ISSN: 0975-833X

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

Vol.6, Issue 09, September - 2014



Impact Factor: SJIF : 3.845 Indexing: Thomson Reuters: ENDNOTE



Available online at http://www.journalcra.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

International Journal of Current Research Vol. 6, Issue, 09, pp.8789-8793, September, 2014

RESEARCH ARTICLE

UTILITY OF SEROLOGICAL TESTS FOR THE CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS OF CELIAC DISEASE IN CHILDREN IN DIYALA PROVINCE

^{*1}Dr. Abul-razak SH. Hasan, ²Dr. Mehdi SH. Al-Zuheiry, ³Shahad KH. Al-Qaisi and ⁴Dr. Shefaa M. Hemza

^{1,2}Collge of Medicine, Diyala University, Iraq ³College of Education, Diyala University, Iraq ⁴Al-Batool Teaching Hospital, Diyala Health Directorate, Iraq

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Key words:

Anti-Glutin,

Celiac Disease,

Anti-Transglutaminase.

Received 23rd June, 2014

Received in revised form 11th July, 2014

Accepted 08th August, 2014

Published online 30th September, 2014

ABSTRACT

Background: Celiac disease (CD) is an immune-mediated systemic condition triggered by dietary gluten occurring in genetically susceptible individuals. CD has a wide range of clinical manifestations. A number of serologic tests were implemented in the diagnosis of CD. **Objectives:** This case-control study was arranged to evaluate the validity of clinical presentations and

to assess the clinical utility of serologic tests in the diagnosis of CD in children in Diyala province.

Subjects and Methods: The present study was conducted in Diyala province-Iraq during the period from September 2011 to April 2012 in Al-Batool Teaching Hospital for Maternity and Children. One hundred sixty five children who were clinically suspected as having CD and 124 healthy children as control group were enrolled. The patient's age range was 1 month to 6 years and above. Information regarding age, sex, residence, family history, and clinical signs were collected in a special questionnaire. Commercially available serological kits for anti-gliadin IgA (AGA-IgA) and anti-tissue transglutaminase IgA (anti-tTG-IgA) antibodies (Aeskulisa, Germany) were used by ELISA technique. Data were statistically analyzed, and P value < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results: Based on the seropositivity of both anti-AGA IgA and anti-tTG IgA, 15 (9.6%) were considered CD patients. whereas, patients who had either anti-AGA IgA (16.7%) or anti-tTG IgA (14.7%) positive were considered as symptomatic non-CD patients. The results showed that the anti-AGA IgA and anti-tTG IgA seropositivity was highly significant (P < 0.001) in CD patients compared to symptomatic non-CD patients and control groups. The anti-tTG IgA has higher specificity, accuracy, and positive predictive value. Two or more clinical manifestations together were significantly increase the validity of clinical diagnosis of CD, and correlate well with the results of serological tests.

Conclusion: CD has wide intestinal and extraintestinal clinical manifestations. Accordingly, patients presented with two or more of these clinical manifestations should be serologically screened for CD. The anti-AGA IgA and more specifically the anti-tTG IgA are highly informative for early detection of CD.

Copyright © 2014 Dr. Abul-razak SH. Hasan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Celiac disease is multifaced autoimmune disorder triggered by dietary gluten occurring in genetically susceptible individuals (Evans and Sanders, 2012). Understanding of its numerous and varied clinical presentations has evolved over time (Ma *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, clinical identification of CD is challenging because it can begin not only with diarrhea and weight loss but also with atypical gastrointestinal and extra-intestinal symptoms, or it may be completely symptomless with possible onset at any age and with many possible clinical Presentations (McGough and Cummings, 2005; Mustalahti, 2006; Ravikumara *et al.*, 2006; Volta, and Villanacci, 2011; Paul *et al.*, 2013).

*Corresponding author: Dr. Abul-razak SH. Hasan Collge of Medicine, Diyala University, Iraq The clinical manifestations of CD vary markedly with the age of the patient, the duration and the extent of disease (Savilahti et al., 2010; Guarino et al., 2012). Hence, it has been suggested that the current illness-defining criteria should be revised so to implement early diagnosis and improve the patients' quality of life and access to treatment (Angeli et al., 2012). It has been documented that CD is common not only in Europe, but also in the developing countries where the major staple diet is wheat (Southern Asia, the Middle East, North West and East Africa, South America), both in the general population and in the groups at risk. The prevalence of CD in at-risk populations in these regions is reported to range between 3 and 20% and the prevalence in people with type 1 diabetes is approximately 3-5% (Malekzadeh et al., 2005; Cataldo and Montalto, 2007 ; Barada et al., 2010; Al-Hussaini et al., 2012; Ben Hariz et al., 2007). Furthermore, clinical

presentation with non-specific symptoms or no symptoms is as common in the Middle East as in Europe (Rostami et al., 2004; Farahmand et al., 2012; Assiri et al., 2013; Vijgen et al., 2012). Over the last 20 years, the diagnostic accuracy of serology for CD has progressively increased with the implementation of highly sensitive and specific tests, such as the detection of IgA tissue transglutaminase and antiendomysial and IgG antideamidated gliadin peptide antibodies, although it may not always correlates with mucosal appearance in the small intestine (Ludvigsson et al., 2009; Volta et al., 2012; Baudon et al., 2004; Giersiepen et al., 2012). Utilization of such serological markers has discovered a very high number of borderline cases that can be classified as potential CD, possibly through identifying CD in its early stages before the appearance of severe intestinal damage, and that may obviate the need for duodenal biopsy (Leeds et al., 2008; Lurz et al., 2009; Vermeersch et al., 2012). The present study was to evaluate the validity of clinical presentations and to assess the clinical utility of serologic tests in the diagnosis of CD in children in Diyala province.

apparently healthy children as control group were enrolled. The patient's age range was 1 month to 6 years and above. Sociodemographic data including age, sex, residence, family history, and clinical signs were collected in a special questionnaire. For human privacy, the patient parent's consensus was taken. Commercially available serological kits for anti-gliadin IgA (AGA-IgA) and anti- tissue transglutaminase IgA (anti-tTG-IgA) antibodies (Aeskulisa, Germany) were used by Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbant Assay (ELISA) technique following the manufacturer's instructions. Statistical analysis was done through the computerized software, Statistical Package Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 by using the Chi-square. P value < 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Based on the seropositivity of both anti-AGA IgA and anti-tTG IgA, 15 (9.6%) were considered CD patients. whereas, patients

Table 1. The seropositivity rate of serological tests among study groups

Test	SNCD patients No. (%)	CD patients No. (%)	Total No. (%)	P value	95% CI
Anti-AGA IgA					
No	130 (100)	0 (0)	130 (100)	< 0.001	(42.8-2887.2)
Yes	11 (42.3)	15 (57.7)	26 (100)	[S]	
OR=351.8					
Anti-tTGIgA					
No	133 (100)	0 (0)	133 (100)	< 0.001	(57.8-4097.3)
Yes	8 (34.8)	15 (65.2)	23 (100)	[S]	
OR=486.9					

Table 2. Validity of anti-AGA IgA and anti-tTA IgA

Test	Soncitivity	Specificity	Accuracy	Positive PV		Negative PV	
Test	Sensitivity	specificity	Accuracy	50%	90%	100%	
Anti-AGA IgA	100.0	92.2	92.9	92.8	99.1	100.0	
Anti-tTGIgA	100.0	94.3	94.9	94.6	99.4	100.0	

Clinical sign	SNCD patients No. (%)	CD patients No. (%)	Total No. (%)	P value	95% CI
Abdominal pain					
No	103 (93.0)	8 (7.0)	115 (100)	0.067	(0.93-8.15]
Yes	34 (82.9)	7 (17.1)	41 (100)	[NS]	
OR= 2.8					
Chronic diarrhea					
No	44 (89.8)	5 (10.2)	49 (100)	0.86	(0.29-2.8)
Yes	97 (90.7)	10 (9.3)	107 (100)	[NS]	
OR = 0.9, $IOR = 1.1$					
Constipation					
No	132 (89.8)	15 (10.2)	147 (100)	0.46	(0.05 - 3.75)
Yes	9 (100)	0 (0%)	9 (100)	[NS]	
OR = 0.4, $IOR = 2.2$					
Glucose intolerance					
No	135 (90.0)	15 (10.0)	150 (100)	0.71	(0.08-5.82)
Yes	6 (100)	0 (0)	6 (100)	[NS]	
OR=0.7, IOR=1.5					

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This case control study was conducted in Diyala province-Iraq during the period from September 2011 to April 2012 in Al-Batool Teaching Hospital for Maternity and Children. 156 children who were clinically suspected as having CD and 124 who had either anti-AGA IgA (16.7%) or anti-tTG IgA (14.7%) positive were considered as symptomatic non-CD patients (SNCD). The results showed that the anti-AGA IgA seropositivity was highly significant (P< 0.001) in CD patients compared to SNCD patients. Likewise, the anti-tTG IgA positivity was highly significant (P< 0.001) in CD patients

compared to SNCD patients, Table (1). Table (2) revealed that the anti-AGA IgA and anti-tTG IgA were equal in their sensitivity, but the anti-tTG IgA test had higher specificity, accuracy, and positive PV. Table (3) revealed the relation among the clinical signs and symptoms with the diagnosis of CD based on serological tests. The abdominal pain was found in 82.9% of SNCD patients and 17.1% of CD patients with an insignificant association (P= 0.067), although the presence of abdominal pain increases the probability of diagnosis by 2.8 times (Odd ratio = 2.8). according to CD guidelines; the diagnosis is established by small bowel biopsy. Consequently, a number of serologic tests were introduced for identifying individuals who require an intestinal biopsy examination. Over the last few years, the diagnostic accuracy of serology for CD has progressively increased with the implementation of highly sensitive and specific tests (Volta *et al.*, 2012; Giersiepen *et al.*, 2012). However, the potential dilemmas in CD diagnosis are still including those with positive serology but normal intestinal histology, negative serology but abnormal duodenal mucosal

Clinical sign	SNCD patients No. (%)	CD patients No. (%)	Total No. (%)	P value	95% CI
bloating					
No	103 (91.2)	10 (8.8)	113 (100)	0.6	(0.44-
Yes	38 (88.4)	5 (11.6)	43 (100)	[NS]	4.22)
OR= 1.4					
Type 1 DM					
No	136 (91.3)	13 (8.7)	149 (100)	0.16	(0.74-
Yes	5 (71.4)	2 (28.6)	7 (100)	[NS]	23.7)
OR= 4.2					
Short stature					
No	133 (90.5)	14 (9.5)	147 (100)	0.87	(0.14-
Yes	8 (88.9)	1 (11.1)	9 (100)	[NS]	10.2)
OR= 1.2					
Delayed mile stone					
No	97 (91.5)	9 (8.5)	106 (100)	0.49	(0.49-
Yes 44 (88)		6 (12)	50 (100)	[NS]	4.38)
OR= 1.5					

Tal	ble	5.	Association	of	clinical	manifestation	groups	s with	the sero	ological	tests

Clinical sign	SNCD patients No. (%)	CD patients No. (%)	Total No. (%)	P value	95% CI
1 positive clinical sign	44 (100)	0 (0)	44 (100)	*	*
2 positive clinical signs	81 (88.0)	11 (12.0)	92 (100)	0.017*	(1.5-99.7)
3-4 positive clinical signs	16 (80.0)	4 (20.0)	20 (100)	0.005*	(2.6-223.1)

On the contrary, the presence of constipation decreases the probability of diagnosis by 2.2 times (IOR=2.2, P= 0.46). Similarly, the chronic diarrhea and glucose intolerance had inverse association with the diagnosis of CD (Inverse odd ratio = 1.1 and 1.5 respectively). The results also found that the presence of type 1 diabetes mellitus increases the probability of diagnosis by 4.2 times (OR= 4.2); However, the association was insignificant (P=0.10). Likewise, the presence of bloating, short stature and delayed mile stone, all increases the probability of diagnosis, even though there was insignificant association with the diagnosis of CD, Table (4). Ultimately, it is clear that the presence of one positive clinical sign does not make any significant difference in the diagnosis of CD. However, the co-presence of two positive clinical signs significantly increase the diagnosis of CD (OR= 12.6, P= 0.017). Similarly the presence of three or four positive clinical signs has significantly increases the probability of diagnosis by 24.3 times (OR= 24.3, P= 0.005), Table (5).

DISCUSSION

There has been growing recognition of a changing clinical presentation of CD, so that most children with CD remain undiagnosed mainly because of lack of awareness of its heterogeneous clinical presentation (Ma *et al.*, 2013; Ravikumara *et al.*, 2006; Lanzini *et al.*, 2005). Basically, and

histology. The present study was conducted to address two questions; firstly, what is the clinical utility of serologic tests in the diagnosis of CD? Considering that the clinical utility is the impact of the test on decision making, and secondly what is the validity of clinical signs and symptoms in the diagnosis of CD? Considering that the clinical validity is the ability of the clinical presentation to change diagnosis (Health Quality Ontario, 2010).

Table 6. Hemoglobin concentration among study groups

Hb	Healthy $(n-124)$	$\frac{\text{SNCD}}{(n-1/41)}$	CD (n=15)	P value
Range	(9-12.6)	(1-1+1) (7.3-14.5)	(6.2-12.8)	
Mean	10.9	10.9	10.5	0.49 [NS]
SD	0.85	1.13	1.56	
SE	0.17	0.09	0.40	

Because the facilities for duodenal biopsy was not feasible in our health care settings, the study was based on the seropositivity of both anti-AGA IgA and anti-tTG IgA, to determine CD patients. whereas, patients who had either anti-AGA IgA or anti-tTG IgA positive were considered as symptomatic non-CD patients (SNCD). The results showed that the anti-AGA IgA and anti-tTG IgA are equal in their sensitivity, but the anti-tTG IgA test had higher specificity, accuracy, and positive PV in the diagnosis of CD. These results are consistent with other workers who documented that these tests were highly correlates with villous atrophy (Mustalahti 2006; Omar 2011), with the superiority of anti-tTG IgA in the diagnosis of symptomatic CD (Volta et al., 2012; Giersiepen et al., 2012; Lurz et al., 2009; Naiyer et al., 2009; Reeves et al., 2006), asymptomatic or occult CD (Farahmand et al., 2012; Omar, 2011), as well as in the monitoring response and compliance with a gluten-free diet (Gillett et al., 2006). The results revealed that none of the clinical signs that are considered in this study: abdominal pain, chronic diarrhea. constipation, glucose intolerance, bloating, short stature or delayed mile stone, individually doesn't make any significant difference in the diagnosis of CD, even the presence of type 1 DM, although it increases the risk factor by 4.2 times. In spite of that, the present study supporting the previous documents that the presence of type 1 DM was the most common indication for regular screening for CD (Al-Hussaini et al., 2012; Omar 2011; Gillett et al., 2006). On the other hand, the co-presence of two or more clinical signs was significantly increases the clinical validity in the diagnosis of CD and significantly correlates with positive serological tests. The most acceptable explanation for that is the wide and heterogeneous clinical presentation of CD (Ravikumara et al., 2006; Volta, and Villanacci, 2011; Paul et al., 2013; Jones and Sleet, 2009). Therefore, the disease is substantially underdiagnosed in the primary health care, where several studies have suggested that as few as quarter of population with CD were recognized, and the disease is much more common than previously believed (Ma et al., 2013; Ravikumara et al., 2006; Tikkakoshi et al., 2007).

In the Middle East, as in other parts of developing countries, the CD is common among both general population and risky groups as documented by several studies (Malekzadeh *et al.*, 2005; Al-Hussaini *et al.*, 2012; Ben Hariz *et al.*, 2007; Hill, 2005; Abu-Zekry *et al.*, 2008). These results can be explained on the fact that wheat has been the major staple food in these regions for a long time and possibly that the continuous and high level of exposure to wheat proteins has induced some degree of immune tolerance, leading to milder symptoms, which are misdiagnosed as irritable bowel syndrome or unexplained gastrointestinal disorders (Omar 2011).

The results also found that the mean hemoglobin concentration was insignificantly differ among CD, SNCD, and healthy groups. Actually, in all these three groups, the Hb concentration is lower than the hemoglobin cut-off value for anemia in children 6 months-14 years old (11-12 gm/dl) (Lother, 1998). However, the prsence of anemia and iron deficiency in CD patients were controversial (McGough and Cummings, 2005; Savilahti et al., 2010; Ludvigsson et al., 2009; Volta et al., 2012; Lurz et al., 2009). The low hemoglobin concentration among children enrolled in the present study may be related to several reasons including; neglegted children health care, inbalanced food meals, unhealthy inherited feeding customs, and poverty. In conclusion, CD in our region has a wide intestinal and extraintestinal clinical manifestations. Accordingly, patients presented with two or more of the following; abdominal pain, chronic diarrhea, bloating, short stature, type 1 DM, and delayed mile stone should be seologically screened for CD. The anti-AGA IgA and more specifically the anti-tTG IgA are higly informative for early detection of CD.

REFERENCES

- Abu-Zekry, M., Kryszak, D., Diab, M., Catassi, C. and Fasano, A. 2008. Prevalence of celiac disease in Egyptian children disputes the east-west agriculture-dependent spread of the disease. J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr. 47:136-40.
- Ageep, A.K. 2012. Celiac disease in the Red Sea state of Sudan. Trop. Gastroenterol. 33(2):118-22.
- Al-Hussaini, A., Sulaiman, N., Al-Zahrani, M., Alenizi, A. and El Haj. 2012. High prevalence of celiac disease among Saudi children with type 1 diabetes: a prospective crosssectional study. *BMC Gastroenterol.* 12:180.
- Angeli, G., Pasquini, R., Panella, V. and Pelli, M.A. 2012. An epidemiologic survey of celiac disease in the Terni area (Umbria, Italy) in 2002-2010. J. Prev. Med. Hyg. 53 (1): 20-3.
- Assiri, A., Saeed, A., Alsarkhy, A., El Mouzan, M.I. and El Matary, W. 2013. Celiac disease presenting as rickets in Saudi children. *Ann Saudi Med.* 33(1):49-51.
- Barada, K., Bitar, A., Mokadem, M.A., Hashash, J.G. and Green, P. 2010. Celiac disease in Middle Eastern and North African countries: a new burden? *World J. Gastroenterol.* 16(12):1449-57.
- Baudon, J.J., Johanet, C., Absalon, Y.B., Morgant, G., Cabrol, S. and Mougenot, J.F. 2004. Diagnosing celiac disease: a comparison of human tissue transglutaminase antibodies with antigliadin and antiendomysium antibodies. *Arch. Pediatr. Adolesc. Med.* 158(6):584-8.
- Ben Hariz, M., Kallel-Sellami, M., Kallel, L., Lahmer, A., Halioui, S., Bouraoui, S., Laater, A., Sliti, A., Mahjoub, A., Zouari, B., Makni, S. and Maherzi, A. 2007. Prevalence of celiac disease in Tunisia: mass-screening study in schoolchildren. *Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 19(8):687-94.
- Cataldo, F. and Montalto, G. 2007. Celiac disease in the developing countries: a new and challenging public health problem. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 13 (15):2153-9.
- Evans, K.E. and Sanders, D.S. (2012). Celiac disease. Gastroenterol. Clin. North Am. 41(3):639-50.
- Farahmand, F., Mir-Nasseri, M.M., Shahraki, T., Yourdkhani, F., Ghotb, S., Modaresi, V. and Khatami, G.R. 2012. Prevalence of occult celiac disease in healthy Iranian school age children. *Arch. Iran Med.* 15(6):342-5.
- Giersiepen, K., Lelgemann, M., Stuhldreher, N., Ronfani, L., Husby, S., Koletzko, S., Korponay-Szabo, I.R. and ESPGHAN. 2012. Working Group on Coeliac Disease Diagnosis. Accuracy of diagnostic antibody tests for coeliac disease in children: summary of an evidence report. J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr. 54(2):229-41.
- Gillett, P.M., Gillett, H.R., Israel, D.M., Metzger, D.L., Stewart, L., Chanoine, J.P. and Freeman, H.J. 2006. High prevalence of celiac disease in patients with type 1 diabetes detected by antibodies to endomysium and tissue transglutaminase. *Can. J. Gastroenterol.* 15(5):297-301.
- Guarino, A., Lo Vecchio, A. and Berni Canani, R. 2012. Chronic diarrhoea in children. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Gastroenterol.* 26(5):649-61.
- Health Quality Ontario 2010. Clinical utility of serologic testing for celiac disease in ontario: an evidence-based analysis. Ont. Health Technol. Assess. Ser. 10(21):1-111.

- Hill, I.D. 2005. What are the sensitivity and specificity of serologic tests for celiac disease? Do sensitivity and specificity vary in different populations? Gastroenterology, 128(4 Suppl 1):S25-32.
- Jones, R. and Sleet, S. 2009. Easily missed? Celiac disease. Brit. Med. J. (Middle East). 16(171):105-6.
- Lanzini, A., Villanacci, V., Apillan, N., Lanzarotto, F., Pirali, F., Amato, M., Indelicato, A., Scarcella, C. and Donato, F. 2005. Epidemiological, clinical and histopathologic characteristics of celiac disease: results of a case-finding population-based program in an Italian community. Scand. J. Gastroenterol. 40(8):950-7.
- Leeds, J.S., Hopper, A.D. and Sanders, D.S. 2008. Coeliac disease. *Brit. Med. Bull.* 88(1):157-70.
- Lother, T. 1998. Hemoglobin. In: Clinical Laboratory Diagnosis, Use and assessement of clinical laboratory results. By Lothar, T. 1st. Ed. TH Books, Germany.
- Ludvigsson, J.F., Brandt, L. and Montgomery, S.M. 2009. Symptoms and signs in individuals with serology positive for celiac disease but normal mucosa. *BMC Gastroenterol*. 9:57.
- Lurz, E., Scheidegger, U., Spalinger, J., Schoni, M. and Schibli, S. 2009. Clinical presentation of celiac disease and the diagnostic accuracy of serologic markers in children. *Europ. J. Pediatr.* 168(7):839-45.
- Ma, M.X., John, M. and Forbes, G.M. 2013. Diagnostic dilemmas in celiac disease. Expert. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 7(7): 643-55.
- Malekzadeh, R.. Sachdev, A. and Fahid Ali, A. 2005. Coeliac disease in developing countries: Middle East, India and North Africa. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Gastroenterol.* 19(3): 351-8.
- McGough, N. and Cummings, J.H. 2005. Coeliac disease: a diverse clinical syndrome caused by intolerance of wheat, barley and rye. Proc. *Nutr. Soc.* 64(4):434-50.
- Mustalahti, K. 2006. Unusual manifestations of celiac disease. Indian J. Pediatr. 73(8):711-6.
- Naiyer, A.J., Hernandez, L., Ciaccio, E.J., Papadakis, K., Manavalan, J.S., Bhagat ,G. and Green, P.H. 2009. Comparison of commercially available serologic kits for the detection of celiac disease. *J. Clin. Gastroenterol.* 43(3):225-32.

Omar, I.S. 2011. Celiac disease in children and adolscents at a signe center in Saudi Arabia. Ann. Saudi Med. 31(1): 51-7.

- Paul, S.P.; Johnson, J. and Speed, H.R. 2013. Clinical update: coeliac disease in children. Community Pract. 86(1):35-7.
- Ravikumara, M., Tuthill, D.P. and Jenkins, H.R. (2006). The changing clinical presentation of coeliac disease. Arch. Dis. Child. 91(12):969-71.
- Reeves, G.E., Squanc, M.L., Duggan, A.E., Murugasu, R.R., Wilson, R.J., Wong, R.C., Gibson, R.A., Steele, R.H. and Pollock, W.K. 2006. Diagnostic accuracy of coeliac serological tests: a prospective study. *Eur.J. Gastroentrol. Hepatol.* 18(5):493-501.
- Rostami, K., Malekzadeh, R., Shahbazkhani, B., Akbari, M.R. and Catassi, C. 2004. Coeliac disease in Middle Eastern countries: a challenge for the evolutionary history of this complex disorder? Dig. Liver. Dis. 36(10):694-7.
- Savilahti, E., Kolho, K.L., Westerholm-Ormio, M. and Verkasalo, M. Clinics of coeliac disease in children in the 2000s. Acta. pediatr. 99(7):1026-30.
- Tikkakoshi, S., Savilahti, E. and Kolho, K.L. 2007. Undiagnosed celiac disease and nutritional deficiencies in adults screened in primary health care. Scand. J. *Gastroenterol.* 42:60-2.
- Vermeersch, P., Geboes, K., Marien, G., Hoffman, I., Hiele, M. and Bossuyt, X. 2012. Serological diagnosis of celiac disease: Comparative analysis of different strategies. Clin. Chem. Acta. 413(21-22):1761-7.
- Vijgen, S., Alliet, P., Gillis, P., Declercq, P. and Mewis, A. 2012. Seroprevalence of celiac disease in Belgian children and adolescents. Acta Gastroenterol. Bulg. 75(3):325-30.
- Volta, U. and Villanacci, V. 2011. Celiac disease: diagnostic criteria in progress. Cell Mol. Immunol. 8(2):96-102.
- Volta, U., Tovoli, F., Cicola, R., Parisi, C., Fabbri, A., Piscaglia, M., Fiorini, E. and Caio, G. 2012. Serological tests in gluten sensitivity (nonceliac gluten intolerance). J. Clin. Gastroenterol. 46(8):680-5.

