) = h_n(x) T_n(y) = 0$ , for all x,  $y \in R$  and  $n \in N$ 

Since T<sub>n</sub> and H<sub>n</sub> are orthogonal

By Lemma (2.3), we get (i). Now, Since  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are orthogonal By Theorem (2.4)(i), we have that  $T_n(x) H_n(y) = 0$ 

Replace  $H_i(y)$  by x , we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i}(x) x = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} (T_{i}(x) x) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}(x) t_{i-1}(T_{i}(x)) = 0$$

Left multiply by  $H_i(y)$  and since  $H_n$  is a commuting, we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i(x) H_i(y) t_{i-1}(T_i(x)) = 0$ 

Right multiply by  $t_i(x) H_i(y)$ , we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i(x) H_i(y) t_{i-1}(T_i(x)) t_i(x) H_i(y) = 0$  Since R is a semiprime ring, we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i(x) H_i(y) = 0 \Rightarrow t_n(x) H_n(y) = 0$ , for all x,  $y \in R$  and  $n \in N$ ...(1) Also, by Theorem (2.4)(i), we have that  $H_n(x) T_n(y) = 0$ , for all x,  $y \in R$  and  $n \in N$  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_i(x) T_i(y) = 0$  Replace  $T_i(y)$  by x, we have that

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \quad H_{i}(x) \ x = 0 \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \quad h_{i} \ (H_{i}(x) \ x) = 0 \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \quad h_{i} \ (x) \ h_{i-1}(H_{i}(x)) = 0 \end{split}$$

Left multiply by  $T_i(y)$  and since  $T_n$  is a commuting, we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \quad h_i\left(x\right)T_i(y)\;h_{i\cdot i}\left(H_i(x)\right)=0$$

Right multiply by  $h_i(x)T_i(y)$ , we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i(x)T_i(y) h_{i-1}(H_i(x)) h_i(x)T_i(y) = 0$$
 Since R is a semiprime ring, we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(x)T_{i}(y) = 0 \Rightarrow h_{n}(x)T_{n}(y) = 0 \text{, for all } x, y \in R \text{ and } n \in N \dots (2)$$

From (1) and (2), we get (ii).

Conversely, Suppose that the relations are hold, for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in N$ :

(i)  $T_n(x) H_n(y) + H_n(x) T_n(y) = 0$ (ii)  $t_n(x) H_n(y) = h_n(x) T_n(y) = 0$  T.P.  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are orthogonal From (i), we have that  $T_n(x) H_n(y) + H_n(x) T_n(y) = 0$ , for all x,  $y \in R$  and  $n \in N$  By Lemma (2.3), we get the require result.

**Theorem (2.7):** Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring ,  $T=(T_i)_{i\in N}$  and  $H=(H_i)_{i\in N}$  be two generalized higher reverse left (resp.right) centralizers associated with the higher reverse left (resp.right) centralizers  $t=(t_i)_{i\in N}$  and  $h=(h_i)_{i\in N}$  resp. of R , where  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are commuting . Then  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are orthogonal i f and only i  $fT_n(x) H_n(y) = t_n(x) H_n(y) = 0$ , for all x ,  $y \in R$  and  $n \in N$ 

**Proof:** Suppose that  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are orthogonal T.P.  $T_n(x) H_n(y) = t_n(x) H_n(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in N$  Since  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are orthogonal By Theorem (2.4)(i), we have that  $T_n(x) H_n(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in N \dots (1) \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) H_i(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in N \dots (1) \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) H_i(y) = 0$ , for all  $x \in R$ .

x,  $y \in R$  Replace  $H_i(y)$  by x, we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i(T_i(x)x) = 0$   $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(T_i(x)) = 0$  Left multiply by  $H_i(y)$  and since  $H_n$  is

a commuting, we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i(x) H_i(y) t_{i-1}(T_i(x)) = 0$  Right multiply by  $t_i(x) H_i(y)$ , we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i(x) H_i(y) t_{i-1}(T_i(x)) t_i(x) H_i(y) = 0$$

Since R is a semiprime ring, we have that

<u>n</u>

$$\sum_{i=l} t_i(x) \operatorname{H}_i(y) = 0 \Longrightarrow t_n(x) \operatorname{H}_n(y) = 0, \text{ for all } x \text{ , } y \in R \text{ and } n \in N \dots (2)$$

From (1) and (2), we get the require result.

**Conversely**, Suppose that  $T_n(x) H_n(y) = t_n(x) H_n(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in N$  T.P.  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are orthogonal By assumption, we have that  $T_n(x) H_n(y) = 0$   $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) H_i(y) = 0$  Replace x by zx, we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(zx) H_i(y) = 0$   $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) H_i(y) = 0$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$  ...(3) Since  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are commuting, we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^n H_i(y) t_{i-1}(z) T_i(x) = 0$ , for

all x, y,  $z \in R \dots (4)$  From (3) and (4), we have that  $T_n(x) R H_n(y) = (0) = H_n(y) R T_n(x)$ , for all x, y,  $z \in R$  and  $n \in N$ Hence  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are orthogonal.

### Theorem (2.8)

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring,  $T=(T_i)_{i\in N}$  and  $H=(H_i)_{i\in N}$  be two generalized higher reverse left (resp.right) centralizers t= $(t_i)_{i\in N}$  and  $h=(h_i)_{i\in N}$  resp. of R, where  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are commuting. Then  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are orthogonal if and only if  $T_n(x) H_n(y) = 0$ , and  $t_n H_n = t_n h_n = 0$ , for all x,  $y \in R$  and  $n \in N$ .

## Proof:

Suppose that  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are orthogonal T.P.  $T_n(x) H_n(y) = 0$  and  $t_n H_n = t_n h_n = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in N$  Since  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are orthogonal By Theorem (2.4)(i), we have that  $T_n(x) H_n(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in N$ ...(1) By Theorem (2.5)(i), we have that  $t_n H_n = 0$ , for all  $n \in N$ ...(2) By Theorem (2.4)(iii), we have that  $T_n(x) h_n(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in N \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_n(x) H_n(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in N \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_n(x) H_n(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in N \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_n(x) H_n(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in N \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_n(x) H_n(y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in N \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_n(x) H_n(y) = 0$ .

$$t_i (T_i(x)h_i(y)) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R \sum_{i=1}^n \quad t_i (h_i(y)) \ t_{i-1}(T_i(x)) = 0 \text{ Right multiply by } t_i(h_i(y)), \text{ we have that } \sum_{i=1}^n \quad t_i \quad (h_i(y)) \quad t_{i-1}(T_i(x)) = 0 \text{ Right multiply by } t_i(h_i(y)), \text{ we have that } \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \quad (h_i(y)) = 0 \text{ Right multiply by } t_i(h_i(y)) = 0 \text{ Right multiply by } t_i(h_i(y)), \text{ we have that } \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \quad (h_i(y)) = 0 \text{ Right multiply by } t_i(h_i(y)) \text{ for all } x \text{$$

 $_{1}(T_{i}(x)) t_{i}(h_{i}(y)) = 0$  Since R is a semiprime ring, we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}(h_{i}(y)) = 0$ , for all  $y \in R \Rightarrow t_{n} h_{n} = 0$ , for all  $n \in N \dots (3)$ 

From (1), (2) and (3), we get the required result. Conversely, Suppose that  $T_n(x) H_n(y) = 0$  and  $t_n H_n = t_n h_n = 0$ , for all x,  $y \in R$  and  $n \in N$ . T.P.  $T_n$  and  $H_n$  are orthogonal. By assumption, we have that  $T_n(x) H_n(y) = 0$   $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) H_i(y) = 0$  Replace x

by zx , we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i}(zx) H_{i}(y) = 0 \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i}(x) t_{i-1}(z) H_{i}(y) = 0$ , for all x, y,  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  ...(4) Since  $T_{n}$  and  $H_{n}$  are commuting , we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}(y) t_{i-1}(z) T_{i}(x) = 0$ , for all x, y,  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  ...(5) From (4) and (5), we get  $T_{n}$  and  $H_{n}$  are

orthogonal .

**Theorem (2.9):** Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring  $T_{i\in N}$  and  $H_{i}(H_i)_{i\in N}$  be two generalized higher reverse left (resp.right) centralizers of R, Suppose that  $T_n^2 = H_n^2$ , for all  $n \in N$ . Then  $T_n + H_n$  and  $T_n - H_n$  are orthogonal.

#### **Proof:**

$$((T_n + H_n)(T_n - H_n) + (T_n - H_n)(T_n + H_n))(x)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n T_i^2(x) - T_i(x)H_i(x) + H_i(x)T_i(x) - H_i^2(x) + T_i^2(x) + T_i(x)H_i(x) - H_i(x)T_i(x) - H_i^2(x) = 0$$

Therefore,  $((T_n + H_n)(T_n - H_n) + (T_n - H_n)(T_n + H_n))(x) = 0$ By Lemma (2.3), we get the require result.

### REFERENCES

- (1) Bresar . M , "Jordan Derivations on Semiprime Rings", Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 104(4), p.p. 1003-1006, 1988.
- (2) Breser .M and Vukman .J, "Orthogonal Derivations and on Extension of a Theorem of Posner ", Radovi Mathematick ,5, p.p.237-246,1989.
- (3) I.N. Herstein, "Topics in Ring Theory", Ed. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1969.
- (4) F.R.Jarullah and S.M. Salih, "A Generalized Higher Reverse Left (respectively Right) Centralizer of Prime Rings", Journal of Southwest Jiaotong University, 54(5), p. p.1-7, 2019.
- (5) B.Zalar,"On Centralizers of Semiprime Ring", Comment. MathUniv. Carol., 32(4), p.p. 609-614, 1991.