

Available online at http://www.journalcra.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

Internatio nal Journal of Current Research Vol. 12, Issue, 10, pp.14059-14065, October, 2020

DOI: https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.39767.10.2020

RESEARCH ARTICLE

STIMULATIVE EFFECTS OF MORPHOLOGICAL, BIOCHEMICAL AND ENZYME CONTENTS OF NILA VEMBU (ANDROGRAPHIS PANICULATA NEES.)

^{1,*}Rajasekaran, S., ²Thiyagarajan, G., ²Balamurugan, S., and ²Karthikeyan, S.

¹Department of Botany A.V.C. College (Autonomous), Mannampandal, Mayiladuthurai 609 305 ²Department of Botany, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar Chidambaram 608 002

ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT Biofertilizers are the substance that contains microorganism's living or latent cells. bio fertilizers Article History: increases the nutrients of host plants when applied to their seeds, plant surface or soil by colonizing Received 29th July, 2020 the thizosphere of the plant. The present research work was comprises to valuable medicinal plant of Received in revised form Andrographispaniculata for morphological, biochemical, and enzyme contents of various stages of its 17th August, 2020 Ac cepted 04th September, 2020 growth. The inoculants such as Azotobacterchroococcum, Ppseudomonasfluorescens, and Published online 30th October, 2020 vermicompost are singly and in combinations are allowed to grow in similar environmental conditions. the morphological parameters such as shoot length, root length, leaf length, leaf width, Key Words: total leaf area, shoot girth, number of branches per plant, plant height were observed. The biochemical namely chlorophyll, "a" chlorophyll "b" and total chlorophyll, and carotewnoids were Azotobac terch roococ cum, Ppseud omon as fluorescen s, measured. The enzymes such as catalase, and paroxidase were observed in 30, 60, and 90 days after and Vermicompost etc., sowing in Andrographispaniculata plants. The maximum growth was measured in combined application of Azotobacterchroococcum, Ppseudomonas fluorescens, and Vermicompost alone. The minimum growth was measured in control.

Copyright © 2020, *Rajasekara n et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

Citation: Rajasekaran, S., Thiyagarajan, G., Balamurugan, S., and Karthikeyan, S.. 2020. "Stimulative effects of morphological, biochemical and enzyme contents of nila vembu (andrographis paniculata nees)", International Journal of Current Research, 12, (10), 14059-14065.

INTRODUCTION

Biofertilizers are living cells of different types of microorganism (bacteria, algae, fungi), which have an ability to mobilize nutritionally important elements from non-usable to usable form. They can be applied to seed, root or in order to soil. They improve the soil fertility and help plant growth and yield by increasing the number and biological activity of desired microorganisms in the root environment (Subba Rao et al. 1993). They have attracted greater attention particularly in developing countries like India. Andrographispaniculatais a plant that is native to South Asian countries such as India and Sri Lanka. It is frequently used for preventing and treating the common cold and flu (influenza) and is also used for a wide assortment of other conditions. It is used for digestive complaints including, constipation, intestinal gas, colic, and stomach pain for liverconditions including an enlarged liver, jaundice, and liver damage due to medications for infections pneumonia, tuberculosis, wounds, ulcers and itchiness. Some people use Andrographis for sore throat, coughs, woolen tonsils, bronchitis, and allergies.

Department of Botany A.V.C. College (Autonomous), Mann ampandal, Mayil aduthurai 609 305.

It is also used for "hardening of the arteries" (atheros clerosis), and prevention of heart dise ase and diabetes. Other uses include treatment of snake and ins ect bites, loss of appetite, kidnevproblems (pvelonephritis), hemorrhoids, and an inherited condition called familial Mediterranean fever. *Andrographispaniculata* is also used as astringent, bacteria killing agent, painkiller, fever reducer, and treatment for worms. It is an erect annual herb that grows 30 to 110 cm in height and is native to India, China, and Southeast Asia. It is widely cultivated in Asia.

The increase in crops yield has been reported to be 60 per centor more due to the use of chemical fertilizers. This undoubtedly boosted the food production but at the same time, indiscriminate and injudicious use of fertilizers has caused considerable damage to the environment through air, water and soil pollution (Yadav and Lourduraj, 2005a). It has also leaded to poor soil fertility and in turn affected the yield. Careful and scienti fic nutrient management can help in better crop yields, soil fertility and fertility economy (Jawahar and Suresh, 2007). All synthetic fertilizers must be replaced by organic fertilizers. This organic farming improves soil formation, prevents soil erosion, it allows the soil to retain more nutrients and water and it encourages growth of soil organisms. It benefits water, as it does not pollute

^{*}*Corresponding Author* : Rajasekaran, S.,

groundwater. Biofertilizers are cost effective, ecofriendly and renewable source of plant nutrients to supplement chemical fertilizers in sustainable agricultural system in India.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Present Investigation Was Carried To Find Out Effect Of *Andrographispaniculata* Nees. on Morphological Biochemical And Enzyme Studies Were Estimated.

Ma teri als

Fer tili zers

Biofertilizers such as *Azoto bacterchroococcum*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, and vermicompost were collected from Government Recognized Agrocentre, Kumbakonam, Thanjavur District of Tamilnadu. The inorganic fertilizers such as urea as a nitrogen source (N), single super phosphate as a phosphorus source (P) and muriatic of potash as a potassium sources (K) have been purchased from Government Authorized Agrocentre, Kumbakonam, Tamilnadu.India.

Seed materials: The seeds of *Andrographispaniculata* was obtained from Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute, Regional Research Station of Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Aduthurai, Thanjavur District, Tamil Nadu, and India.

| Name of the fertilizers | Treatm | nent details |
|------------------------------------|--------|-------------------------|
| Control | T1 | Crop without fertilizer |
| Azotoba cterchr ococcu m+ | T2 | AZ+PF - 2+2 Kg/ha |
| Pseud omonas fluo rescens | | |
| Azotoba cterchr ococcum+ | T3 | AZ+PF+VC- |
| P. fluorescens + Vermicompost | | 2Kg+2Kg+2t/ha |
| Azotoba cterchr ococcum+ | T4 | AZ+VC+CF - 2+2+ |
| Vermicompost+ Chemical fertilizers | | t/ha+110 Kg/ha |
| P. fluorescens + Vermicompost+ | T5 | AZ+PF+VC+CF - 2 + 2 |
| Chemical fertilizers | | + 2 t/ha+110 Kg/ha |
| Azotoba cterchr ococcu m | T6 | AZ+PF+VC+CF - 2 + 2 |
| +P. fluorescens+ | | + 2 t/ha+110 Kg/ha |
| Vermicompost+Chemical fertilizer | | 5 |

Application of fertilizers: The recommended doses of organic manures such as vermicompost (2 t/ha) were applied in single application. In addition, the combined application of inorganic fertilizers such as nitrogen (50 kg/ha), phosphorus (40 kg/ha) and potassium (30 kg/ha) were in single as well as combination (110 kg/ha) were applied. The in biofertilizerssuch Nitrogen biofertilizers as (Azotobacterchrococcum + Pseudomonasfluorescens 2+2 kg/ha), were applied in single as well as in combination (4kg/ha) chemical fertilizers such as N,P and K fertilizers in single and in combination alone and vermicompost is 2t/ha of all in the treatments.

Morphometric an alysis: The morphological parameters such as shoot and root length, leaf length, leaf width, total leaf area, shoot girth, number of branches per plant, and plant height were measured in centimeter by plant p arts were also estimated.

Biochemical analysis: Chlorophylland carotenoids by Arnon 1945

Enzymatic activity: The activities of catalase and peroxidase in nilavembu were estimated and recorded in the plants grownin pot culture experiments were analysed.

Enzyme assay

Catalase: Catalase activity was measured by the method of Machly and Chance (1967). One gram of leaf sample was homogenized in 10 ml of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7) and centri fuged at 4°C for 10 minutes at 10,000 rpm. An aliquot of 1 ml of the supernatant of the enzyme extract was added to the reaction mixture containing one ml of 0.01 M H₂O₂ and 3 ml of 0.1 M phosphate buffer. The reaction was stopped after incubation of 5 minutes at 20°C by adding 10 ml of on e per cent H₂SO₄. The acidi fied medium without or with the enzyme extract was titrated against 0.005 N KMnO₄ and catalase activity was expressed as 'n' moles of H₂O₂ utilized (units min/mg/protein).

Peroxidase (Machly and Chance, 1967)

Donor: Hydrogen peroxidan toxiredu ctase

One gram of fresh plant material was homogenized with 20 ml of ice-cold extraction medium containing 2 mM MgCl₂, 1mM EDTA, 10 mm-mercapto ethanol, 7 per cent PVP and 10 mm sodium meta-bisulphate. The homogenate was stained through two layers of cheesecloth and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 minutes. The supernatant was made upto 20 ml with the same buffer and it was used as the source of enzyme.

Assay: Assay mixture of peroxidayse contained 2 ml of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.8), 1 ml of 0.001 M pyrogallol, 12 ml of 0.005 M hydrogen peroxidase and 0.5 ml of enzyme extract. The solution was incubated for 5 minutes at 25° C, after which the reaction was terminated by adding 1 ml of 2.5 N sulphuricacid.

The amount of purpurogallin formed was determined by reading the absorbance at 420 nm against a blank prepared by adding the extract after the addition of 2.5 N sulphuric acids. The activity was expressed in unit = 0.1 absorbance mg/protein/min.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Nature c an be considered as the ultimate chemist. About 80% of the world's inhabitants still depend on natural products that have inspired chemists and physicians for years because of their rich structural diversity and complexity (Divy aet al., 2011). Andrographispaniculata is a plant that has been effectively used in traditional aian medicines for countries. Andrographispaniculata family Acanthaceae, it is perceived "blood purifying" property results in its use in diseases where bload "abnormalities" are considered causes of disease, such as skin eruptions, boils, scabis, and chronic undetermined fevers. The aerial part of the plant, used medicinally, contains a large number of chemical constituents, mainly lactones, deterpenoidsglycocides. (Yang et al., 2009). Andrographispaniculata having antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral, choleretic, hypoglycemic, hypocholesterolemic, and adaptogenic effects (Bhatnager et al., 1961).



Plate I. Effect of combined treatment on growth and development of A.paniculata 30th day



Plate II: Effect of combined treatment on growth and development of A.paniculata 60th day

Table 1. Effect of combined application of various fertilizers on morphometric analysis of Andrographispaniculata on 30th Days

| Treatments | Shoot length (cm) | Root length (cm) | Leaf length (cm) | Leaf width (cm) | Total leaf area(cm) | No of leaves | Shoot girth (cm) | No. of branches | Plant height (cm) |
|----------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| T ₁ | 4.7±0.414 | 4.4±0.132 | 3.5±0.105 | 1.1±0.033 | 1.9 ± 0.057 | 6±0.180 | 0.1±0.003 | - | 9.1 ± 0.273 |
| T_2 | 8.2±0.246 | 6.9 ± 0.207 | 4.2±0.126 | 2.3 ± 0.069 | 4.8 ± 0.144 | 15 ± 0.450 | 0.1 ± 0.003 | - | 15.1±0.456 |
| T ₃ | 10.3 ± 0.349 | 9.6 ± 0.288 | 5.1±0.153 | 3.0 ± 0.090 | 7.7±0.231 | 49 ± 1.470 | 0.1 ± 0.003 | 1 ± 0.003 | 19.9±0.597 |
| T_4 | 9.1±0.273 | 7.3±0.219 | 4.8 ± 0.144 | 2.9 ± 0.087 | 7.0 ± 0.222 | 44±1.320 | 0.2 ± 0.006 | - | 16.4±0.492 |
| T ₅ | 8.3±0.249 | 7.8±0.234 | 4.3±0.129 | 2.6 ± 0.078 | 5.6 ± 0.168 | 41±1.230 | $0.1{\pm}0.003$ | - | 16.1±0.483 |
| T ₆ | 12.3±0.369 | 9.6±0.456 | 6.2 ± 0.186 | 4.1±0.123 | 12.7±0.369 | 53±1.590 | 0.3 ± 0.009 | 1 ± 0.003 | 21.9±0.657 |

 \pm Standard Deviation T₁- Crop without fertilizer, T₂- Azotobacterc hrococccum+Pseudomonasfluorescens - 2+2 Kg/ha, T₃- Azotobacterc hrococccum+Pseudomonasfluorescens + Verm icom post - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T₄- Azotobacterchrococccum+ Verm icom post + Chem ical fertilizers - 2+2+ t/ha+110Kg/ha and T₆- Azotobacterc hrococccum+Pseudomonasfluorescens + Verm icom post + Chem ical fertilizers - 2 + 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha and T₆-

| Table 2. Effect of combined application of | various fertilizers on morphometric | analysis of Andre | ographispani culata on 60 ^{ti} | ¹ Days |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------|
| | | | | |

| Tre atm ents | Shoot length (cm) | Root length (cm) | Leaf kngth (cm) | Leaf width (cm) | Total leaf area(cm) | No of leaves | Shoot girth(cm) | No. Of branches | Plant height (cm) |
|----------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| T_1 | 5.1±0.150 | 3.2±0.096 | 4.5±0.135 | 1.7 ± 0.051 | 3.8±0.114 | 9±0.270 | 0.4±0.012 | - | 8.3±0.249 |
| T_2 | 9.6 ± 0.280 | 7.3±0.219 | 5.0 ± 0.150 | $2.4{\pm}0.007$ | $6.0{\pm}0.180$ | 48 ± 1.440 | $0.2{\pm}0.006$ | 2 ± 0.060 | 17.1±0.513 |
| T ₃ | 12.2±0.366 | 9.4 ± 0.282 | 4.4±0.132 | 2.5 ± 0.075 | 5.5±0.165 | 54±1.620 | 0.2 ± 0.006 | 3 ± 0.090 | 21.6±0.648 |
| T_4 | 11.4 ± 0.324 | 9.2 ± 0.276 | 4.8 ± 0.144 | 2.4±0.00) | 5.8±0.174 | 43±1.290 | 0.2 ± 0.006 | 2 ± 0.060 | 20.6±0.618 |
| T ₅ | 10.9 ± 0.327 | 8.3±0.249 | 4.3±0.129 | 2.1±0.063 | 4.5±0.135 | 45±1.350 | 0.2 ± 0.006 | 2 ± 0.060 | 19.2±0.576 |
| T ₆ | 16.8 ± 0.540 | 10.3 ± 0.309 | 5.2±0.156 | 2.5 ± 0.075 | 6.5±0.195 | 62±1.860 | $0.3 {\pm} 0.009$ | 4±0.120 | 27.1±0.813 |

 $\pm \text{ Standard Deviation } T_1-\text{ Crop without fertilizer, } T_2-\text{ Azotobacterchrooc } ccum+Pseudomonas fluorescens - 2+2 Kg/ha, } T_3-\text{ Azotobacterchrooc } ccum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicompost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, } T_4-\text{ Azotobacterchrooc } ccum+ Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2+2+ t/ha+110Kg/ha, } T_5-\text{Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha and } T_6-\text{ Azotobacterchroococcum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha and } T_6-\text{ Azotobacterchroococcum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha and } T_6-\text{ Azotobacterchroococcum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha and } T_6-\text{ Azotobacterchroococcum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha and } T_6-\text{ Azotobacterchroococcum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha } T_6-\text{ Azotobacterchroococcum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha } T_6-\text{ Azotobacterchroococcum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha } T_6-\text{ Azotobacterchroococcum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha } T_6-\text{ Azotobacterchroococcum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha } T_6-\text{ Azotobacterchroococcum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha } T_6-\text{ Azotobacterchroococcum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha } T_6-\text{ Azotobacterchroococcum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha } T_6-\text{ Azotobacterchroococcum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha } T_6-\text{ Azotobacterchroococcum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110$



Plate III: Effect of combined treatment on growth and development of A.paniculata 90th day

| Table 3. Effect of combined a | oplication of various fertilize | rs on morphometric analysis of | <i>Andrographispani culata</i> on 90 th Da | VS |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|----|
| | | | | |

| Tre atm ents | Shoot length (cm) | Root length (cm) | Leaf length (cm) | Leaf width (cm) | Total leaf area (cm) | No of leaves | Shoot girth (cm) | No. of branches | Plant height (cm) |
|----------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| T ₁ | 7.3±0.219 | 6.3±0.189 | 4.7±0.141 | 1.9±0.057 | 4.5±0.135 | 35±0.003 | 0.1±0.003 | 2±0.060 | 13.6±0.408 |
| T_2 | 11.5±0.345 | 7.8±0.234 | 5.1±0.153 | $2.4{\pm}0.072$ | 6.1±0.183 | 53±1.590 | 0.25 ± 0.007 | 4±0.120 | 21.3±0.639 |
| T ₃ | 14.0 ± 0.420 | 11.7±0.351 | 5.1±0.153 | 2.69 ± 0.78 | 6.6±0.198 | 71±2.130 | 0.31±0.093 | 5±0.150 | 25.7±0.771 |
| T_4 | 13.4 ± 0.402 | 10.0 ± 1.030 | 5.1±0.153 | 2.7 ± 0.081 | 6.9 ± 0.207 | 62 ± 1.860 | $0.26{\pm}0.007$ | 4±0.120 | 23.4±0.702 |
| T ₅ | 13.8±0.141 | 10.1±0.303 | 5.0 ± 0.150 | 2.3±0.174 | 5.8±0.174 | 65±1.950 | 0.27 ± 0.008 | 4±0.120 | 23.9±0.717 |
| T ₆ | 20.0±0.618 | 13.2±0.369 | 5.3±0.159 | 2.6±0.078) | 6.9±0.207 | 81±2.430) | 0.35(0.015 | 7±0210 | 33.8±1.014 |

 \pm Standard Deviation T₁- Crop without fertilizer, T₂- Azotobacterchroococaum+Pseudomonasfluorescens - 2+2 Kg/ha, T₃- Azotobacterchroococaum+ Pseudomonasfluorescens + Vermicompost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T₄- Azotobacterchroococaum+ Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2+2 t/ha+110Kg/ha, T₅. Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha and T₆- Azotobacterchroococaum+Pseudomonasfluorescens + Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha and T₆- Azotobacterchroococaum+Pseudomonasfluorescens + Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha.

Table 4. Photo synthetic pigments of Andrograp hispaniculata (mg/g fr. wt.) on30thDays

| Tre atment detail | Chlorophy ll "a" | Chlorophy ll "b" | Total chlorophyll | Carotenoid |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| T ₁ | 0.480 ±0.014 | 0.292 ±0.008 | 0.772 ±0.023 | 0.210 ±0.006 |
| T ₂ | 0.549 ±0.016 | 0.311 ±0.009 | 0.860 ±0.025 | 0.298 ±0.008 |
| T ₃ | 0.614 ±0.018 | 0.341 ±0.010 | 0.954 ±0.028 | 0.300 ±0.009 |
| T_4 | 0.523 ±0.015 | 0.309 ±0.009 | 0.810 ±0.024 | 0.280 ±0.008 |
| T ₅ | 0.549 ±0.016 | 0.311 ±0.009 | 0.860 ±0.025 | 0.273 ±0.021 |
| T_6 | 0.643 ±0.019 | 0.438 ±0.013 | 1.081 ± 0.032 | 0.321 ±0.009 |

+ Standard deviation T₁- Crop without fertilizer, T₂- Azotobacterc hroococc um+Pseudomonasfluorescens - 2+2 K g/ha, T₃-Azotobacterc hroococc um+Pseudomonasfluorescens + Vermicom post - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T₄- Azotobacterc hroococcum+ Vermicom post + Chemical fertilizers - 2+2+ t/ha+110Kg/ha, T₅-Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicom post + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha and T₆-Azotobacterc hroococcum+Pseudomonasfluorescens + Vermicom post + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha

| Table 5. Photo synthetic pigm ents | of Andrograp hispa niculata | (mg/g fr. wt.) on60 th Days |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|

| Tre atm ent detail | Chlorophy ll "a" | Chlorophy ll "b" | Total chlorophyll | Carotenoid |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| T ₁ | 0.532 ±0.015 | 0.329 ±0.009 | 0.861 ±0.025 | 2.321 ±0.069 |
| T ₂ | 0.650 ±0.019 | 0.428 ±0.012 | 1.078 ±0.032 | 0.350 ±0.010 |
| T ₃ | 0.662 ±0.019 | 0.438 ±0.013 | 1.100 ±0.033 | 0.360 ±0.010 |
| T ₄ | 0.581 ±0.017 | 0.411 ±0.012 | 0.992 ±0.029 | 0.360 ±0.010 |
| T ₅ | 0.017 ±0.578 | 0.400 ±0.012 | 0.978 ±0.029 | 0.332 ±0.009 |
| T ₆ | 0.683 ±0.020 | 0.493 ±0.014 | 1.117 ±0.033 | 0.382 ±0.011 |

+ Standard deviation

 $T_{1}-Crop without fertilizer, T_{2}-Azotobacterchrooc occum+Pseudomonas fluorescens - 2+2 Kg/ha, T_{3}-Azotobacterchrooc occum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicom post - 2Kg+2 t/ha, T_{4}-Azotobacterchrooc occum+Vermicom post + Chemical fertilizers - 2+2 t/ha+110Kg/ha and T_{6}-Azotobacterchrooc occum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicom post + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha and T_{6}-Azotobacterchrooc occum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicom post + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha and T_{6}-Azotobacterchrooc occum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicom post + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha and T_{6}-Azotobacterchrooc occum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicom post + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha and T_{6}-Azotobacterchrooc occum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicom post + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha and T_{6}-Azotobacterchrooc occum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicom post + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha and T_{6}-Azotobacterchrooc occum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicom post + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha and T_{6}-Azotobacterchrooc occum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicom post + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha$

| Tre atment detail | Chlorophy ll "a" | Chlorophy ll "b" | Total chlorophy ll | Carotenoid |
|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| T ₁ | 0.673 ± 0.020 | 0.458 ±0.013 | 1.131 ±0.033 | 0.410 ±0.012 |
| T ₂ | 0.709 ±0.021 | 0.471 ±0.014 | 1.180 ±0.035 | 0.455 ±0.013 |
| T ₃ | 0.711 ±0.021 | 0.488 ±0.014 | 1.199 ±0.035 | 0.462 ±0.013 |
| T4 | 0.689 ±0.020 | 0.473 ±0.014 | 1.162 ±0.034 | 0.436 ±0.013 |
| T ₅ | 0.687 ±0.020 | 0.470 ±0.014 | 1.157 ±0.034 | 0.425 ±0.012 |
| T ₆ | 0.758 ±0.022 | 0.599 ±0.017 | 1.357 ±0.040 | 0.490 ±0.014 |

Table 6 Photo synthetic pigments of Andrograp hispaniculata (mg/g fr. wt.) on90thDays

<u>+</u> Standard de viation T₁- Crop without fertilizer, T₂- Azotobacterchroococc um+Pseudomonasfluorescens - 2+2 Kg/ha, T₃-Azotobacterchroococc um+Pseudomonasfluorescens + Vermicompost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T₄- Azotobacterchroococcum+ Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2+2+ t/ha+110Kg/ha, T₅-Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha and T₆-Azotobacterchroococc um+Pseudomonasfluorescens + Vermicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha.

 Table 7: Effect of application of organic fertilizers, inorganic fertilizers and biofertilizers on catalase activity (min/mg/protein) of

 Andr og raphispaniculata on 30, 60 and 90th days

| Tre atm ents | 30 Days | 60Days | 90Days |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| T ₁ | 5.493 ±0.164 | 6.982 ±0.209 | 7.322 ±0.219 |
| T ₂ | 7.652 ±0.229 | 7.848 ±0.235 | 8.100 ±0.243 |
| T ₃ | 7.958 ±0.238 | 8.123 ±0.243 | 8.200 ±0.246 |
| T_4 | 7.844 ±0.235 | 7.685 ±0.230 | 8.000 ±0.240 |
| T ₅ | 7.628 ±0.228 | 7.428 ±0.222 | 7.658 ±0.229 |
| T ₆ | 8.100 ±0.243 | 8.420 ±0.252 | 8.500 ±0.255 |

<u>+</u> Stan dard deviation T_1 - Crop without ferti lizer, T_2 - Az otobact erchrooco ccum +Ps eudomonas fluores cens - 2+2 Kg/ha, T_3 -Az otobacter chrooco ccum +Ps eudomonas fluor escens +Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chroococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chroococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chroococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chroococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chroococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronococcum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronoccum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronoccum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronoccum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronoccum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronoccum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4 - Az otoba cter chronoccum + Vermico mpost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T_4

+Chemical fertilizers - 2+2+ t/ha+110Kg/ha, T₅. *Pseudomonas fluores cens* + Vermico mpost +Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha and T₆-Az otoba cter chroo coccum+Pseudomonas fluor escens +Vermico mpost +Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha

 Table8: Effect of application of organic fertilizers, inorganic fertilizers and biofertilizers on peroxidas eactivity (min/mg/protein) of Androg rap hispa niculata on 30,60, and 90th Days

| Tre atments. | 30 Days | 60 Days | 90 Days | |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| T ₁ | 12.742 ±0.382 | 14.280 ±0.428 | 15.531 ±0.465 | |
| T ₂ | 13.995 ±0.419 | 15.228 ±0.456 | 16.541 ±0.496 | |
| T ₃ | 14.550 ±0.436 | 16.665 ±0.499 | 16.739 ±0.502 | |
| T_4 | 13.752 ±0.412 | 15.338 ±0.460 | 15.987 ±0.479 | |
| T ₅ | 13.654 ±0.409 | 15.110 ±0.453 | 15.781 ±0.473 | |
| T ₆ | 14.685 ±0.440 | 15.722 ±0.471 | 17.382 ±0.521 | |

<u>+</u>Standard deviation T_1 - Crop without fertilizer, T_2 - Azotobackerchroococcum+Pseudomonasfluorescens - 2+2 K g/ha, T_3 -

Azotobacterc hroococc um+Pseudomonasfluorescens +Vemicompost - 2Kg+2Kg+2 t/ha, T₄-Azotobacterc hroococcum+ Vemicompost +Chemical fertilizers - 2+2+t/ha+110Kg/ha, T₅-Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vemicompost +Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 t/ha+110Kg/ha and T₆-

Azotobacterchroococcum+Pseudomonas fluorescens + Vemicompost + Chemical fertilizers - 2 + 2 + 2 t/ha + 110 Kg/ha

The chemical fertilizer is the major supplier of nutrients besides organic manures. The continuous and excess use of chemical fertilizers over a longer period of time has resulted in deterioration of soil health and causes less productivity (Yadav and Lourduraj, 2005a). In this context, the role of organic manures and biofertilizers in sustainable agriculture assumes special significance particularly in the present context of very high cost of chemical fertilizers. Organic farming is becoming a major tool for sustaining the soil quality degraded by intensive use of synthetic chemicals for increasing crop production. Therefore, the use of bio-agents as biofertilizers or biopesticides is an integral part of organic farming. The Vermicompost contain plant growth regulating substances including plant growth hormones and humic acids which are probably responsible for increase in germination, growth and yield of plants (Atiyeh et al., 2002; Arancon et al., 2006). Biofertilizers are cost effective, ecofriendly and renewable source of plant nutrients to supplement chemical fertilizers and organic manures in sustainable agricultural system in India. They are microbial inoculants which enhance crop production through improving the nutrient supplies and their availability (Wani and Lee, 2002). The use of biofertilizers undoubtedly boosted not only the food

production but also, it shows the positive effects on physicochemical properties of soil, nitrogen transformation, macro and micronutrient uptake and nutritional composition (Mahesh and Hosmani, 2004). Among biofertilizers, Azotobactor and phosphobacterium is recommended for grain legumes and other crop plants to improve productivity and argument the soil nitrogen status. A "good" strain of Rhizobium Azotobactor and phosphobacterium iscapable of forming effective nitrogen fixing nodules in the legumes. These *rhizobia* should be superior in their ability to survive in the soil and should have the ability to fix nitrogen agroclimaticconditions symbiotically under diverse (Brahmaprakash and Hudge, 2002).

Pot culture experiment – **morphometrical parameters:** Pot culture experiment was conducted to find out the effect of organic fertilizers, inorganic fertilizers and bio fertilizers on growth, biochemical and enzymatic activities of *Andrographispaniculata* plants. The growth of *Andrographispaniculata* grown under various fertilizers application.

Morphometric analysis of *Andrographispaniculata*: The results on the effect of organic fertilizers, inorganic fertilizers and

biofertilizers, in combined inoculation on the shoot length, root length, leaflength, Total leafarea, number o fleaver per plant, shoot girth, number of branches per plant and plant height of Andrographispaniculata plants at various stages of its growth (30, 60 and 90 days) are shown in Table 1,2,3 and Plate IThe highest shoot lengths, root length, leaf length, Total leaf area, number of leaver per plant, shoot girth, number of branches per plant and plant height (123±0.369, 9.6±0.459, 6.2±0.186, 4.1 ± 0.123 , 12.7 ± 0.369 , 53 ± 1.590 , 0.3 ± 0.009 , 1 ± 0.003 and 21.9±0.657; 16.8±0.540, 10.3±0.309, 5.2±0.156, 2.5±0.075, 6.5 ± 0.195 , 62 ± 1.86 , 0.3 ± 0.009 , 4 ± 0.12 , and 27.1 ± 0.813 ; 20.0 ± 0.618 , 13.2 ± 0.369 , 5.3 ± 0.159 , 2.6 ± 0.078 , 6.9 ± 0.207 , 81 ± 2.43 , 0.35 ± 0.015 , 7 ± 0.21 , and 33.8 ± 1.014 cm) were recorded in Andrographispaniculata plant grown in single status of biofertilizer application. The lowest shoot length, root length, leaf length, Total leaf area, number of leaver per plant, shoot girth, number of branches perplant and plant height $(4.7\pm0.414, 4.4, \pm0.132, 3.5\pm0.105, 1.1\pm0.033, 1.9\pm0.057,$ $6,0\pm0.180, 0.1\pm0.003, 9.1\pm0.273; 5.1\pm0.150, 3.2\pm0.096,$ 4.5±0.135, 1.7±0.051, 3.8, ±0.114, 9±0.27, 0.4±0.012, 8.3±0.249; and 7.3±0.219, 6.3±0.189, 4.7±0.141, 1.9±0.057, 4.5±0.135, 35±0.003, 0.1±0.003, 2±0.060, 13.6±0.408 cm) was recorded at various stages of its growth (30, 60 and 90 days) in the plants grown without any fertilizer application. (Table 1, 2, & 3). Similar results were recorded earlier in various crops such as potato (Ghosh and Das, 1998), wheat (Mohiuddin et al., 2000) and soybean (Pandher et al., 2003; Padmaja and Lavanya, 2005). Increase in root length and shoot length by biofertilizer ino culation was reported in mint (Kothari et al., 1999) and Albizialabbek (Kumudha and Gomathinayagam, 2007). It may be due to the correction of internal hormonal imbalance by exogenous application of suitable biofertilizers for improving better growth (Samra and Dillon, 1993).

Biochemical studies

Photosynthetic pigments of Andrographispaniculata: The result on the effect of various fertilizers (organic fertilizers, inorganic fertilizers and biofertilizers) on photosynthetic pigments content of Andrographispaniculata at various stages of its growth (30, 60 and 90 days) are shown in Table 4, 5 & 6. The highest chlorophyll a' chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll and carotenoid content (0.758±0.022, 0.599±0.017, $1.357{\pm}0.040$ and $0.490{\pm}0.014$ mg/g fr. wt. basis) were recorded in 90 days old crop plants grown with combined application of biofertilizers. The lowest chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll and carotenoid contents (0.480±0.014, 0.292±0.008, 0.772±0.023 and 0.210±0.006 mg/g fr.wt.basis) were recorded in 30 days plant grown without fertilizer application. Similar findings of increased chlorophyll content may be due to fertilizer application was recorded in various plants such as soybean (Heskethet al., 1985), mulberry (Susheelamma et al., 2002 and 2007), cucumber (Karuppaiah and Kathiravan, 2006), soybean (Thiyageswari and Selvi, 2006) and Albizialebbek (Kumudha and Gomathinayagam, 2007) and maize (Tejeda et al., 2008). Carotenoid is an accessory pigment in photosynthetic assimilation of plants. The highest carotenoid content was registered in the crop grown in combined of application biofertilizers followed by inorganic fertilizers and organic fertilizers. The lowest content was recorded in the crop grown without fertilizer. Similar findings of increase in carotenoid content were reported in Acanthus illicifolius (Ravikumaret al., 2004) and Cucumissativus (Karuppaiah and

Kathiravan, 2006). The application of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers applied maize plants showed the highest increase in the carotenoid contents (Tejeda*et al.*, 2008).

Enzymatic activities

Catalase activity of Andrographispaniculata: The result on the effect of application of various fertilizers (organic fertilizers, inorganic fertilizers and bio fertilizers) on catalase activity in Andrographispaniculata plant at various stages of its growth is shown in table 7. The highest catalase activities (8.100±0.243, 8.420±0.252 and 8.500±0.255 min/mg/protein at 30, 60 and 90 days) were recorded in Andrographispaniculata grown with combined application of biofertilizers. The lowest catalase activities (5.493±0.164, 6.982±0.209 and 7.322±0.219 min/mg/protein at 30, 60 and 90 days) were recorded in Andrographispaniculata plant grown without any fertilizer application.

Peroxidase activity of *Androgrphispaniculata:* The, result on the effect of application of various fertilizers (organic fertilizers, inorganic fertilizers and biofertilizers) on peroxidase activity in *Andrographispaniculata* plant at various stages of its growth is shown in table 8. The highest peroxidase activities (14.685 ± 0.440 , 15.722 ± 0.071 and 17.382 ± 0.521 min/mg/protein at 30, 60 and 90 days) were recorded in *Androgrphispaniculata* grown with combined application of biofertilizers. The lowest peroxidayse activities (12.742 ± 0.382 , 14.280 ± 0.428 and 15.531 ± 0.465 min/mg/protein at 30, 60 and 90 days) were recorded in*Andrographispaniculata* grown without any fertilizer application.

Conclusion

Andrographispaniculata and Phyllanthusamarus has been used in Ayurvedha, unani, and Siddha system of medicines from all over the world. The plants were given either in the form of powder extrects are in its isolated compounds are fortified has been used in national and international markets for various diseases. These are safe nontoxic and strong natural antioxidant potential and well known for its medicinal properties and widely used by oriental countries. Biofertilizers are natural and nontoxic beneficial microbes in soil and environments. It is ecofriendly and improves plant growth and productivity of medicinal plants and benefit for our nation. Biofertilizers are the substance that contains microorganism's living or latent cells. Biofertilizers increases the nutrients of host plants when applied to their seeds, plant surface or soil by colonizing the rhizosphere of the plant. Biofertilizers are more cost-effective as compared to chemical fertilizers.

Acknowledge ments

The authors are thankful to professor Dr.R.Pannerselvam, Professor and Head, Department of Botany, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, India. For necessary lab facilities and encouragements for my research work.

REFERENCES

Arancon, N.Q., C.A. Edwards, S. Lee and R. Byrne, 2006. Effect of humicacids from vermicomposts on plant growth. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.*, 46: 65-69.

- Arnon, D.I., 1949. Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts polyphenol oxidase in *Beta vulgaris*.*Plant Physiol.*, 24: 1-15.
- Arnon, D.I., 1949. Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts polyphenol oxidase in *Beta vulgaris*.*Plant Physiol.*, 24: 1-15.
- Atiyeh, R.M., S. Lee, C.A. Edwards, N.Q. Arancon and J.D. Metzger, 2002. The influence of humic acid derived from earthworms processed organic wastes on plant growth. *Bioresource Technol.*, 84: 7-14.
- Bhatnagar SS, Santapau H, Desa JD, Mamar AC, Ghadielly NC, Solomon MJ, et al. Biological Activity of Indian Medicinal Plants, Part I, Anti-bacterial, anti-tubercular, and anti-fungal action. *Indian Journal of Medicinal Research*, 49, 1961, 799–813.
- Brahmaprakash, C. and S.V. Hudge, 2002.Biofertilizers for grain legumes. *In:* Kannaiyan, S. (ed.), *Biotechnology of Biofertilizers*. Narosha Publishing House, New Delhi, India, pp. 129-143.
- Divya .S Haritha.K, V.S. Prasad. R.G. 2011. Evolution of *Tephrosiacalophylla* for a tiulcer activity of experimental rats. J. of Pharmacology. 3 573-585.
- Ghosh, D.C. and A.K. Das, 1998. Effect of biofertilizers and growth regulators on growth and productivity of potato (*Solanumtuberosum*). *Indian Agrl.*, 42: 109-113.
- Jawahar, D. and S. Suresh, 2007. Effect of bio fertilizers and enriched FYM on the yield of cotton under dry farming in vertisol. Adv. Plant Sci., 20: 473-475.
- Karuppaiah, P. and J. Kathiravan, 2006. Effect of tillage, organic manures and bio fertilizers on the performance of cucumber under rice fallow condition. *Plant Arch.*, 6: 216-221.
- Kothari, S.K. and C.S. Sharaf, 1988.Studies on phosphorus utilization as influenced by bacterial seed inoculation and phosphorus fertilization in summer moong. *J. Nuclear Agric. Biol.*, 17: 33-38.
- Kumudha, P. and M. Gomathinayagam, 2007. Studies on the effect of bio fertilizers on germination of *Albizialebbek* (L.)Benth.seeds. *Adv. Plant Sci.*, 20: 417-421.
- Kumudha, P. and M. Gomathinayagam, 2007. Studies on the effect of bio fertilizers on germination of *Albizialebbek* (L.)Benth.seeds. *Adv. Plant Sci.*, 20: 417-421.
- Machly, A.C. and B. Chance, 1967. *In*: Glick, D. (ed.), *Methods of biochemical analysis*, Vol. 1, Inter Science Publications, Inc., New York.
- Mahesh, M.K. and S.P. Hosmani, 2004. Effects of pesticides, herbicides, fumigants and synthetic fertilizers on the nutrient uptake of rice.*J. Curr. Sci.*, 5: 433-438.
- Mohiuddin, M.D., A.K. Das and D.C. Ghosh, 2000. Growth and productivity of wheat as influenced by integrated us e of chemical fertilizers, biofertilizers and growth regulator. *Indian J. Plant Physiol.*, 5: 334-338.

- Padmaja, C.K. and D.L. Lavanya, 2005. Effects of agro wastes and inoculation with *Rhizobium* on growth and productivity of soybean. *J. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Monit.*, 15: 189-193.
- Pandher, M.S., R. Shounik, R.S. Jolly, R.P. Gupta and R.K. Hunjan, 2003.Effect of farmyard manure, micronutrients and *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation on soybeansymbiosis. *Indian J. Ecol.*, 30: 127-130.
- Pandher, M.S., R. Shounik, R.S. Jolly, R.P. Gupta and R.K. Hunjan, 2003.Effect of farmyard manure, micronutrients and *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation on soybeansymbiosis. *Indian J. Ecol.*, 30: 127-130.
- Ravikumar, S., K. Kathiresan, S. Thadedus, M. Ignatiammal, M. BabuSelvam and S. Shanthy, 2004.Nitrogen-fixing *Azotobacters* from mangrove habitat and their utility as marine biofertilizers. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 312: 5-7.
- Samra, J.S. and S.S. Dillon, 1993.Studies on the effect of different rates of balanced fertilization and agrostemin on the productivity of irrigated water. J. Res. Punjab Agric. Univ., 30: 13-14.
- SubbaRao, N.S., G.S. Venkataraman and S. Kannaiyan, 1993. *In:* Biological nitrogen fixation, Indian council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, India
- Sushedamma, B.N. Kesavacharyulu, K. Prithiviraje, M.K. Urs and S. Balakiishna, 2007.Chlorophyll content of leaf quality determinant trait in mulberry (*MorusindicaL.*).*Adv. Plant Sci.*, 20: 113-115.
- Susheelamma, B.N., R. Bhagya, S.B. Dandin and M. Rekha, 2002. Studies on the association of nutritional components of mulberry leaf with economic characters of bivoltin silkworm (*BombyxmoriL.*). Proceedings of 19th Congress of the International Sericulture, Bangkok, Commission, Lyon, pp. 51-60.
- Tejeda, M., J.L. Gonzalez, A.M. Garcia-Martinez and J. Parrodo, 2008.E ffects of different green manures on soil biological properties and maize yield. *Bioresource Technol.*, 99: 1758-1767.
- Thiyageswari, S. and D. Selvi, 2006. Influence of micronutrients and cytozyme on the physiological parameters of soybean in an inceptisol. *Adv. Plant Sci.*, 11: 557-560.
- Wani, S.P. and K.K. Lee, 2002.Biofertilizers for sustaining cereal crop production. *In:* Kannaiyan, S. (ed.), *Biotechnology of biofertilizers*, Narosha Publishing House, New Delhi, India.
- Yadav, B.K. and C.A. Lourduraj, 2005aEffect of organic manures and panchagavya spray on growth attributes and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Indian J. Environ. Ecoplan.*, 10: 617-623.
- Yang, Z., R.B. Singh and S. Hansen, 2007. Aggregates associated carbon, nitrogen and sul fur and their ratios in long-term fertilized soils. *Soil Till. Res.*, 95: 161-171.
